Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

Submission form on publicly notified – Proposed Walkato Regional Plan Change 1 – Walkato and Walpa River Catchments.

4

SubForm	PC12016	COVER SHEET
	FOR OFFICE	JSE ONLY
	9	ubmission
	ا	lumber
		nitials
Entered		heet 1 of

FORM 5 Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

SUBMISSIONS	CAN BE
Mailed to	Chief Executive, 401 Grey Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240
Delivered to	Waikato Regional Council, 401 Grey Street, Hamilton East, Hamilton
Faxed to	(07) 859 0998 Please Note: if you fax your submission, please post or deliver a copy to one of the above addresses
Emailed to	healthyrivers@waikatoregion_govt.nz Please Note: Submissions received my email must contain full contact details. We also request you send us a signed original by post or courier.
Online at	www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/healthyrivers
	We need to receive your submission by 5pm, 8 March 2017.

YOUR NAME AND CONTACT DETAILS Full name _____Lin & Adrienne MacLachlan Full address _ 266 Pukerimu Road R D 3 _ Te Kuiti _ 3983_____ Email _____lpas.macs@gmail.com Phone ____027 4191590 0272206034 Fax

R		
submission266 Pukerimu Roa	ad R D 3 Te Kuiti	
Phone <u>0274191590</u> 0272206034	Fax	
EFFECTS (Schert 1999) + Bhatter		
5	ubmission <u>266 Pukerimu Ro</u> ; Phone <u>0274191590</u> <u>0272206034</u>	ubmission 266 Pukerimu Road R D 3 Te Kuiti Phone 0274191590 0272206034 Fax

☐ I_-could /could ☐_-could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. I ☐ Fam / ☐ am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

(a) adversely effects the environment, and

(b) does not relate to the trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

Doc # 9150077

JAA.

THE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 THAT MY SUBMISSION RELATES TO	
Please state the provise a man or page applier or COP, dive 4 or Rule 3-11 5-1	
(continue on separate sheet(s) if accessary (
Plan Change 1 in its entirety.	
General Submission Sheets attached	
Thank you for the opportunity to submit on Regional Councils Plan Change 1	
We Lin and Adrienne MacLachlan are farming 750 Ha, dry stock dairy support, beef and sheep farm in the West	
Coast catchment	
We have farmed this land for 39 years Soil types are Mairoa ash with small area of mudstone	
Water is reticulated throughout the whole property all stock have access to water troughs.	
We have an annual conservative fertilizer dressing	
We have the major stream that is flowing through our property and its tributaries fenced from stock access on its	
journey across our land	
Stocking of the land is according to topography, contour is flat, rolling to steep. We are a grass based system, silage	
is made No cropping .	
Our concern is the cost and implementation regarding stock exclusion rules , land change rules and NRP will impact	
severely on our farming business as a viable enterprise.	
I SUPPORT OR OPPOSE THE ABOVE PROVISION/S Clear os appropriate and continue on separate or introduct security (
occosing opinite millionnini on septence sectory, accessicy i	
Support the above provisions	
Support the above provision with amendments	
-	Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm
• O Oppose the above provisions	Formatted: Bulleted + Level. 1 + Aligned at. 0 63 cm + Indent at. 1.27 cm
MY SUBMISSION IS THAT	
$(1, 1, p, 1) \in p \in p^{-1}$, a consideration of the state $1 = -\infty (1, 1, p) \in p^{-1}$, and $1 = -\infty$	
Part of the construction of the construction of	
See sheets Attached	

I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION BY COUNCIL (selectus upo out the march term of or separate process of necessary).

Doc # 9150077

۰ <u>۱</u>

JAM.

Decline the above provision		
If not declined, then amend the above provision a	s outlined below	
Amend as follows:		
PLEASE INDICATE BY TICKING THE RELEVANT BOX W YOUR SUBMISSION	HETHER YOU WISH TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF	
I wish to speak at the hearing in support of n		Formattiad: Bulleted + Level. 1 + Aligned at: 0 06 cm + Indent at. 0.69 cm
I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of	my submissions.	
JOINT SUBMISSIONS		
	his box if you will consider presenting a joint case with them at	
the hearing.		
IF YOU HAVE USED EXTRA SHEETS FOR THIS SUBMISS	SION PLEASE ATTACH THEM TO THIS FORM AND	
INDICATE BELOW		
•Yes, I have attached extra sheets.	No, I have not attached extra sheets.	Formatted Bulleted + Level 1 + Aligned at: 0 06 cm + Indent at: 0.69 cm
SIGNATURE OF SUBMITTER		
(c) parson active netrative specie behaviting calence of the antical sector of a manufactive model code or particle on par- son.	$\delta (U_{i}, v_{i}) = 0 \delta (\delta^{2} + \delta^{2})$	
Signature	Date <u>28/02/2017</u>	
Personal information is used for the administration of	the submission process and will be made public. All information	
	th submitters having the right to access and correct personal	
information.		
	mation requested and if you are having trouble filling out this	
form, phone Waikato Regional Council on 0800 800 4	01 for help.	Formatted: Indent. First line 1 02 cm
		T OF THREE AND THE SERIES I UZ GIT

Doc # 9150077

,

I

I

I

,

•_____ Accept the above provision

Accept the above provision with amendments as outlined below

IAA.

