Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

Submission form on publicly notified – Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

SubForm	PC12016	COVER SHE	ET
	FOR OFFICE	USE ONLY	
		Submission Number	
Entered		Initials	
File Ref		Sheet 1 of	

FORM 5 Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

SUBMISSIONS CAN BE					
Mailed to	Chief Executive, 401 Grey Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240				
Delivered to	Waikato Regional Council, 401 Grey Street, Hamilton East, Hamilton				
Faxed to	(07) 859 0998 Please Note: if you fax your submission, please post or deliver a copy to one of the above addresses				
Emailed to	healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz Please Note: Submissions received my email must contain full contact details. We also request you send us a signed original by post or courier.				
Online at	www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/healthyrivers				
We need to receive your submission by 5pm, 8 March 2017.					

YOUR	YOUR NAME AND CONTACT DETAILS							
Full nai	me Ocean v	iew Farr	ns Limited					
Full add	dress	260	THOMSON	1 Rd		RD2 WAINKY		
Email	ekthori	tonex	tra.co.nz	Phone o	9	2352356	Fax	

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE OF SUBMITTER							
Full name Oceanview Farms Limited							
Address for service of person making sub	omission	136	THOMSON	Rd	RDZ	WAIUKY	
Email ckthornton@ vtra .co.nz 1	Phone _C 9	2352	.856		Fax		

TRADE COMPETITION AND ADVERSE EFFECTS (select appropriate)	
☐ I could / ✓ could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.	
I am / am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (a) adversely effects the environment, and (b) does not relate to the trade competition or the effects of trade competition. Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.	

THE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 THAT MY SUBMISSION RELATES TO
Please state the provision, map or page number e.g. Objective 4 or Rule 3.11.5.1 (continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)
Refer attached separate sheet.
I SUPPORT OR OPPOSE THE ABOVE PROVISION/S (select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)
☐ Support the above provisions
Support the above provision with amendments
✓ Oppose the above provisions
MY SUBMISSION IS THAT They as the reasons why yours apport or oppose or wish to have the specific provisions amended
Please contraction separate sheetess theorissary is
Refer attached separate sheet.
I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION BY COUNCIL (select as appropriate and continue on separate sneet(s) if necessary.)
Accept the above provision
Accept the above provision with amendments as outlined below
✓ Decline the above provision
✓ If not declined, then amend the above provision as outlined below
Amend as follows:
Refer attached separate sheet.

Doc # 9150077 Page 2

PLEASE INDICATE BY TICKING THE RELEVANT BOX WHETH YOUR SUBMISSION	ER YOU WISH TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF				
✓ I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission	ns.				
l do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my s	ubmissions.				
JOINT SUBMISSIONS					
✓ If others make a similar submission, please tick this box hearing.	if you will consider presenting a joint case with them at the				
IF YOU HAVE USED EXTRA SHEETS FOR THIS SUBMISSION INDICATE BELOW	PLEASE ATTACH THEM TO THIS FORM AND				
✓ Yes, I have attached extra sheets.	No, I have not attached extra sheets.				
SIGNATURE OF SUBMITTER					
compension and home diffusion in the mark of solvenities.					
Signature Chlorito Date 3/3/17					
Personal information is used for the administration of the s collected will be held by Waikato Regional Council, with sul information.	ubmission process and will be made public. All information omitters having the right to access and correct personal				

PLEASE CHECK that you have provided all of the information requested and if you are having trouble filling out this form, phone Waikato Regional Council on 0800 800 401 for help.

