Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

Submission form on publicly notified – Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

SubForm	PC12016	COVER SHEET	Γ
-	FOR OFFICE	USE ONLY	
		Submission	
		Number	
Entered		Initials	
File Ref		Sheet 1 of	

FORM 5 Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

SUBMISSIONS	CAN BE		
Mailed to	Chief Executive, 401 Grey Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240		
Delivered to	Waikato Regional Council, 401 Grey Street, Hamilton East, Hamilton		
Faxed to	(07) 859 0998 Please Nate: if you fax your submission, please post or deliver a copy to one of the above addresses		
Emailed to	healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz Please Note: Submissions received my email must contain full contact details. We also request you send us a signed original by post or courier.		
Online at	www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/healthyrivers		
	We need to receive your submission by 5pm, 8 March 2017.		
Full name Ocea	Inview Farms Limited 260 THOMSON ROAD R.D.Z. WAIUKU		
	orn-ton Ortra. ca. az Phone 092352858 Fax		
ADDRESS FOR	SERVICE OF SUBMITTER		
Full name Ocea	nview Farms Limited		
Address for ser	vice of person making submission 679a Wainky - Otana Road R.V.2 War		
Email K. theraton extra. co. NZ Phone 092352265 Fax			
TRADE COMPE	TITION AND ADVERSE EFFECTS (in 1) Captroprises		
☐ I could / ✓	could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.		
(a) adversely e	n not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: ffects the environment, and late to the trade competition or the effects of trade competition.		
Delete entire p	aragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.		

THE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 THAT MY SUBMISSION RELATES TO
r Puse situe on a Communication of the Communicatio
Refer attached separate sheet.
I SUPPORT OR OPPOSE THE ABOVE PROVISION/S (So not as appropriate area on the consequence should be necessary)
Support the above provisions
Support the above provision with amendments
✓ Oppose the above provisions
MY SUBMISSION IS THAT The six the rease is why early subpart or operating with to higher the period provisions of reading.
Telepism (etter in de simporate sheetjs, f. diassina)
Refer attached separate sheet.
I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION BY COUNCIL theles, that appropriate an assertance on separate securits) if necessary (
Accept the above provision
Accept the above provision with amendments as outlined below
✓ Decline the above provision
✓ If not declined, then amend the above provision as outlined below
Amend as follows:
Refer attached separate sheet.

Doc # 9150077 Page 2

PLEASE INDICATE BY TICKING THE RELEVANT BOX WHE YOUR SUBMISSION	THER YOU WISH TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF
✓ I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submis	ssions.
☐ I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of m	y submissions.
JOINT SUBMISSIONS	
\checkmark If others make a similar submission, please tick this b hearing.	pox if you will consider presenting a joint case with them at the
IF YOU HAVE USED EXTRA SHEETS FOR THIS SUBMISSIC INDICATE BELOW	ON PLEASE ATTACH THEM TO THIS FORM AND
✓ Yes, I have attached extra sheets.	☐ No, I have not attached extra sheets.
SIGNATURE OF SUBMITTER (or person authorised to sub-uniner of efision term As a copure of the equilibrium for each or enterminent of the equilibrium of the equilib	rando ser la argente.
Signature Whoe Me	Date 3/3/17
Personal information is used for the administration of the collected will be held by Waikato Regional Council, with information.	he submission process and will be made public. All information a submitters having the right to access and correct personal

PLEASE CHECK that you have provided all of the information requested and if you are having trouble filling out this form, phone Waikato Regional Council on 0800 800 401 for help.