Formatted: Bulleted + Level 1 + Akgned at: 0.06 cm + Indent at. 0.69 cm

.....

Additional	sheet	to assist	t in	making	а	submission
------------	-------	-----------	------	--------	---	------------

Section number of the Plan Change	Support /Oppose	Submission	Decision sought
Please refer to title and page numbers used in the plan change document	Indicate whether you support or oppose the provision.	State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.	State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.
<u>Stock Exclusion Rule</u> <u>3:11.5.1</u> <u>3:11.5 2</u> <u>3:11.5 3</u> <u>3 11.5.4</u>	Support the provision with amendments	National water accord only recommends that slopes up to 15 degrees be fenced Cost of rule proposal prohibitive not supporting objective 2 of plan Any fencing on very steep terrain at presently grass covered will result in land disturbance, erosion and sediment. Timing too tight to achieve proposed rule. The lake on our property is seasonally fouled by large numbers of Paradise Duck. The seasonal paradise shoot was stopped a few years ago, this fouling will eventually reach larger waterbodies.	Suggesting follow national water accord and national regulations for stock exclusion Farm Environmental plans to assess farm capabilities Subsidies should be made available to achieve the plans outcome We ask that the seasonal shoot be brought back to control the fouling of water ways and pasture.
Policy 1 and 2 schedules regarding NRP	Oppose	Overseer not a reliable tool for NRP assessment because of inaccuracies in results. Low emitters are not able to develop their properties further It is unfair that NRP levels can be capped and so varied across properties	Delete Entirely policy regarding NRP We Suggest Farm Management Plans a better indicator of each property's capability.

Doc # 9150077

.

Page 4

ĮppA.

Formattad: Position Horizontal Left, Relative to Column, Vertical in line, Relative to Margin, Honzontal: 0 cm, Wrap Around

Sub Catchment Support with amendments A sub catchment approach to determine contaminants relevant to each farm. This in conjunction with FEP should be sought All rules relating to farms to be amended to a sub catchment approach. Farm Environmental Plan 3 11 4.3 Support Clear way forward for land management and vision for contaminants that can be mitigated be
Farm Environmental Support Clear way forward for land management and vision for contaminants that can be mitigated be
Farm Environmental Support Clear way forward for land Plan 3 11 4,3 Clear way forward for land FEP's will identify specific
FEP should be sought FEP should be sought Ferm Environmental Support Clear way forward for land Plan 3 11 4,3
Farm Environmental Plan 3 11 4,3 Support Clear way forward for land management and vision for FEP's will identify specific contaminants that can be mitigated be
Plan 3 11 4,3 management and vision for contaminants that can be mitigated b
Plan 3 11 4,3 management and vision for contaminants that can be mitigated b
Plan 3 11 4,3 management and vision for contaminants that can be mitigated b
Plan 3 11 4,3 management and vision for contaminants that can be mitigated b
Plan 3 11 4,3 management and vision for contaminants that can be mitigated b
Plan 3 11 4,3 management and vision for contaminants that can be mitigated b
Plan 3 11 4,3 management and vision for contaminants that can be mitigated b
Plan 3 11 4,3 management and vision for contaminants that can be mitigated b
Plan 3 11 4,3 management and vision for contaminants that can be mitigated b
Plan 3 11 4,3 management and vision for contaminants that can be mitigated b
Plan 3 11 4,3 management and vision for contaminants that can be mitigated b
Plan 3 11 4,3 management and vision for contaminants that can be mitigated b
Plan 3 11 4,3 management and vision for contaminants that can be mitigated b
Plan 3 11 4,3 management and vision for contaminants that can be mitigated b
Plan 3 11 4,3 management and vision for contaminants that can be mitigated b
each farming business property owners rather than a blanke
approach
Time lines not achievable due to lack
of suitable qualified FEP planners.
Objective 2 Social Support with Not enough research has Cost analysis of the impact of
Economic and amendments been put into the true cost implementation to be done, to
cultural wellbeing of implementing rules for safeguard the farming communities
each farm which will and wider communities wellbeing for
impact and flow on to the the future
local communities
Policy 6 Restricting Oppose This removes the ability to We would like this policy Deleted
Land Use Change develop the farm in the
3 11·5 2 future consequently
affecting land values,
affecting land values, profitability and

Doc # 9150077

• • •

JAM.