KEY ISSUES FOR SHEEP AND BEEF FARMERS

Waikato Regional Council is currently consulting on its proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1. The Council is seeking submissions on the proposed plan until **5pm 8 March 2017**. This template has been created to help you get involved.

Get involved

• 7

It is critical that you get involved in the process directly. The plan and its implementation will influence how you manage your land, so it is important that you understand what is being proposed and that you have your say.

- For more information on the proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 visit: <u>http://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/Council/Policy-and-plans/Plans-under-development/Healthy-Rivers---Plan-for-Change/</u>
- Review B+LNZs one page summary and full brief of the key issues by visiting the Beef + Lamb New Zealand Website: <u>http://www.beeflambnz.com/news-events/News/2016/october/healthy-rivers/</u>
- Download a copy of the proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 at: http://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/PageFiles/46657/PlanChange1.pdf
- Attend a B+LNZ Farmer Submission Workshop near you in November, visit the Beef + Lamb New Zealand Website for the schedule: <u>http://www.beeflambnz.com/news-events/News/2016/october/healthy-rivers/</u>
- Provide feedback to the council online at: <u>http://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/Council/Policy-and-plans/Plans-under-development/Healthy-Rivers---Plan-for-Change/Plan-change-1-have-your-say/</u>
- Provide your feedback to the council via email: <u>healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz</u>

Share your thoughts or get help with your submission by contacting Environment Policy Manager Corina Jordan: <u>corina.jordan@beeflambnz.com</u> , mobile 027 202 7337.

Instructions for using this template:

- Make sure you complete the covering sheet on the first page of the submission form.
- Delete or add table rows as required you can say as much or as little as you like, you're not limited to commenting on just the Plan sections included in the template.
- You must either support or oppose a part of the plan and ask for the council to make a decision on your submission point
- Wherever possible, try to back up your statements with examples from your own experiences, and/or cost implications on your farm business.
- Your feedback must be lodged with Waikato Regional Council by 5pm on Wednesday 8 March 2017.

Please consider forwarding a copy of your submission to <u>B+LNZ</u> so we can echo your comments in our submission

I am writing this submission to future proof farming for my family and our community. I have been on ney current farm for 2 years and presently have to work off farm to help support my farming business I have already done fencing to help protect some areas for eroscon. In the past on previous properties we have instigated / set up a QEII trust block in northland and fenced off areas to prevent Stock crossing the waterways unless they are having to be moved. We have fenced areas and planted trees to stop soil exosion and slips. I believe I have been protecting my property without being ordered to do it, + will continue to be responsible. in



Submission Form

Submission on a publically notified proposed Regional Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.

- On: The Waikato Regional Councils proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 -Waikato and Waipa River Catchments
- To: Waikato Regional Council 401 Grey Street Hamilton East Private bag 3038 Waikato Mail Center HAMILTON 3240

Complete the following

Full Name: JENNIFER SIMPSON
Phone (Hm): 09 2328006
Phone (Wk): 027 3425224
Postal Address: 139c Andrews Rd, Onewhere RD2 Tuakau
Phone (Cell): as above
Postcode: 2697
Email: jennysimpson 2013@gmail.com

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the proposed plan has a direct impact on my ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but I am not in direct trade competition with them.

I wish to be heard in support of this submission.

hllimpson

9/2 17 date



Signature

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that:	The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:
State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	 State: whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1; brief reasons for your views. 	Give: • precise details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Provision Objectives I and 3 Policy 5 and 7 This is rule 3.11.5.3- 3.11.5.5	I supposed and for each whether or not you wish to amond i oppose The reasons for this are: There is no certainty about what will happen in 10 years time, so how can 1 put a plan in place now	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below As an alternative I propose We want it modelled and clearly set out what the Cand will be in 80 yrs time.

•

٩.

Provision I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out Objectives 1 and 4 to amend 1 oppose it below The reasons for this are: Rade As an alternative I propose This rewards the polluter's. Policy 2 and 7 As farmers that have a high that we forget the Objectives 1 and 4 N usage can continue Apado nitingen reference to do that. And on my point and we deal Rules 3.11.5.3 to property I did not apply on farm with the 3.11.5.7 effects, every property assort any N in the years Schedule 1 past as I was a new will be different farm owner and under financial restrictions The cost of getting advorsors in Que will be extremely high and uneconomical Hill farmers are disadvantaged compared to the dairy farmers.

I support / oppose / and for each whether or not you wish Provision I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Stock exclusion Rule 3, 11, 5.1 and Retained as proposed/ amended as set out to amend below The reasons for this are: this should follow national As an alternative I propose 3.11.5.2 That fencing should be used when practical for stock exclusion into quidelines. In power many cases there is no alternative stock water. Alange Over my waterways and not a blunket rule on all property I have a long Length of stream boundary waterways to be but due to steepners of fenced. bank the stock can not access the river except into two small crossings. In flood plains the brock fences would get wiped out so it is highly impractical and uneconomical.

Provision

Form arrivonent Plans

Policy 2 Rules 3.11.5.3 to 3.11.5.7

and

Schedule 1

I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you with te amend

The reasons for this are:

That they are completely inpractical and financially unachievable. There are very limited numbers of people who could deliver these documents.

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

that we support a provision of management plans with amended rules So that farmers can do a lot of this work themselves.

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below As an alternative I propose

•

Provision

Policy 6 Rule 3.11.5.7 Desjestime

I support/oppose/and for each whether or not you wish to amend

The reasons for this are:

the rules are inflexible

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

allow more flexibility avound change of land use

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below As an alternative I propose

•

*