Submission Form

Submission on a publically notified proposed Regional Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.

- On: The Waikato Regional Councils proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 -Waikato and Waipa River Catchments
- To: Waikato Regional Council 401 Grey Street Hamilton East Private bag 3038 Waikato Mail Center HAMILTON 3240

Complete the following

ull Name: Robert JAMes Purche
Phone (Hm): 07 8778935
Phone (Wk): 11
Postal Address: 912 NGATARAWA RD MAHOEWUI
hone (Cell):
Postcode: 39.78
mail: AICOIAANNE 2 FARMSIBE. CO.NZ

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the proposed plan has a direct impact on my ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but I am not in direct trade competition with them.

+wish to be heard in support of this submission.

nto

6.3.17



Sianature

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that:	The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:
State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	 State: whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1; brief reasons for your views. 	Give: • precise details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Provision State exclusion	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below As an alternative I propose
0	comply with this plane p us would be around \$1000 inrealistic and very a al lo a nightmare	a allow a lo
the form worke pests, and ferces gain of water	unrealistic and very a be a nightmare a winding round streem quality for thousans of	, with very little dollar sport.

•

Please consider forwarding a copy of your submission to <u>B+LNZ</u> so we can echo your comments in our submission

West coast Catchments Introduction Farm a family farm which has been in the barnily for over 100 years, we have high rain fall very muddy papa/chensoil, and there is high sediment loss, we have planted alot of tree's to slow the sedement loss but even in the back it is very sitty, when we get alot of rain. I dont beleave sedement is the pollen, I think its chenicals (fert) and borning pactice (overstocking) doing most of the damage. I'm not saying we should forget about crochion sedement loss but to me it is the least stamaging. From what 9 understand the 5 most polluted nives in Ny don't have any form inputs or runoff to them.? Common sense would le good, you are talking crippiling amounts of money box little or ro beribits to the rivers. little or ro

KEY ISSUES FOR SHEEP AND BEEF FARMERS

Waikato Regional Council is currently consulting on its proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1. The Council is seeking submissions on the proposed plan until **5pm 8 March 2017**. This template has been created to help you get involved.

Get involved

It is critical that you get involved in the process directly. The plan and its implementation will influence how you manage your land, so it is important that you understand what is being proposed and that you have your say.

- For more information on the proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 visit: <u>http://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/Council/Policy-and-plans/Plans-under-development/Healthy-Rivers---Plan-for-Change/</u>
- Review B+LNZs one page summary and full brief of the key issues by visiting the Beef + Lamb New Zealand Website: http://www.beeflambnz.com/news-events/News/2016/october/healthy-rivers/
- Download a copy of the proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 at: <u>http://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/PageFiles/46657/PlanChange1.pdf</u>
- Attend a B+LNZ Farmer Submission Workshop near you in November, visit the Beef + Lamb New Zealand Website for the schedule: <u>http://www.beeflambnz.com/news-events/News/2016/october/healthy-rivers/</u>
- Provide feedback to the council online at: <u>http://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/Council/Policy-and-plans/Plans-under-</u> <u>development/Healthy-Rivers---Plan-for-Change/Plan-change-1-have-your-say/</u>
- Provide your feedback to the council via email: <u>healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz</u>

Share your thoughts or get help with your submission by contacting Environment Policy Manager Corina Jordan: <u>corina.jordan@beeflambnz.com</u>, mobile 027 202 7337.

Instructions for using this template:

- Make sure you complete the covering sheet on the first page of the submission form.
- Delete or add table rows as required you can say as much or as little as you like, you're not limited to commenting on just the Plan sections included in the template.
- You must either support or oppose a part of the plan and ask for the council to make a decision on your submission point
- Wherever possible, try to back up your statements with examples from your own experiences, and/or cost implications on your farm business.
- Your feedback must be lodged with Waikato Regional Council by 5pm on Wednesday 8 March 2017.

Provision Stock exclusion I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ to amend Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below The reasons for this are: As an alternative I propose fercing in some cases yes but over all no. Do the science First you are talking crippling \$. Cost tarmed should have to unaffordable tercing all water ways Time , provide shade to there sloch and there would Benefit ____ ? infractible and fristeting le \$5% less standing Weeds _ more chem in to farm - waits in the streen. Which 9 more costs Pest_ In easey accessible streng have seen mery times with no shade where If we all want healthy cattle are brown to store streems we should all bay every as there are 9 think should be perced no advertage's to fames. atterwise no

J support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish Provision I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Restricting land use change to amend Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below The reasons for this are: As an alternative I propose 9 think we should be treated the same. restrict stock to stocking rates which suite the land type, and terrain tarming is a way of land um rainfall take unless we are doing damage to the nitrogen environment. (why charge) again do the matter fish make sure it is working Don't fix what and broke but treat us the same as fars as land type (in a lot of cases) goes.

Provision Stock exclusion I support/oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ to amend Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below The reasons for this are: As an alternative I propose We have a river founder leave how it so would only hay 2 against the Mokaw out stock really river? 1 in 3 years its floods with big logs + debrees flowing down which would should we be thinking of housing stock over winter erialate de fence, ve at least ne would at least ne would get some berifits for money stent ie less don't have time or money for this. pugging liss containent loss

•

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below As an alternative I propose