Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

Submission form on publicly notified – Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

SubForm	PC12016	COVER S	HEET
	FOR OFFIC	E USE ONLY	
		Submission	
		Number	
Entered		Initials	T
File Ref		Sheet 1 of	1

FORM 5 Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

	AN BE				
Mailed to	Chief Executive, 401 Grey Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240				
Delivered to	Waikato Regional Co	Waikato Regional Council, 401 Grey Street, Hamilton East, Hamilton			
Faxed to	(07) 859 0998 Please Note: if you	(07) 859 0998 Please Note: if you fax your submission, please post or deliver a copy to one of the above addresses			
Emailed to	healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz Please Note: Submissions received my email must contain full contact details. We also request you send us a signed original by post or courier.				
Online at	www.waikatoregion.	govt.nz/healthyrivers			
	We ne	ed to receive your submissi	on by 5pm, 8 March 2017.		
YOUR NAME A	ND CONTACT DETAILS				
Full name: Victo	or J Chapman				
Full address: 19	2 union Rd RD3 Pukeko	he 2678			
Email : victor@d	r@coel.co.nz Phone: Fax				
ADDRESS FOR S	ADDRESS FOR SERVICE OF SUBMITTER				
Full name: as al	oove				
Address for service of person making submission					
Email		Phone	Fax		
TRADE COMPE	TITION AND ADVERSE E	FFECTS (select appropri	ate)		
☐ I could /☐	could not gain an advar	ntage in trade competition	on through this submission.		
l am / ar (a) adversely e (b) does not re	n not directly affected lifects the environment late to the trade compe	by an effect of the subject, and etition or the effects of the	ct matter of the submission that:		

THE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 THAT MY SUBMISSION RELATES TO
Please state the provision, map or page number e.g. Objective 4 or Rule 3.11.5.1
(continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)
A SUPPLIED OF THE ADOLE PROVISION IS
I SUPPORT OR OPPOSE THE ABOVE PROVISION/S (select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)
(select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)
Support the above provisions
Support the above provision with amendments
☐ Oppose the above provisions
MY SUBMISSION IS THAT
Tell us the reasons why you support or oppose or wish to have the specific provisions amended (Please continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary)
(Fleuse Continue on Separate Sheeds) if hecessary /
I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION BY COUNCIL
(select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)
percet as appropriate and contained ensemble in the propriate and the percentage of
Accept the above provision
Accept the above provision with amendments as outlined below
Decline the above provision
If not declined, then amend the above provision as outlined below
Amend as follows:

Doc # 9150077 Page 2

PLEASE INDICATE BY TICKING THE RELEVANT BOX WHETHER YOU WISH TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF YOUR SUBMISSION			
☐ I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submiss	ions.		
I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my s	ubmissions.		
JOINT SUBMISSIONS If others make a similar submission, please tick this both the hearing.	ox if you will consider presenting a joint case with them at		
IF YOU HAVE USED EXTRA SHEETS FOR THIS SUBMISSION INDICATE BELOW	PLEASE ATTACH THEM TO THIS FORM AND		
Yes, I have attached extra sheets.	☐ No, I have not attached extra sheets.		
SIGNATURE OF SUBMITTER (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) A signature is not required if you make your submission by electrons.	onic means		
Signature	Date 1. 3 17		
Personal information is used for the administration of the scollected will be held by Waikato Regional Council, with su information.	submission process and will be made public. All information bmitters having the right to access and correct personal		

PLEASE CHECK that you have provided all of the information requested and if you are having trouble filling out this form, phone Waikato Regional Council on 0800 800 401 for help.

