Waikato Regional Council Proposed Plan Change 1

Background

I have been farming for 46 years in a variety of farm systems, 38 years of which were on the same property. In that time my wife and I originally farmed sheep and beef but rising costs forced a gradual change over several years to maize growing and grazing dairy heifers.

Since selling our original farm we have been maize growing with a small number of beef cattle on 47 Ha surrounded by dairy farms. We also winter graze dairy cows on annual rye grass planted after maize silage has been harvested.

We have serious concerns about the direction PC 1 is taking and the potential to cause economic and social harm in our rural areas. I will expand on the following points at the hearing phase of the submission process.

- 1 The effect of deintensification on farms and the flow on to rural communities.
- 2 The huge cost of consents and compliance.
- 3 The plan was passed on a casting vote by the previous council suggesting even the council had reservations about the plan.
- 4 The lack of sound science and agreement as to the actual state of rivers and the source of the contaminants.
- 5 PC 1 aims for higher standards than NPS FW.
- 6 The forecast cost to the rural economy in the Waikato Waipa area.

Submission Form

Submission on a publically notified proposed Regional Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.

On: The Waikato Regional Councils proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 -

Waikato and Waipa River Catchments

To: Waikato Regional Council

401 Grey Street Hamilton East Private bag 3038 Waikato Mail Center HAMILTON 3240

Complete the following

Full Name: John e Lynette Erokine.

Phone (Hm): 07 823 8391

Phone (Wk): 07 823 8391

Postal Address: 257 FORKERT RD. R.D. 2 OHAUPO

Phone (Cell): 021 1188728

Postcode: 3882

Email:

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the proposed plan has a direct impact on my ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but I am not in direct trade competition with them.

I wish to be heard in support of this submission.

Jaandlg@xtre.co.nz

John Col. 7/02/17
nature date

(beef+lamb

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that:	The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:
State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1; brief reasons for your views.	precise details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier if will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Provision Schedule B. Page 47. Witneyen Reference Point.	I support oppose and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are: The two years suggested for creating the MRP. Were both drought years which will have rosulted in lower nitrogen was and possibly lower shocking rates.	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed amended as set out below As an alternative I propose A horger time 3 pan to gine a more accurate picture of avance provision. Siocking rates, fartilizer use etc.

Provision

Ruce 3.11.5.7

PAGE. 45

LANDUSE CHANGE

I support oppose and for each whether or not you wish to amend

The reasons for this are: Takes away the landowners to change way or type of farming. 9 years. Previously thou growing marge.

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

Allow land use change with nitrolater systems in place.

Provision

Rune 3-11-5.2

PAGE 40. CULTIVATION WITHIN 5m of waterway.

I support (oppose) and for each whether or not you wish to amend

The reasons for this are: 100 GENERAL

Doesn't take into account land Contour, soil types or certification practices.

Owners rights to form their for loss of income.

Herd in a responsible marrier are Educations and awareness of being ereded, Level use and profitability problems and continues

cere being reduced and no

compensation is being offered. On some proporties a SM

margin could amount to a

Considerable

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

Paduce margin or buy

affected land and compensate

for loss of income.

I for specifie areas will achaire greater results.

Provision

Ruse 3.11-5.2

Page 40.

CULTIONTION OF SLOPES

I support/oppose) and for each whether or not you wish to amend

a waterway, is there are I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose Raiso

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below As an alternative I propose