#### **Submission Form**

Submission on a publically notified proposed Regional Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.

On: The Waikato Regional Councils proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 -

Waikato and Waipa River Catchments

To: Waikato Regional Council

> 401 Grey Street Hamilton East Private baa 3038 Waikato Mail Center HAMILTON 3240

Complete the following

Full Name(s): Matira Sub Catchment Group

Susan & Hayden Brooks **Cliff & Leonie Babbington** 

John & Denise Guy

Kelvin & Kate Babbington

Jacob Babbington **Rob & Tina Macnab** Steve & Sandra Parrott Alex & Ulrika Parrott John & Fiona Sherlock Simon & Rosie Worsp Steven & Mel Brooks Clyde Boult

Nick & Andrew Ewen Malcolm & Sally Lee

Phone (hm): 078254500

Phone (wk):

Postal Address: 780 Matira Rd, R D 1 NGARUAWAHIA

Phone (cell): 0274809624

Postcode: 3794

Signature

Email: hsbrooks@outlook.co.nz
I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the proposed plan has a direct impact on my ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but I am not in direct trade competition with them.
I wish to be heard in support of this submission.
Signature date

date

The specific provisions of the proposal that this submission relates to and the decisions it seeks from Council are as detailed in the following table. The outcomes sought and the wording used is as a suggestion only, where a suggestion is proposed it is with the intention of 'or words to that effect'. The outcomes sought may require consequential changes to the plan, including Objectives, Policies, or other rules, or restructuring of the Plan, or parts thereof, to give effect to the relief sought.

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that	:	The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:
	SUPPORT / OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River – pages 15 - 16, Objectives 1,3 and 4 on page 27, Policy 5 and 7 on pages 31 - 32, rules 3.11.5.3 - 3.11.5.5 on pages 41 to 44 and Schedule 1 on pages 51 - 53.	We Oppose	The reasons for this are:  We have so much of our family's wealth invested in our farms we need more certainty. This uncertainty could potentially devalue our asset and make it difficult for further family succession of our business.	I seek that the provision is: deleted in its entirety.

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that	t:	The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:
	SUPPORT / OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
Nitrogen Reference Point Objectives 1 and 4, Policy 2 & 7, Rule 3.11.5.3-3.11.5.7 and schedule 1	I Oppose	The reasons for this are:  It is form of rewarding polluters and it is proven that our Nitrate levels are within the 80 year target (at the Rangiriri-Glen Murray Bridge).  It is flawed as a nutrient allocation method.	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety  As an alternative I propose  That there is a land use suitability and a sub catchment method that would work better.
The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that:		The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:
	SUPPORT / OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
Stock Exclusion Rules 3 .11.5.1-3.11.5.4	l support	The reasons for this are:  I support excluding stock but only wish to 15° with a definition of a waterway to 1m wide 30 cm deep.	I seek that the provision is: amended as set out below  As an alternative I propose

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that	:	The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:
		The cost of fencing to 25°is prohibitive as determined by the Federated Farmers study  The time frame is too short for our catchment to get to 25° or even 15°. We seek that is put out to 2026	That the National standard of NPSFW is applied to this rule.  And that the new Clean Waters policy is the standard adopted
Land Use Restriction Policy 6 Rule 3.11.5.7	Oppose	That all provisions that limit the effect of land use change are already included in the plan	Struck out in its entirety.

Yours sincerely

Signature Date