Doc # 9150077 Page 3

Section number of the Plan Change	Support /Oppose	Submission	Decision sought
Please refer to title and page numbers used in the plan change document	Indicate whether you support or oppose the provision.	State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.	State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.
Chapter 3.11: Area Covered by Chapter 3	Oppose	Oppose the progression of a PC1 without a comprehensive whole of catchment planning response.	Withdraw PC1in its entirety to allow for consultation with Hauraki iwi before an further Proposed Plan Change. Re-notify PC1 with the inclusion of the withdrawn area relating to Hauraki iwi sthat the catchment can be considered entirety and so submissions and evidence can be coordinated for the whole of the catchment.
Chapter 3.11: Background and Explanation	Oppose	The plan would be improved by adding an issue statement to address particular issues for the horticultural sector.	Provide some opportunity for commerci vegetable production on new sites in the Waikato River catchment, to preserve the productive capacity of the vegetable sector; particularly in relation to the production of non-substitutable lead greens, potatoes and carrots for domest consumption in key periods of the national domestic foodchain.
			Ensure the plan provides for the establishment of an alternative method model to establish a benchmark nitrogerand phosphorus discharge for commercion vegetable production systems fro OVERSEER.
			The plan should make an explication statement to recognise permanent from production as a low intensity farminactivity.
3.11.1: Values And Uses For The Waikato And Waipa Rivers	Support	Support the identification of Primary Production as a Mana Tangata value of water arising from its use by people for economic, social, and cultural purposes.	Retain Primary Production as a Mar Tangata value.
	<u>i</u>	3.11.2 OBJECTIVES	
Objective 2 Social, economic and cultural wellbeing is maintained in the long term	Support	Maintaining social, economic and cultural welling must be a cornerstone objective in PC1.	Retain as proposed.
Objective 3 Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and	Support in part	It is agreed that a 10% reduction should be sought overall but targeted reductions required for vegetable growing should be fair.	Amend the plan to provide flexibility land managers seeking to achie reductions collaboratively at a catchme or subcatchment scale.

quality for each sub- catchment and Freshwater Management Unit			
Objective 4 People and community resilience	Support in part	The proposed plan change cannot and should not allocate discharge rights.	Amend the objective to recognise that this plan change is transitional, to provide time to develop the tools required to more efficiently allocate responsibility for achieving contaminant reduction targets in the long-term.
		3.11.3 POLICIES	
Policy 1: Manage diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens	Support	Support the recognition and enablement of low intensity farming systems. This is particularly important for the fruit production sector which has a low environmental risk that should be entitled to expand without excessive limitations through the tenyear transitional period.	Retain as proposed
Policy 2: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from farming activities/	Support in part/Oppose in part	Support a policy platform that provides for a Farm Environment Plan approach established by resource consent or certified industry schemes. There should be alternatives to the nitrogen reference point during the transitional period given the limitations of OVERSEER for modelling horticultural systems. The policy should enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported	Amend policy to provide alternatives to the nitrogen reference point during the transitional period given the limitations of OVERSEER for modelling horticultural systems. Amend policy to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported
Policy 3: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems	Support in part	Supports a policy platform that provides for: The essential aspects of the vegetable production industry in the Waikato. Targeted reductions required for vegetable growing that are fair given the impact of the sector on water quality and the likely cost to the community of achieving the targets. Protects existing production as a priority over any new production that is likely to have a greater contribution of discharges. Protects the concept of an authorised farm enterprise through a capped area controlled activity consent, that allows for rotation across new and existing land parcels. Enables opportunities for new vegetable production through a new restricted discretionary rule if the proposed operation can	Retain policy approach subject to consequential amendments to other policies and methods to give effect to the relief sought.

