Prop and V

aikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato ver Catchments.

Submission form on publicly notified – Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

SubForm	COVER SHEET		
	FOR OFFICE L	ISE ONLY	11.5
		Submission Number	
Entered		Initials	نبتد

FORM 5 Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

SUBMISSIONS CAN BE					
Mailed to	Chief Executive, 401 Grey Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240				
Delivered to Waikato Regional Council, 401 Grey Street, Hamilton East, Hamilton					
Faxed to	(07) 859 0998 Please Note: if you fax your submission, please post or deliver a copy also				
Emailed to	healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz Please Note: Submissions received my email must contain full contact details. We also request you send us a signed original by post or courier.				
Online at	www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/healthyrivers				
	We need to receive your submission by 5pm, 8 March 2017.				

YOUR NA	AME AND CONTACT DETA	ILS			
Full name	Neville Adams				Doc No: 10108141
Full addre	ess 208 Mossop roa	d R D 1 Tokor	oa		1 3 MAR 2017
Email	nevron@xtra.co.nz	Phone	078864431	Fax	Pers Resp. Jam S
					(The second sec

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE	OF SUBMITTE	R							Name of the last o
Full name as abov	e								
Address for service of pe	erson making	submission	1						
Email		Phone			Fax				
PLEASE INDICATE YOUR SUBMISSION	WHETHER	YOU	WISH	то	BE	HEARD	IN	SUPPORT	OF
I wish to speak at the	e hearing in s	upport of m	ny submiss	ions.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				201 21

I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.

Fated 3 /2017

SIGNATURE (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) ignature is not required if you make your submission by elec	OF ctronic means.	SUBMITTER
Signature Allaham	Date 5/3/17.	
Personal information is used for the administration information collected will be held by Waikato Region correct personal information.		

SUBMISSION POINTS: General comments

I own 5 farms varying sizes and have a mix of Dairy and beef totally 1220 ha and 1200 cows and 1500 dry cattle, these farms are 2 in the upper Kairaporo catchment and Waipa.

I my reference points are around 45 on the dairy farms. We are stocked at between 2.8 to 3.2 cows/ha. We have voluntarily fenced all our waterways and have done a number of planting projects. We have retired land and currently are looking at retiring approx. 70 odd ha. s whether into Manuka or Pine trees. The cost of all these have been paid by us over the last 10 years at approx. about \$10.000.

We have been very supportive of having a sustainable farm operation and agree that there is a issue with the rivers. We have made considerable progress on keeping effluent out of rivers and using more land base applications.

I am concerned about the following issues with PC1.

- · That are we all contributing to seeing succeed
- Why has Maori been given an out and not included in the whole plan, THIS IS PREDUJICE!!!
- If farmers do their part, WHAT ABOUT KINLEITH PULP PAPER MILL? (as they are not doing their part, JUST GO AND LOOK AT THERE DISCHARD INTO THE WAIKATO RIVER) and your monitoring sites prove this!!!!!
- What about the sewage plants that aren't up to standard (Tokoroa, Hamilton) which all contribute to the state of the Waikato river
- Has science been 100% accurate blaming farmers alone for state of our waterways
- Yes, I agree that like all industry's we have people that give our industry's a bad name BUT NOT ALL, as farmers we have done a lot for the
 environment in the last 10 years

I support the submission that has been lodged by Federated Farmers. I am particularly concerned about the following aspects of Plan Change 1:

- The significant negative effect on rural communities
- · The cost and practicality of the rules.
- · The effect that the Nitrogen Reference Point will have on my business and my economic wellbeing.
- The Farm Environment plan requirements leading to unnecessary and costly regulation of inputs, outputs, normal farming activity and business information
- The costs and practicality of the rules and requirements for stock exclusion, the Nitrogen Reference Point and the Farm Environment Plan.
- · The timeframes for complying with the Nitrogen Reference Point rules which are too short and unachievable
- The plan significantly exceeding the 10 year targets in many attributes and areas
- · The lack of science and monitoring at the sub catchments level

I wish to be heard at the Hearing.

I am concerned about the implications all of this will have for my property and for my current activity as described above. I set out my concerns more specifically in the table below.

SUBMISSION POINTS: Specific comments

Page No	Reference (e.g. Policy, or Rule number)	Support or Oppose	Decision sought Say what changes to Plan Change 1 you would like	Give Reasons
40	Rule 3.11.5.2 Permitted Activity Rule – Other farming activities			
41	Rule 3.11.5.3 Permitted Activity Rule – Farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme	OPPOSE	Amend 3.11.5.3 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission.	

Page No	Reference (e.g. Policy, or Rule number)	Support or Oppose	Decision sought Say what changes to Plan Change 1 you would like	Give Reasons
42	Rule 3.11.5.4 Controlled Activity Rule - Farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan not under a Certified Industry Scheme	OPPOSE	Amend 3.11.5.4 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission.	This proposal will impose significant costs on my farming activities including when the 75% nitrogen reduction comes in this will effect the bottom line significantly.
45	Rule 3.11.5.7 Non- Complying Activity Rule – Land Use Change	OPPOSE	Amend 3.11.5.7 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission.	This proposal will impose significant costs on my farming activities including having another activity to comply with. When does it stop
46	Schedule A: Registration with Waikato Regional Council			

Page No	Reference (e.g. Policy, or Rule number)	Support or Oppose	Decision sought Say what changes to Plan Change 1 you would like	Give Reasons
47	Schedule B: Nitrogen Reference point	OPPOSE	Amend Schedule B as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission.	If reference point is reduced that will have a major impact financially to us, and I question why is nitrogen targeted and question why the biggest SINGLE contributor to nitrogen(KINLEITH)is not been perused vigorously to reduce this.
i i				This proposal will impose significant costs on my farming activities including a reduced nitrogen reference point would overall reduce the amount of grass we grow thus reducing the output thus reducing profit. Lets be honest farming is a lifestyle but is primarily a business and if its not profitable reduces the overall value of the land.
50	Schedule C: Stock Exclusion	OPPOSE	Amend Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission.	This proposal will impose significant costs on my farming activities including Putting in fence lines which would have a negative impact on waterways due to erosion thus increasing sediment in the water. I am also concerned that this is not practical because SOME land is not able to be fenced and watered. We support having dairy cows excluded from waterways
51	Schedule 1: Requirements for Farm Environment Plans	OPPOSE	Amend Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission.	This proposal will impose significant costs on my farming activities including the proof of placement. We purchase fertiliser to be put on our farms and having a map that we do that should be all is required, not employing someone to prove placement.