What are some key questions to be considered for the release of the model?

- What are the challenges with releasing the model given that a sector has requested it?
 - Confidential information will need to be removed running the model without this information is problematic
 - Other points raised by Bryce/Graeme yesterday (1/10)
- What information is confidential within the model, why and how might this impact a third party running the model? (not party to these confidential agreements)
- Who owns the model?
 - > There are various models drawn by the model to get the sceanrio outputs.
- Components of the model were developed under the Economic Joint Venture, those components may need approval from EJV members prior to sector review?



What are some key questions to be considered for the release of the model?

- In what form does the model exist in?
 - Code or otherwise (guaranteed it doesn't have a user interface)
- What are the primary model assumptions, where have these come from or how have they been derived and what confidence can we have these assumptions are reasonable?
- What are the model inputs, where have these come from, what confidence can we have that the inputs are correct?
- What is happening now with the model?
- Is the CSG satisfied such that no further work is required on the model inputs?

Staff to report back to CSG18 (13/14 October).

Note some content was covered by Dr Dooles presentation 1/10 and further CSG discussion with mitigation peer reviewers occurring at focus session planned for 21/10.



What will become available?

- If requested, the model code can be printed (minus the confidential input) and provided
- A report on the structure of the model (incldues the equations, coding etc will be appended, non-confidential data)
- A report on the mitigations (includes sensitivity analysis)
- A report on the justification (rationale for why this model was choosen over other possibilities)
- The TLG commissioned peer reviews on all of the above that includes commentary on the strengths and weaknesses and a comment from Dr Doole as to how he's dealt with these peer reviews.

