# PROPOSED WAIKATO REGIONAL PLAN CHANGE 1



## **WAIKATO AND WAIPĀ RIVER CATCHMENTS**

Submission form on publicly notified – Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipā River Catchments.

Important: Save this PDF to your computer hefore answering. If you edit the original form from this webpage, your changes will not save. Please check or update your software to allow for editing. We recommend Acrobat Reader.

SHRMISSIONS CAN BE

FORM 5 Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

SubForm	PC12016	COVER SH	EET
	FOR OF	ICE USE ONLY	
		Submission Numbe	r
Entered		Initials	
File Ref		Sheet 1 of	-

3051113510113 67		
Mailed to	Chief Executive, 401 Grey Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240	
Delivered to	Waikato Regional Council, 401 Grey Street, Hamilton East, Hamilton	
Faxed to	(07) 859 0998  Please Note: if you fax your submission, please post or deliver a copy to one of the above addresses	
Emailed to	healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz  Please Note: Submissions received by email must contain full contact details.	
Online at	www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/healthyrivers	
	We need to receive your submission by 5pm, 8 March 2017.	
YOUR NAME AN	D CONTACT DETAILS	
ruii name:	ond John & Jennifer Jane Ridings	
Full address:	Ridings Rd, RD 1 Paeroa. 3671	
Email: ridings@farmside.co.nz		
9hone: 07 867 6		

# TRADE COMPETITION AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF A FIRST OF ARE

O I could / O could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. Unsure. We are dairy

Fax:\_

I am / I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

tarmer

(a) adversely effects the environment, and

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE OF SUBMITTER

Full name: As above

Email: \_\_\_ Phone: \_

(b) does not relate to the trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Address for service of person making submission:

Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

# THE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 THAT MY SUBMISSION RELATES TO Please state the provision, map or page number e.g. Objective 4 or Rule 3.11.5.1 (Continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary). Policy 2c Policy 2d

### I SUPPORT OR OPPOSE THE ABOVE PROVISION/S

(Select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary).

- Support the above provisions
- Support the above provision with amendments
- Oppose the above provisions

### MY SUBMISSION IS THAT

Tell us the reasons why you support or oppose or wish to have the specific provisions amended. (Please continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary).

We support and applaud the Vision and Strategy objectives in general, but have some concerns about the unfair burden some farmers such as ourselves will carry due to the poorly structured Grandparenting arrangement as it is proposed.

Note; Because our comments flowed out side of this submission box, we have attached it to a separate page at the end of this document.

### I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION BY COUNCIL

(Select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary).

- Accept the above provision
- Accept the above provision with amendments as outlined
- O Decline the above provision
- If not declined, then amend the above provision as outlined

### **RJ & JJ Ridings**

We support and applaud the Vision and Strategy objectives in general, but have some concerns about the unfair burden some farmers such as ourselves will carry due to the poorly structured Grandparenting arrangement as it is proposed.

Management practises which are already meeting the Vision & Strategy objectives by minimising environmental risk, should not be penalised by the establishment of a Nitrogen Reference Point to the benchmarking of that farm on a % of reduction, where it is already way below those who are using management practises with a high nitrogen leaching risk.

This will result in a loss of equity in our operation and give no incentive for others to produce what our customers are asking for in organic products. This would also mean that if the land was sold in the future, there would be a limited market of sale, therefore penalising us for taking the initiative many years previous by changing our farming practises to provide a healthier environment.

Since starting Organic Certification in 2000 we have invested heavily in people education, infrastructure and plantings to minimise environmental risk. The objective of these investments was and is to meet environmental, consumer and community expectations. Considerable effort and education in personnel has been required to develop an ecosystem with the diversity to sustain an economically viable operation for us personally. This has meant we now have a system which reduces the need for large quantities of bought in nutrients and feed. You would be asking us to pay again with lost equity and options when we already tick most of the boxes, if no consideration is given to our submission.

We have not always been organic. Through the 1990's we were among the early users of Urea and bought in feed supplements, but through our research and experiences we soon realised this was not a sustainable way to farm. For us, the proposed Grandparenting scheme penalises us heavily for being proactive with our concerns for the environment.

We question whether Overseer is the appropriate tool for monitoring the proposed environmental outcomes.

We are asking that you give serious consideration to us and the likes of, by at least enabling Certified Organic farms to revert to the average leachate levels for the district should circumstance change. We acknowledge and hope the average levels decreasing over time will end up close to where we already are, but the proposal will make us pay twice for being ahead of the game.

By building in some form of allowance for upward movement to district average mitigates much of our burden.

PLEASE INDICATE BY TICKING THE RELEVANT BOX WHETHER YOU WISH TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF YOUR SUBMISSION
I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.
U I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.
JOINT SUBMISSIONS
Of others make a similar submission, please tick this box if you will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
IF YOU HAVE USED EXTRA SHEETS FOR THIS SUBMISSION PLEASE ATTACH THEM TO THIS FORM AND INDICATE BELOW
Yes, I have attached extra sheets.  No, I have not attached extra sheets.
SIGNATURE OF SUBMITTER
Signature: J. Richnigs May Date: 8/3/17.
Personal information is used for the administration of the submission process and will be made public. All information collected will be held by Waikato Regional Council, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information.

PLEASE CHECK that you have provided all of the information requested and if you are having trouble filling out this form, phone Waikato Regional Council on 0800 800 401 for help.

Section number of the Plan Change:				
Do you support or oppose the provision?	◯ Support ◯ Oppose			
Submission	Decision Sought			
State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.	State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.			
Section number of the Plan Change:				
Do you support or oppose the provision?	→ Support → Oppose			
Do you support or oppose the provision? Submission	Support Oppose  Decision Sought			