WAIKATO REGIONAL COUNCIL PROPOSED WAIKATO REGIONAL PLAN CHANGE 1 WAIKATO AND WAIPA RIVER CATCHMENTS

Please consider forwarding a copy of your submission to Anti-San we can echo your comments in our submission

Thank you for the opportunity to submit on the Waikato Regional Council's proposed Plan Change 1.

Our names are Steve and Alex Parrott with families and we are third and fourth generation farmers at Matira and te Uku. We farm sheep, beef, dairy support, trading systems and horses on approx. 800ha of beautiful rolling hill country. In addition to our farming operation we have a polo horse business.

Over the last 10-15 years, we have been fencing off our waterways and have retired approximately 5 hectares of native bush + pine plantations. In addition, we have spent some time planting natives along our fenced off waterways. We have a good nutrient plan in place to minimize the adverse effect of fertilizer on land and water ways.

We belong to a close knit and vibrant community of people who care about their land and regularly get together to discuss best farming practice. Our farm is more than just our livelihood, it is our home and where we spend so much of our time as a family. Our vision is to leave our 7 children with a productive farming operation which they can continue and be proud of. We have worked extremely hard for nearly 4 generations to develop and improve this land in a very responsible, environmentally safe way.

	WALKATO DEC
ŀ	WAIKATO REGIONAL COUNCIL
1	DATE RECEIVED: 3/3/17
1	4500
[3	SIGNATURE: DO

WAIKATO REGIONAL COUNCIL PROPOSED WAIKATO REGIONAL PLAN CHANGE 1 WAIKATO AND WAIPA RIVER CATCHMENTS

Submission Form

Submission on a publically notified proposed Regional Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.

On: The Waikato Regional Councils proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 -

Waikato and Waipa River Catchments

To: Waikato Regional Council

401 Grey Street Hamilton East Private bag 3038 Waikato Mail Center HAMILTON 3240

Complete the following

Full Name: Steven John Parrott & Sandra Sipkje Alida Parrott

Alexander Bruce Parrott & Ulrika Maree Parrott

Phone (Hm): 07 8254531

07 8254878

Phone (Wk): as above

Postal Address: 413 Matira Road, RD2, Ngaruawahia 3794

550 Matira Road, RD2, Ngaruawahia 3794

Phone (Cell): Steve 027 634 5335

Postcode: 3794

Email: #8/Assyster agreement diverse length security

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the proposed plan has a direct impact on my ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but I am not in direct trade competition with them.

I wish to be heard in support of this submission.

Signature

date

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that:	The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:
State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	 whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1; brief reasons for your views. 	 precise details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome
Provision	I oppose The reasons for this are:	you seek I seekthattheprovisionis: Deletedinitsentirety As an alternative I propose
Long Term Land Use Objectives: 1,3 and 4 Policy 5 and 7 Rules 3.11.5.3 to 3.11.5.5 Schedule 1	 This creates too much uncertainty as we don't know how much land potentially has to go into forestry/native bush This creates potential capital devaluation and unwillingness to invest 	That the plan is amended and re-notified only when there are clear indications of what land use is required on my farm with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process

Provision Nitrogen Reference Point Objectives: 1 and 4 Policy 2 and 7 Rules 3.11.5.3 to 3.11.5.7 Schedule 1	 I oppose We are already low emmitters and this does not support those who have done the right thing by the environment and effectively reward high emmitters This is a poor nutrient allocation process Sampling at the Rangiriri/Glen Murray Bridge shows that the sub- catchment is already at an acceptable level 	I seekthattheprovision is: Deleted in its entirety As an alternative I propose

Provision	I support and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are:	I seekthattheprovisionis: amended as set out below: As an alternative I propose
Stock Exclusion Rules 3.11.5.3 to 3.11.5.4	The cost of fencing hill country farms is prohibitive	That the rules are changed to reflect recommendations by the National Policy Statement on Fresh Water. That the timelines are extended to allow better decision making with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process

Provision	I oppose The reasons for this are:	I seekthattheprovisionis: Deletedinitsentirety As an alternative I propose
Restricting Land Use Change Pages 15 - 16 Policy 6 Rule 3.11.5.7	 This undermines the value of our land We feel that this covered by all the other rules contained in the proposed plan. This restricts the ability of future generations to develop the farming business It restricts our ability to react to changes in the market as well climatic changes and adverse weather effects. 	

	The second of th	
Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend (delete as required) The reasons for this are:	I seekthatthe provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below (delete as required). As an alternative I propose
Farm Environment Plan Pages 15-16 Policy 2 Rules 3.11.5.3 to 3.11.5.7 Schedule 1	 This is too restrictive and binding. It does not take into account any changes which may need to be made due to adverse weather conditions. These changes will require sign-off by a Certified Farm Environment Planner which will be costly. This will discourage innovation and people trying new systems as farmers will be locked into a Farm Environment Plan. The timing is unrealistic given how comprehensive and binding the plan is. There is a lack of Certified Farm Environment Planners which will result in sign-off being delayed and deadlines not being met. The indicative cost of engaging a Certified Farm Environment Planner is prohibitive. 	That the timeframe is extended with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process

Provision	I support.	I seekthattheprovisionis: amended as set out below
	The reasons for this are:	As an alternative I propose
Contaminant Loss from Farm Objectives: 1 and 3	The contribution that koi carp make needs to be included in this proposed plan	That the plan is adjusted to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process
Policy 1,2,4 and 7		
Rules 3.11.5.3 to 3.11.5.7		
Schedule 1		
Table 11-1		

Provision	I support and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are:	I seekthattheprovision is: amended as set out below As an alternative I propose
Sub-catchment Management Policy: 9 Implementation method: 3.11.4.1 and 3.11.4.5	We believe this will work very well for our area The policy is not given effect to in the rules	We would like the allowance for sub-catchment approach included in the rules with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process









Yours sincerely

Signature

Date