Kahikatea Green Wheel Site Datasheet1: To assess Kahikatea Forest Recovery

Site name:		Date:						
Site UKID num	nber²:							
Assessor:		Date of last assessment (n/a if first one):						
Location (add	ress):							
Location (NZT	M): E	N						
Soil type:								
Peat	Gleyed silt loam	Pumice	Other(state):					
Landform (tick all that apply and circle the predominant one):								
Flat	Gentle slope	Basin	Steep slope					
Original fores	t type³:							
Birds noted during visit:								
Special features (e.g. threatened species):								
Tree/shrub sp	ecies present only as seedlings:							
General site description (brief notes):								
Site sketch/loc	cation⁴:							

Complete a separate datasheet for each individual kahikatea stand and for parts of a single stand if they are very different (e.g. part grazed/ part ungrazed or on separate properties)

Open waikatoregion.govt.nz/vegetation-biodiversity-map Click Biodiversity_ and _ Environment on the legend. Then click 2 Kahikatea and tick Pre-human kahikatea dominant. Find your stand to see which kahikatea forest type was at that location originally. This can help guide re-vegetation plans based on the type of Kahikatea forest that was likely dominant on your property before humans arrived. Write "None mapped" if there is no pre-human kahikatea mapped at your site
Provided by Waikato RC via Singers and Rogers original vegetation type map. Use to assess representative plant species
Draw a sketch map or inset an air photo to show the kahikatea fragment (you can take a screen shot from the WRC website)

⁴

Site Name: Date:

SUB-ATTRIBUTES	RECOVERY LEVEL (1-5 or n/a) ⁵	EVIDENCE FOR RECOVERY LEVEL (notes)	Method				
A Threats							
1 Stock access							
2 Feral ungulates							
3 Browsers							
Mammalian predators							
5 Canopy weeds							
6 Shrub layer weeds							
7 Ground cover weeds							
Pest plant presence							
Nutrient input							
① Drainage							
1 Human damage							
AVERAGED SCORE							
	B Physico	al conditions					
② Size			WRC website				
13 Shape			WRC website				
⚠ Forest interior			WRC website				
15 Buffering							
AVERAGED SCORE							

Site Name: Date:

SUB-ATTRIBUTES	RECOVERY LEVEL (1-5 or n/a) ⁵	EVIDENCE FOR RECOVERY LEVEL (notes)	Method			
© Species composition						
16 Dominance of native plants						
Tharacteristic plant species						
18 Indicator animal species						
AVERAGED SCORE						
D Community structure						
19 Vegetation layers						
② Canopy condition						
AVERAGED SCORE						
E Ecosystem function						
2 Winter bird food						
2 All season bird food						
23 Plant recruitment						
AVERAGED SCORE						
External exchanges – links to other natural areas						
2 Landscape matrix (nearby habitat)			WRC website			
3 Habitat links (terrestrial)			WRC website			
26 Habitat links (aquatic)						
AVERAGED SCORE						

Site Name: Date:

SUB-ATTRIBUTES	RECOVERY LEVEL (1-5 or n/a) ⁵	EVIDENCE FOR RECOVERY LEVEL (notes)	Method			
G Management regime						
2 Legal protection						
3 Management plan						
2 Animal pest control effort						
30 Invasive plant control effort						
Revegetation effort						
AVERAGED SCORE						
TOTAL SCORE ⁶ score/max	/35					
Bonus (optional)						
2 Long-tailed bats If you have been monitoring bats each year enter your score here.						

Key positive features/changes since last visit:

Key issues that could be addressed to improve the health of this forest:

Paste a screen shot of your completed Green Wheel from the KGW spreadsheet here: