#### **Submission Form**

Submission on a publically notified proposed Regional Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.

The Waikato Regional Councils proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 -On:

Waikato and Waipa River Catchments

To: Waikato Regional Council

> **401 Grey Street Hamilton East** Private bag 3038 Waikato Mail Center **HAMILTON 3240**

Complete the following

Full Name(s): Selina Rutherford. Hanish mimulin

078278059 Phone (hm):

Phone (wk): 078278059

Postal Address: 446 Bruns Kill Road, R.D.4. Cambridge

Phone (cell): 0272333388

Postcode: 3496

hsmcmullin1@quail.com Email:

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the proposed plan has a direct impact on my ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but I am not in direct trade competition with them.

Littlet 10-10-4 Modern to Audobat at this turbrates lon.

nent [j1]: If this is a group ussion everyone must include their details and sign the form, unless the group is a legal entity.

To help the council the group could give itself a name re collectively referred to as the .... group.

#### Introduction

Thank you for the opportunity to submit on the Waikato Regional Councils proposed Plan Change 1.

My name/ We are .... ... and I am a/ we are sheep and beef farmer(s) in the X catchment. My family and I have been farming this property to: X years, etc.

Hamish mimulin and Selina Rutlerford are sheep and beet farmers in the Karapiro Catchment where we have farmed for over 12 years. We farm a 70.30% ratio of cattle to sheep.

Prior to farming full time we were both Soil Conservators with a passion for protecting and preserving the environment of the protecting and preserving the environment.

Comment [j2]: Keep this section really brief. It is not imperative to your submission, but does help set the scene in relation to telling the council a little bit about your business and community.

Types of information include:

«Where you are farming (catchment)

«What type of farm

«Stock class and ratio and whether or
not this changes overtime

»How long has the property been in
your family and how long have you been
farming the property

«Property under development – future
aspirations?

«Environmental Ethos? le actively
planting ripanan strips or allowing
native regeneration, QEII covenants,
Plantation Forestry, Manuka, Wetlands

or lakes etc

For protecting and preserving the environment. Phonomore and substitute of the support time in the support to allow the support to the suppor

Signature

25 02 11

iAudine

25/2/1

Signature `

Our provisions relate to Rule 3.11.5.3.

Provision  A Witrogen Reference  point is produced for  our property using  overseer.  The Witrogen Reference  Point is based only on  a Zuraverage from  2014 to 2016.	I support/oppose/and for each whether or not you wish to amond  This provision.  The reasons for this are:  Over seer is a pen to manipulative giving a huge variability in results for Nitrogen heading. Also it does not take into account offer nutrients, pathogens and sediment issess which are of more relevant to drystack farms.  This "Carandparenting Approach rewards the polluters and pumps the low nitrogen leading farmer by reducing the flexibility with their farm systems to improve their farm profitability. This zyr period in time is insufficient period in time is insufficient and inflexible to cater for the overchanging dynamics of a fare longiness.	Remove Overseer and design a model that work exproperty and takes into account these other parameters es
---	--	--

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend  The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below  As an alternative I propose

Provision N. troagen Reference point is based to amend only on a Zyr average The reasons for this are: From 2014 to 2016 An example.

I support/oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish

An example of changing dynamics As an alternative I propose occurred on our tarm as follows:-Prior to 2014 and on the advice Instead trading in store herfers. However the strong store market Environment Plan should meant very few animals to be change back to our original heading which will be based heading which will be based system saw us still under- on the hand use Capability. had at realistic prices. it stocked at the 2014-2016.

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/amended as set out-<del>below-</del>

There needs to be allowanted which wid had for 30 tyrs basis. implement good management

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend  The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below  As an alternative I propose

Provision  Stock (except sleep)  to be excluded from water bodies.	stock. The sleep are a great we weed control tool and have	Investments into Biological weed Control could be helpful, especially with cattle only systems.
3 year Time brame for escelusion of Stock from waterbookies once a Farm Environment plan has been provided	11.11 Country tark.	we seek to anend this provision. The Farm Environmental Plan should be designed to attend to the most critical sources of contamination first Followed by positive manageres and action as finances allow.

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend  The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below  As an alternative I propose



The implementation of a Farm Environment the		I seek that the provision is: Delated in its set y/ Retained as proposed/amended as set out below  As an alternative I propose  We would like to see more recognition to landon for large environmental cool y. done. This man be in the form of rated related help with plant & pest cont in retired areas.  Producers actively implement a F.E.P. Should be rewrit by the consumer and where.
---	--	---

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend  The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below.  As an alternative I propose



Provision  Restricting hand Use Change	I support/oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend  The reasons for this are:  To avoid a sudden increase in conversions and land use changes which could negate a lot of the environmental good this plan change is trying to achieve	
land use for masori	mean?? There has to be one rule which is fair and	We seek that this Typrovision is deleted: If there are special Circumstances surrounding maori land non development then they should be treated the Same as any other landowne in similar circumstances:

WAIKATO REGIONAL COUNCIL PR	OPOSED WAIKATO REGION	NAL PLAN CHANGE 1 - W	AIKATO AND WAIPA RIV	/ER CATCHMENTS
Yours sincerely				
Signature	Date			



**Provision** 

The reasons for this are: The Warkato and Warpa Catchnelts. Flow of communication reset plan change in loyers between the So we can see where to

I seek that the provision is: Deteted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/amended as set out <del>below</del>

As an alternative I propose

ideas will lead to problem solving more problems will be created too. "Steady as you Go" approach we should be looking

Yours sincerely	
Signature	Date