			
		demonstrate a decrease in discharges compared to the activity it is replacing. Those discharges should be assessed across all four contaminants as covered by the plan change. • Ensures the proposed farm planning framework is practical and achievable for growers.	
Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established while signalling further change may be required in future	Support	Supports a policy platform that enables existing and new low discharging activities to continue while recognising that low dischargers may in the future need to take mitigation actions to reduce contaminants.	Retain as proposed.
Policy 5: Staged approach	Support	Support staged approach to implementing the Vision and Strategy.	Retain staged approach as proposed. Make consequential amendments to other policies and methods to give effect to the relief sought.
Policy 6: Restricting land use change	Oppose	Support policy pathway that supports a clear consent path for the approval of land use applications that can demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges.	Provide a restricted discretionary activity for operations capable of demonstrating clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges.
		Do not not agree however that operations capable of demonstrating clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges should be required to undertake an application for a non complying activity resource consent. They should be provided for as a restricted discretionary activity.	
Policy 7: Preparing for allocation in the future	Support in part	The proposed plan change is not allocating discharge rights. The tenyear timeframe to develop tools and methods for property level allocation is required and must be supported by information gathering and research to inform future allocation.	Amend the principles in Policy 7 to recognise the polluter pays concept.
		The principles for any future allocation should recognise the polluter pays concept.	
Policy 8: Prioritised implementation	Support in part	Grower operations do not neatly fit into subcatchments. Rotations are likely to vary across subcatchments on a yearly basis. This variance is unlikely to be large but in our view the management of enterprises across a number of subcatchments should be enabled given the scarcity of the land resource available for commercial vegetable cropping and the difficulty of managing multiple consents the discharges across each subcatchments and balancing within the current capped area for each subcatchment referred to in the	Retain as proposed, but add to this policy or another if more appropriate an enabling policy that allows for the management of horticultural enterprises between subcatchments.

Catchment and paddock scale miting that are able to be measure reported Policy 11: Application Support in Support offsetting policy and Amend the policy and method to	evtend
of Best Practicable part methods as a practical tool for to non-point source discharges who	
Option and mitigation mitigating the effects of discharges same environmental outcomes of	an be
or offset of effects to within a catchment. achieved.	
point source discharges	
3.11.5 RULES 3.11.5.1 Permitted Support recognition and enablement Retain as proposed.	
Activity Rule – Small of low intensity farming systems. This	
and Low Intensity is particularly important for the fruit	
farming activities production sector. The regional plan	
must continue to recognise permanent fruit production as a low	į
intensity farming activity that is	
entitled to expand without excessive	
limitations through the ten-year	
transitional period. 3.11.5.5 Controlled Support Supports Rule 3.11.5.5 that provides a Retain as proposed.	
Activity Rule – Existing commercial vegetable production Controlled Activity non-notified consent pathway that recognises and provides for: The essential aspects of the vegetable production industry in the Waikato. Targeted reductions required for vegetable growing that are fair given the impact of the sector on water quality and the likely cost to the community of achieving the targets. Protection of existing production as a priority over any new production that is likely to have a greater contribution of discharges. Protection of the concept of an authorised farm enterprise through a capped area controlled activity consent, that allows for rotation across new and existing land parcels. Ensures the proposed farm planning framework is practical and achievable for growers.	
New Restricted Support The plan should enable the Amend plan to provide a new res	tricted
Discretionary Activity collaborative management of discretionary rule to enable containing	+
Rule – The discharges at a scale greater than a management by a catchment collect	tive.
management of single farm. Farmer / catchment contaminants from collectives managing discharges as a	1

farming activities by a		single enterprise within a	
catchment collective		subcatchment or a water	
		management unit are very likely to	
		achieve environmental outcomes in a	
	 	more coordinated and effective way.	
3.11.5.7 Non-	Oppose	Opposes the non-complying activity	Add new restricted discretionary activity
Complying Activity Rule	1	status for land use change to	rule that enables the use of land for new
 Land Use Change 		commercial vegetable production.	and additional commercial vegetable
		The plan should enable opportunities	production where the effects of the land
		for new vegetable production through	use change can demonstrate that there
		a new restricted discretionary rule if	will be a decrease in the discharges of
		the proposed operation can	nitrogen, phosphorous, sediment or
		demonstrate a decrease in discharges	microbial pathogens as a result of the land
		compared to the activity it is	use change,
		replacing. Those discharges should be	
		assessed across all four contaminants	
		as covered by the plan change.	