Additional sheet to assist in making a submission

Section number of the Plan Change	Support /Oppose	Submission	Decision sought
Please refer to title	Indicate whether	State in summary the	State clearly the decision and/or
and page numbers	you support or	nature of your submission	suggested changes you want Council
used in the plan	oppose the	and the reasons for it.	to make on the provision.
change document	provision.		
Definition – Nitrogen Reference Point	Oppose	Oppose the use of OVERSEER as the primary model for deriving a nitrogen loss number from a farming activity. The OVERSEER model was	Remove reliance of PC1 on OVERSEER as the primary model for deriving a nitrogen loss number from a farming activity. This ten year plan should rely only on establishing good management
		not developed to be used as a regulatory tool but has been adopted for this purpose in PC1. The model is inaccurate with version updates affecting the reliability of results.	practice for farming activities to reduce or minimise the risk of contaminants entering a water body. Over the next ten years further research and analysis should be undertaken on appropriate methods and approaches to contaminant management for all activities in the catchment from urban and rural activities.
Schedule B — Nitrogen Reference Point	Oppose	Oppose the use of OVERSEER as the primary model for deriving a nitrogen loss number from a farming activity and oppose the reference period being the two financial years covering 2014/2015 and 2015/2016. This period of farming activity was characterised by low payouts and less inputs and is not an accurate or realistic reflection of a typical farming system which will fluctuate with market and environment conditions.	Remove reliance of PC1 on OVERSEER as the primary model for deriving a nitrogen loss number from a farming activity. Should the method be retained then the reference period should be extended to a five year period and the Nitrogen Reference Point being highest annual nitrogen leaching loss that occurred during a single year (being 12 consecutive months) within the reference period.

Doc # 9150077 Page 4

Schedule C – Stock	Oppose	Oppose lack of clarity on	The definition of waterbody in
exclusion		waterbodies for the	Schedule C should exclude artificial
		purposes of stock	watercourses including farm drainage
		exclusion.	canals
		Schedule 8 states that	
		water bodies from which	
		cattle, horses, deer and	
		pigs must be excluded:	
		i. Any river that continually	
		contains surface water.	
		ii. Any drain that	
		continually contains	
		surface water.	
		iii.Any wetland, including a	
		constructed wetland.	
		iv.Any lake.	
		The definition should	
		exclude artificial	
		watercourses including	
	ŀ	farm drainage canals. Farm	
		drains are often a source of	
		stock drinking water and	
		not part of a naturally	
]	flowing freshwater system.	
		A farm drain may	
		continually contain water	
		but this may percolate	
		filtering contaminants such	
		as sediment as opposed to	
		flowing to connected	
	1	natural freshwater	
		systems.	
Rule 3.11.5.7 – Non	Oppose	Oppose a Non-Complying	Amend Rule 3.11.5.7 to focus on new
Complying Activity		Activity status for land use	large scale land conversions on land
Rule – Land Use		change. The rule should	where contaminants cannot be
Change	1	focus on new large scale	mitigated rather than a blunt tool that
-	1	land conversions on land	affects all farming operations
		where contaminants	0 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
]	cannot be mitigated rather	
	1	than a blunt tool that	
		affects all farming	
	1	operations.	

Regulatory Framework – Farm Environment Plans, Auditing, Contaminant Management, Nitrogen Limits	Oppose	The plan will have wide ranging effects on rural land users and the viability of farming operations. Rural Production activities must be able to change and adapt to market circumstance and environmental conditions while recognising the need to avoid, remedy or mitigate effects on the environment. PC1 is resulting in financial hardship, stress and an excessive regulatory regime.	Provide a support package to maintain the viability of farming activities: Rates review. Compensation for loss of income and land value.
		If PC1 is to proceed rural land users must be supported financially and with the right information and government support to maintain viability.	

Level Playing Field -Resource consent terms should be the Oppose Oppose the lack of a level Rural and Urban playing field between rural same for urban and rural activities. effects and urban activities and their effects. PC1 should require the point and nonpoint discharges from urban land use It is not reasonable that to be addressed within the same current urban land use can regulatory framework and timeframes continue to discharge high as rural activities. volumes and levels contaminants authorised Urban intensification and greenfield by long term resource development that degrades water consent approvals when quality in the Waikato catchment the rural community is should be avoided. loaded with high regulation and land use restriction through PC1. Urban intensification is being encouraged in the Waikato and Auckland within existing centres with substandard and/or failing infrastructure discharging contaminants into the Waikato catchment. Noting **Auckland discharges** wastewater to the Waikato via Tuakau. Furthermore, greenfield growth is being promoted by regional and district councils in the Waikato when science shows that greenfield growth results in a degradation in water quality. As urban development proceeds and continues to degrade water quality the

future nutrient allocation framework will be unfairly weighted towards urban activities at the expense of rural production activities and the production of food to feed the urban activities.