Additional sheet to assist in making a submission

Section number of the Plan Change	Support /Oppose	Submission	Decision sought
Please refer to title and page numbers used in the plan change document	Indicate whether you support or oppose the provision.	State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.	State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.
Definition – Nitrogen Reference Point	Oppose	Oppose the use of OVERSEER as the primary model for deriving a nitrogen loss number from a farming activity.	Remove reliance of PC1 on OVERSEER as the primary model for deriving a nitrogen loss number from a farming activity.
		The OVERSEER model was not developed to be used as a regulatory tool but has been adopted for this purpose in PC1. The model is inaccurate with version updates affecting the reliability of results.	This ten year plan should rely only on establishing good management practice for farming activities to reduce or minimise the risk of contaminants entering a water body. Over the next ten years further research and analysis should be undertaken on appropriate methods and approaches to contaminant management for all activities in the catchment from urban and rural activities.
Schedule B — Nitrogen Reference Point	Oppose	Oppose the use of OVERSEER as the primary model for deriving a nitrogen loss number from a farming activity and oppose the reference period being the two financial years covering 2014/2015 and 2015/2016. This period of farming activity was characterised by low payouts and less inputs and is not an accurate or realistic	Remove reliance of PC1 on OVERSEER as the primary model for deriving a nitrogen loss number from a farming activity. Should the method be retained then the reference period should be extended to a five year period and the Nitrogen Reference Point being highest annual nitrogen leaching loss that occurred during a single year (being 12 consecutive months) within the reference period.
		reflection of a typical farming system which will fluctuate with market and environment conditions.	

Doc # 9150077 Page

Schedule C – Stock	Oppose	Oppose lack of clarity on	The definition of waterbody in
exclusion		waterbodies for the	Schedule C should exclude artificial
		purposes of stock	watercourses including farm drainage
		exclusion.	canals
		Schedule 8 states that	
		water bodies from which	
		cattle, horses, deer and	
		pigs must be excluded:	
		i. Any river that continually	
		contains surface water.	
		ii. Any drain that	
		continually contains	
		surface water.	
		iii.Any wetland, including a	
		constructed wetland.	
		iv.Any lake.	
		The definition should	
	}	exclude artificial	
	•	watercourses including	
		farm drainage canals. Farm	
		drains are often a source of	
		stock drinking water and	
		not part of a naturally	
		flowing freshwater system.	
		A farm drain may	
		continually contain water	,
		but this may percolate	
		filtering contaminants such	
	1	as sediment as opposed to	
	1	flowing to connected	
		natural freshwater	
		systems.	
Rule 3.11.5.7 - Non	Oppose	Oppose a Non-Complying	Amend Rule 3.11.5.7 to focus on new
Complying Activity		Activity status for land use	large scale land conversions on land
Rule - Land Use		change. The rule should	where contaminants cannot be
Change		focus on new large scale	mitigated rather than a blunt tool that
		land conversions on land	affects all farming operations
		where contaminants	
		cannot be mitigated rather	
		than a blunt tool that	
		affects all farming	
		operations.	

Doc # 9150077 Page 5

Regulatory	Oppose	The plan will have wide	Provide a support package to maintain
Framework – Farm		ranging effects on rural	the viability of farming activities:
Environment Plans,		land users and the viability	Rates review.
Auditing,	ļ.	of farming operations.	 Compensation for loss of
Contaminant		Rural Production activities	income and land value.
Management,		must be able to change and	
Nitrogen Limits		adapt to market	
		circumstance and	
		environmental conditions	
		while recognising the need	
		to avoid, remedy or	
		mitigate effects on the	
		environment. PC1 is	
		resulting in financial	
		hardship, stress and an	
		excessive regulatory	
		regime.	
		, 	
		If PC1 is to proceed rural	
		land users must be	
		supported financially and	
		with the right information	
	}	and government support to	
		maintain viability.	

Doc # 9150077

Level Playing Field -Oppose the lack of a level Oppose Resource consent terms should be the Rural and Urban playing field between rural same for urban and rural activities. effects and urban activities and their effects. PC1 should require the point and nonpoint discharges from urban land use It is not reasonable that to be addressed within the same current urban land use can regulatory framework and timeframes continue to discharge high as rural activities. volumes and levels contaminants authorised Urban intensification and greenfield by long term resource development that degrades water consent approvals when quality in the Waikato catchment the rural community is should be avoided. loaded with high regulation and land use restriction through PC1. Urban intensification is being encouraged in the Waikato and Auckland within existing centres with substandard and/or failing infrastructure discharging contaminants into the Waikato catchment. Noting Auckland discharges wastewater to the Waikato via Tuakau. Furthermore, greenfield growth is being promoted by regional and district councils in the Waikato when science shows that greenfield growth results in a degradation in water quality. As urban development proceeds and continues to

degrade water quality the future nutrient allocation framework will be unfairly weighted towards urban activities at the expense of rural production activities and the production of food to feed the urban activities.