Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

Submission form on publicly notified – Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

SubForm	PC12016	COVER SH	EET
	FOR OFFIC	E USE ONLY	
		Submission	
		Number	
Entered		Initials	
File Ref		Sheet 1 of	

FORM 5 Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

SUBMISSIONS CAN BE		
Mailed to	Chief Executive, 401 Grey Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240	
Delivered to	Waikato Regional Council, 401 Grey Street, Hamilton East, Hamilton	
Faxed to	(07) 859 0998 Please Note: if you fax your submission, please post or deliver a copy to one of the above addresses	
Emailed to	<u>healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz</u> Please Note: Submissions received my email must contain full contact details. We also request you send us a signed original by post or courier.	
Online at	www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/healthyrivers	
	We need to receive your submission by 5pm, 8 March 2017.	

YOUR NAME AND CONTACT DETAILS

Full name Chris Irons

Full address 84 Te Waitere Road, RD 8, Tahoroa, Te Kuiti, 3988

Email c.irons@xtra.co.nz

Phone 027 461 6980

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE OF SUBMITTER

Full name Chris Irons, Chair of Waikato Federated Farmers Meat & Fibre Industry Group

Address for service of person making submission c/- PO Box 447, Hamilton, 3240

Email

Fax

Fax

TRADE COMPETITION AND ADVERSE EFFECTS (select appropriate)

I could / X could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

Phone

X I am /] am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

(a) adversely effects the environment, and

(b) does not relate to the trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

THE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 THAT MY SUBMISSION RELATES TO *Please state the provision, map or page number e.g. Objective 4 or Rule 3.11.5.1 (continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)*

Policy 14 – Lake Freshwater Management Units.

I SUPPORT OR OPPOSE THE ABOVE PROVISION/S (select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)

Support the above provisions

X Support the above provision with amendments

Oppose the above provisions

MY SUBMISSION IS THAT

Tell us the reasons why you support or oppose or wish to have the specific provisions amended. (*Please continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary*)

We support the restoration of lakes, but restoration is to begin immediately not in 2096, through the implementation of a tailored lake-by-lake approach, guided by Lake Catchment Plans prepared over the next "10 years".

Many Meat & Fibre members farm in the Northern Catchment who are affected by the Koi Carp issue. And request that remedial work we carried out, and our work will have no effect whatsoever, as these pest fish create havoc.

The water outcomes proposed that we must meet, just cannot happen as long as the Koi Carp remain in the catchment. There must be an immediate coherent approach to the problem, no in "10 years".

Does not the Vision and Strategy require no further degradation to the Waikato River?

This should be the first point of restoration – not put on the back burner.

I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION BY COUNCIL

(select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)

Accept the above provision

X Accept the above provision with amendments as outlined below

Decline the above provision

If not declined, then amend the above provision as outlined below

Amend as follows:

PLEASE INDICATE BY TICKING THE RELEVANT BOX WHETHER YOU WISH TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF YOUR SUBMISSION

X I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.

I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.

JOINT SUBMISSIONS

If others make a similar submission, please tick this box if you will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

IF YOU HAVE USED EXTRA SHEETS FOR THIS SUBMISSION INDICATE BELOW	PLEASE ATTACH THEM TO THIS FORM AND
X Yes, I have attached extra sheets.	No, I have not attached extra sheets.
SIGNATURE OF SUBMITTER (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) A signature is not required if you make your submission by electro	onic means.
Signature Chris Irons	Date 8 March 2017
Personal information is used for the administration of the	submission process and will be made public. All information

information. PLEASE CHECK that you have provided all of the information requested and if you are having trouble filling out this

collected will be held by Waikato Regional Council, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal

PLEASE CHECK that you have provided all of the information requested and if you are having troub form, phone Waikato Regional Council on 0800 800 401 for help.

Additional sheet to assist in making a submission

Section number of the Plan Change	Support /Oppose	Submission	Decision sought
Clause 5 Schedule 1	Oppose the provision.	That the Nitrate Reference Point does not relate to an adverse effect in most of the region. At present we are at our 80 year level now as defined in the tables in the PC1 document for Freshwater Management Unite Pages 48-54.	Decline the provision.
		We are of the view that the Nitrate Reference Points is only being used as a surrogate for livestock constraint.	
		The above provision is a breach of Section 5 of the RMA. No adverse effect has been shown.	
Table 1 Schedule B Missing Data Provisions	Support the provision with amendments.	The Missing Data provisions should be amended to allow for a reasonable assessment criteria, so all known information can be collated, possible affidavits given, and stating Council will do its best to interpret what is the true historic reference point, or this becomes a disadvantage to those people affected by a third party scenario. Clearly if the relevant information is held by a third party, i.e., lessee, previous owner or sharemilker and they refuse to co-operate in the process, there is a potential for landowners to be disadvantaged or blackmailed.	If not declined, then amend the above provision as outlined.
		The present 75% allocation is a confiscation of 25% of the value of the property.	

Freshwater	Oppose the	95 th percentile E.coli 100	Decline the provision.
Management Table.	provisions.	ml	•
Pages 48-54		This is set at 540 which is	
		unrealistic. The 95% is the	
		top 5% readings and not	
		the medium average. It is	
		impossible to be reached	
		on many of the defined	
		sites, and out of the control	
		of landowners as it is	
		driven by natural events	
		more than anything else.	
		1000mls in terms of the	
		Annual Medium is the	
		National Standard at	
		present which meets the	
		Vision and Strategy and the	
		National Policy Statement	
		(NPS), but if the NPS	
		introduces a separate	
		process, then this will have	
		to be introduced as an	
		amendment.	
Policies 16 & 17	Oppose the	The RMA process is not	Decline the provision.
	provisions.	there to give redress to	·
	'	Treaty Settlements and the	
		Vision and Strategy should	
		only look at water quality	
		issues. It is to improve the	
		quality of the Waikato	
		River and nothing else.	
		Both these policies go	
		outside the scope of the	
		vision and Strategy.	
Policy 13	Support the	Point source discharges	Accept the provision with
	provision with	have been given certainty	amendments as outlined.
	amendments.	of investment.	
		This must be granted to	
		Non-point source	
		-	
		discharges as well, because farmers need to same	
		surety when making	
		investments; the same as	
		every other sector of the	
		community.	

PC1 failure to comply	Support the	PC1 has failed to give effect	Accept the provision with
with the Regional	provision with	to the RPS, thus it has	amendments as outlined.
Policy Statement	amendments	breach Section 67 of the	
(RPS)		RMA.	
8.1			
8.1.2A		A Regional Plan must give	
8.3.3.3		effect to any Regional	
8.3.6		Policy Statement.	
8.3.8			
8.4.2		There are many good	
8.4.4		provisions in the RPS that	
4.4		give rise to 'Sub-	
		Catchment'. This is where	
		the process should move	
		to, away from the one size	
		fits all approach.	
		This will also then comply	
		with Section 5 of the RMA	
		and adopt a cause and	
		effect regime.	
		The RPS Policies affects are	
		listed above.	