#### **Submission Form**

Submission on a publicly notified proposed Regional Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.

On: The Waikato Regional Councils proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 -

Waikato and Waipa River Catchments

To: Waikato Regional Council

401 Grey Street Hamilton East Private bag 3038 Waikato Mail Centre HAMILTON 3240

Complete the following

Full Name(s): Rob and Tina Macnab

Phone (hm): 078254778

Phone (wk):

Postal Address:153 Waimai valley, R D 2 Ngaruawahia

Phone (cell): 0273203185

Postcode: 3794

Email: rob@total-ag.com

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the proposed plan has a direct impact on my ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but I am not in direct trade competition with them.

I wish to be heard in support of this submission.

Yes.

Signature	date
0'	
Signature	date

The specific provisions of the proposal that this submission relates to and the decisions it seeks from Council are as detailed in the following table. The outcomes sought and the wording used is as a suggestion only, where a suggestion is proposed it is with the intention of 'or words to that effect'. The outcomes sought may require consequential changes to the plan, including Objectives, Policies, or other rules, or restructuring of the Plan, or parts thereof, to give effect to the relief sought.

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:  State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	My submission is that:  State:  whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1;  brief reasons for your views.		The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:  Give:  Details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
	SUPPORT / OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
Objective I  Long term restoration and protection of water quality for each subcatchment and FMU	Support	We would like the next generations to enjoy the freshwater as much as we do.	That the goals are revised so it is plain what the long-term outcomes for farmers will be.

#### Introduction

Thank you for the opportunity to submit on the Waikato Regional Councils proposed Plan Change 1.

Our names are Rob & Tina Macnab, children Tegan, Laura and Amelia. Our family have farmed at Waimai for 20 years. To purchase this farm Rob and Tina have made significant personal sacrifices. During our time here, our family has made similar sacrifices of time and money, but regard this as home, with all it entails.

We farm Sheep& Beef on 142ha which mostly falls into the Waimai Stream, a west coast stream. About 11ha (5.6%) of our farm falls to the Lake Whangapae catchment, so are fully captured by this PC1.

The farm at Waimai has been farmed for 130 years. Whilst a productive farm, it lacks scale to be economic. Therefore, we work off farm to support our family.

We have run our farms like many other Farmers; to leave them in a better condition than from when we started our tenure, 20 yrs. so far. We measure this both environmentally and economically. We do not run systems that are detrimental to the physical parameters/ limitations our farm has. Land use capability is something we consider foremost when considering farm policy.

Freshwater quality is very important to us. Our farm has a fully reticulated water system, our nutrient management is backed by sound science and there is a programme of retiring stream areas.

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:  State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.			The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:  Give:  Details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Policy.2.  Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from farming activities	Oppose	2.a. agree with tailored approach, but want it moved to a sub catchment approach, rather than farm level	Reference to sub-catchment control and governance.  Point source emitters included in sub-catchment solutions.
activities		2.b agree, want to see a lot more monitoring points in rivers and the ability to move some around to identify problem areas. (targeted)	Reference to the increase in monitoring quantified.
Farm Environment Plans		2.c establish a NRP for the farm for its present policy but the N allowance for a farm to be more flexible for low emitters	NRP not to be used for a grand parenting solution, but for a reference to start the journey and to be used as a measure so high emitters can begin reduction.
Provision 3.11.4.3	Oppose	Farm Environ mat plan for my farm will be meaningless, as it will only cover 5.6% of the farmed area.	Farm Environment Plans only required when 50% of the farm is in the Waikato Waipa Catchment.
		2.e stock exclusion within 3 years from when farm	Change to stick exclusion as stated by national water policy.

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:  State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	State:  out  whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1; sue		The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:  Give:  Details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Objective 3.  Short term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each subcatchment and FMU.  Targets are great but they need to be article for the whole 80 years  Support		Targets are great but they need to be articulated for the whole 80 years	The plan to include a full 80-year proposed regulation environment.
Policy.1.  Manage diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus and microbial pathogens.	Support with amendments	Agree with a. and b. under sub-catchment approach targeting the issues and risk that are specific to the areas geography.  1.c. The 2023 target is too tight	Would ask that Policy 1 c. say stock exclusion as written National Water Policy on stock exclusion.

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:  State specifically what Objective Policy, Rule map, glossary, or issue you are referring to	State:		The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:  Give:  Details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Policy.9. Sub-catchment approach, mitigation and planning.  Support with Amendment.  Support with Amendment.  Support with Amendment.  Sub-catchment at sub catchment lev key to achieving water quality.  Sub-catchments can target risk and issues spect to the catchment. With limited resource need target funds for cost benefit.		The sub-catchment governance should	
Policy. 11.  Application of best practice applicable option and mitigation or off set of effects to point source discharges.	Support with clarification	This needs to be flexible as to situation and take into account farming practices first, rather than ideological considerations	

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:  State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	sary, or issue  State:  whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1:		The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:  Give:  Details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Poicy.5. Staged approach	Support.	environment plan is provided. This is unrealistic in its timeframe.  Support this approach in principle however want it to be tailored to a sub catchment approach  Provision for timely transparent information and data on progress for each sub-catchment.  Land use is a basic property right.	Want to see provision for sub- catchment governance reporting periods and targets.  Ability for provision in time line of execution to provide for Objectives 2 and 4.
Policy.6. Restricting land use change.	Oppose	To restrict land use change makes no acknowledgement of new technology and changing markets. There's an ability to alter farming practices within existing farming systems that makes this policy pointless	Land use should be governed within the sub-catchment based on land use capability and natural capital.  If it fits within the parameters of the sub-catchments targets/goals, land use change should be consent able.

