Please consider forwarding a copy of your submission to <u>B+LNZ</u> so we can echo your comments in our submission

Kesin Wrenn,

- · Farmerin both Warhom / Otoro Loya () istrot.
- · Drystide all progoncy to freezy works.
- · Resident in K.C Since 1980.
- · Farmlands Ruich Red Estati Age V.
- · Work and travel throughout the King Country

Submission Form

Submission on a publically notified proposed Regional Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.

On:

The Waikato Regional Councils proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 -

Waikato and Waipa River Catchments

To:

Waikato Regional Council

401 Grey Street **Hamilton East** Private bag 3038 Waikato Mail Center HAMILTON 3240

(amai	ATA	the	tol	IOM/IDA
Compi	CIC	1110	101	IOVVIIII

Full Name:

KEVIN (MILLIP WRENN

(as trustes for Kfa LM Wrenn Found, Tiust)

(and director of Aotes Estek Ltd, Aotes)

Phone (Hm):

Phone (Wk):

Postal Address:

44 Perkenin Road RDI Te Kinte 3981

Phone (Cell):

021 1366843

Postcode:

3981

Email:

Kevinwenn Dxtla, Co. DZ

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the proposed plan has a direct impact on my ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but I am not in direct trade competition with them.

I wish to be heard in support of this submission.

Signature

20-2-20it

The specific provisions my submission relates to are: State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	My submission is that: b) Science / cost / benefit State: whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1; brief reasons for your views.	The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is: Give: precise details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Provision	"The specific provisions of the proposal that this submission relaced are as detailed in the following table. The outcomes so suggestion only, where a suggestion is proposed it is with the in the outcomes sought may require consequential changes to the or other rules, or restructuring of the Plan, or parts thereof, to	ught and the wording used is as a ntention of 'or words to that effect'. e plan, including Objectives, Policies,

Provision

2.M.A 1991,

I support / oppose / and for each whether or not you wish to amend

The reasons for this are:

Is it legal. (See clause of RMA supplied

R.S. Vol. 32

Resource Management Act 1991

173 **43**

In subs. (2) the words in square brackets were substituted for the former words by s. 21 (2) of the Resource Management Amendment Act 1993.

- 31. Functions of territorial authorities under this Act—Every territorial authority shall have the following functions for the purpose of giving effect to this Act in its district:
 - (a) The establishment, implementation, and review of objectives, policies, and methods to achieve integrated management of the effects of the use, development, or protection of land and associated natural and physical resources of the district:
 - (b) The control of any actual or potential effects of the use, development, or protection of land, including for the purpose of the avoidance or mitigation of natural hazards and the prevention or mitigation of any adverse effects of the storage, use, disposal, or transportation of hazardous substances:

(c) The control of subdivision of land:

- (d) The control of the emission of noise and the mitigation of the effects of noise:
- (e) The control of any actual or potential effects of activities in relation to the surface of water in rivers and lakes:

(f) Any other functions specified in this Act.

Para. (b) was substituted for the original para. (b) by s. 22 of the Resource

Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

To return as wither;

the managing of effects!

to the Territorial authority,

as the Regional Council

does not have the

legal mandate, to

implement the change proposed

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend the principal of a , The reasons for this are: Cleares, keathy river System	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/amended as set out below As an alternative I propose
	used in your 80 years plan, as a sustainable outcome for the future	a) the science is not robust energy, for any conclusions to the accurately, * Socially understood. The science is untested, b) witer quality has not been accurately tested as af boday
		c) Inclusive of the scrence is the need for a robust cost/be-fit analysis of the suggested and proposed changes

Provision	1 support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/
	to amend	Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below
· ·	The reasons for this are:	As an alternative I propose
		Continued
		d) Who cost is there to business, allied services - freezing company - milk Company
		allied services - freezing company
		- milk Company
		- transport industry
		- processed product
		- labour mertet - regional towns - schooling
	s s	- schooling
		- social economic
		- social reomania parameters.

		T
Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/amended as set out below As an alternative I propose Continued a) What is the benefit to business, allied services - freezing company - milk company - milk company - processed product - labor masked - regional towns - schooling - scenal economic parametes. Until those items are fully undeshed this is a very dangerous model, which by consquerce will capple business and apportunitys