Summary - Allocation ideas - working list from CSG14

| Principles from Policy Selection Criteria | Best options to meet each principle |
| :---: | :---: |
| Recognise efforts made | Another way e.g. capped grandparenting up to average |
| Realistic to implement, monitor, enforce | Averaging cheaper than rest |
| Flexibility for future | Natural capital - no presumption of current land use <br> Another way - trading/ allocation set aside for this |
| Minimise social disruption | Best - historical grandparenting. Worst average/ha. <br> Another way: <br> Grandparent and good management Hybrid that recognises natural factors Plus \% ramp back - except those who can't could do extra to allow for new entrants. Plus market to trade |
| Exhibits proportionality | Not a grandparent or average per hectare Maybe average per sector or natural capital Another way - need to bring in GMP's |
| Takes account of complexity | Best - natural capital <br> Worst - average/hectare <br> Another way - trading <br> Pragmatic approach, different farm systems have different issues - hybrid |
| Important additional principles |  |
| - Transition from where things are to where you want to get to (to meet the limit) <br> - Be cautious about compensating for lost future opportunity - Make exception for special cases separately |  |

## Most promising options: (Needs to be a hybrid or a hybrid of a hybrid)

- Not average/per hectare
- Need to have a GMP/efficiency element
- Innovative ideas to look at: capped grandparenting within sector
- Grandparenting transitioning to a natural capital approach (What would be a suitable natural capital measure, and how closely matched is current land use to that?)
- Noted that if you want flexibility, must have trading
- Then the allocation to high class land under a natural capital system may move (which defeats the purpose of a natural capital approach)