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:  State specifically what Objective Policy, Rule. map, glossary or issue you are referring to.			The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:  Give:  Details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Rules. 3.11.5.2. Permitted Activity Rule – other farming activities	Support with clarification.	Pt. 2. The current proposal is impractical and prohibitively expensive for us. We also do not have the resource or capability to meet this standard in the time frame. We would contest the cost benefit.  The water in my 11ha disappears into a Tomo, so it is not even sure that it enters the catchment!	Pt 2. Stock exclusion should be as national water policy.
		Pt.3 NRP. We disagree with grand parenting. Resource should be allocated as for Land use capability or natural capital. Grandparenting is the legitimized removal of one's capital to another, in this case from a farmer who has chosen to look after their land and environment, disadvantaged by having their natural capital and right to farm, Nitrogen utilisation, removed (stolen) and given to a polluter. If the polluter chose to capitalize their farm system and pollute they have hopefully been financially rewarded for that risk. That was their personal decision.	Pt.3. amended so grandparenting is not a part of PC.1 in any form. As per Schedule B Remove 4.a and 4.c

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:  State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	My submission is that:  State:  whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1:  brief reasons for your views.		The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:  Give:  Details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Implementation 3.11.4.2 Certified Industry Scheme. Implementation.	Support.	Gives credibility and independent professionalism.	
3.11.4.5 Sub-catchment scale planning.	Support with clarification	Giving decision making and autonomy back to the people in the sub-catchments will encourage more engagement and understanding therefore engagement to solutions.	
Implementation. 3.11.4.6. Funding and implementation.	Oppose	Cost to be shared by all rate payers and not targeted, we will have significant compliance and ongoing repairs and maintenance costs to achieve what PC1 proposes on our properties. Everyone will benefit from clean water.	A minimum of works to be provided for under UAC

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:  State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	whether you support or oppose each provision listed in column 1;     brief reasons for your views.		The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:  Give:  Details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Rules. 3.11.5.4 Controlled Activity Rule Farming activities with a farm environment plan not under a certified scheme.	Support with amendments.	PC1. As schedule A  Oppose NRP being used as a form of grandparenting as schedule B.  Consent length to 25yrs as for point source discharge consents.	Change to natural capital land use capability regarding sub-catchment capability and clean water parameters.  Change consents to 25yr time frame.  Only applies to a farm with 50% in the area under Waipa Waikato catchment.

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:  State specifically what Objective. Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	My submission is that:  State:  whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1;  brief reasons for your views.		The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:  Give:  Details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek	
Rules. 3.11.5.3 Permitted activity rule.	Support with amendment	Under sub-catchment approach N should be allowed to be leased between properties and only leased on an annual basis requiring FEP consents issued by Sub-catchment board.  Grandparenting and the use of the Overseer model is easily gamed and abused.  As above oppose stock exclusion as PC1 proposal.  Oppose NRP as a proxy for grandparenting.  N leaching and stocking rate be granted in	Pt.3 be amended as to national water policy for stock exclusion	
Farmers with a farm environment plan under a certified industry scheme.		accordance with sub-catchment capability and restrictions, with regard to land use capability or natural capital.  As above oppose stock exclusion as proposed in	Pt.2. be amended as suggested as per schedule B  Change to national water accord.	

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:  State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary or issue you are referring to.	My submission is that:  State:  whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1;  brief reasons for your views.		The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:  Give:  Details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Schedule.1. Requirements for FEPs  Schedule.2. Certification of Industry schemes.	Support with amendment  Support	Believe FEP will educate farmers on best practice and this Rule alone will bring the most significant difference to diffuse contaminant discharge off farms.  We have identified some major high contaminant loss areas and will make significant difference to E. coli sediment and N loss off the farm. Grazing of winter crops.  Will give robust transparent and equitable monitoring and planning for farmers.  Farmers and their industry working for each other and the environment.  Note that compliance does come at a cost and time. Ask that efficiency is considered and that WRC costs are covered by all rate payers.  Monitoring and standardization where possible across schemes are reviewed constantly.	Only required when 50% of the farm is in the catchment

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that:		The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:
	SUPPORT / OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
Rule.3.11.5.7  Non-complying activity rule.	Oppose	Sub-catchment governance approach is the best forum to manage land use change. Best land use and land use capability within the catchments capacity. We need to able to adapt take advantage of new technology and changing markets. Forages, virtual fencing.	Change to sub-catchment management /governance control.
Rules.	Support with clarification.	Clarification of definition and dates clause.f.	
Schedule. A. Rules. Schedule. B.	Oppose.	N needs to be monitored but I don't think NRP and its use as a Grandparenting tool is correct.	N monitoring is important but priority should be given to the contaminant of most concern in each sub-catchment.  Concentrating on best management practice and closer more intensive monitoring of streams and rivers.
Schedule. C.	Oppose	The present proposal is unworkable in a practical sense.	
Stock Exclusion.		We need to be given consents for intermittent stock crossing of streams at fords.	Change to the National water policy statement on stock exclusion.

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that: State:	The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:
State specifically what		Give:
Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	<ul> <li>whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1;</li> <li>brief reasons for your views.</li> </ul>	Details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek

Yours sincerely

1103/2017

Signature

Date

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:  State specifically what Objective. Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	My submission is that:  State:  whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1;  brief reasons for your views.		The decision I would like the Walkato Regional Council to make is:  Give:  Details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
	Support.		
The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that:		The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:
	SUPPORT / OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
		•	
		•	
	SUPPORT / OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT