Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

Submission form on publicly notified – Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

SubForm	PC12016	COVER SH	EET
	FOR OFFIC	E USE ONLY	
		Submission Number	
Entered		Initials	
		Sheet 1 of	

FORM 5 Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

SUBMISSIONS (CAN BE
Mailed to	Chief Executive, 401 Grey Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240
Delivered to	Waikato Regional Council, 401 Grey Street, Hamilton East, Hamilton
Faxed to	(07) 859 0998 Please Note: if you fax your submission, please post or deliver a copy to one of the above addresses
Emailed to	healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz Please Note: Submissions received my email must contain full contact details. We also request you send us a signed original by post or courier.
Online at	www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/healthyrivers
	We need to receive your submission by 5pm, 8 March 2017.

YOUR NAME AND CONTACT DETA	ILS		
Full name Hira Bhana & Co Ltd			
Full address 71 Tuakau Road, Puke	kohe, 2120		
Email hira.bhana@xtra.co.nz	Phone 092387242	Fax 092387248	
	1		

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE OF SUBMI	ITER	(1) - 10 (AND)		
Full name Hira Bhana & Co Ltd		1.		
Address for service of person making	ng submission <mark>71 Tuakau Road, Pu</mark>	kekohe, 2120		
Email hira.bhana@xtra.co.nz Phone 092387242 Fax 092387248				

TRADE COMPETITION AND ADVERSE EFFECTS (select appropriate)
☐ I could / x ✓ could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
☐ I am / ☐ am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (a) adversely effects the environment, and
(b) does not relate to the trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

THE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 THAT MY SUBMISSION RELATES TO
Please state the provision, map or page number e.g. Objective 4 or Rule 3.11.5.1
(continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)
Refer to attached
I SUPPORT OR OPPOSE THE ABOVE PROVISION/S (select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)
Support the above provisions
☐ Support the above provision with amendments Refer to attached
Oppose the above provisions
Oppose the above provisions
MY SUBMISSION IS THAT
Tell us the reasons why you support or oppose or wish to have the specific provisions amended. (Please continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)
Refer to attached
I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION BY COUNCIL (select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)
Accept the above provision
Accept the above provision with amendments as outlined below
☐ Decline the above provision
☐ If not declined, then amend the above provision as outlined below
Assert of fallows Defends the lead
Amend as follows: Refer to attached

PLEASE INDICATE BY TICKING THE RELEVANT BOX WHETH YOUR SUBMISSION	HER YOU WISH TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF
I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submit	ssions.
I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my	submissions.
JOINT SUBMISSIONS	
the hearing.	oox if you will consider presenting a joint case with them at
IF YOU HAVE USED EXTRA SHEETS FOR THIS SUBMISSION INDICATE BELOW	PLEASE ATTACH THEM TO THIS FORM AND
Yes, I have attached extra sheets.	☐ No, I have not attached extra sheets.
SIGNATURE OF SUBMITTER (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) A signature is not required if you make your submission by electrons.	onic means.
Signature Sharet Shane	Date 7-3-2013
Personal information is used for the administration of the scollected will be held by Waikato Regional Council, with sulinformation.	submission process and will be made public. All information bmitters having the right to access and correct personal

PLEASE CHECK that you have provided all of the information requested and if you are having trouble filling out this form, phone Waikato Regional Council on 0800 800 401 for help.

Additional sheet to assist in making a submission

Section number of the Plan Change	Support /Oppose	Submission	Decision sought
Please refer to title and page numbers used in the plan change document	Indicate whether you support or oppose the provision.	State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.	State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.
Chapter 3.11: Area Covered by Chapter 3	Oppose	Oppose the progression of a PC1 without a comprehensive whole of catchment planning response.	Withdraw PC1in its entirety to allow for consultation with Hauraki iwi before any further Proposed Plan Change.
			Re-notify PC1 with the inclusion of the withdrawn area relating to Hauraki iwi so that the catchment can be considered in entirety and so submissions and evidence can be coordinated for the whole of the catchment.
Chapter 3.11: Background and Explanation	Oppose	The plan would be improved by adding an issue statement to address particular issues for the horticultural sector.	Provide some opportunity for commercial vegetable production on new sites in the Waikato River catchment, to preserve the productive capacity of the vegetable sector; particularly in relation to the production of non-substitutable leafy greens, potatoes and carrots for domestic consumption in key periods of the national domestic foodchain.
			Ensure the plan provides for the establishment of an alternative method or model to establish a benchmark nitrogen and phosphorus discharge for commercial vegetable production systems from OVERSEER.
3.11.1: Values And Uses For The Waikato And Waipa Rivers	Support	Support the identification of Primary Production as a Mana Tangata value of water arising from its use by people for economic, social, and cultural purposes.	Retain Primary Production as a Mana Tangata value.
		3.11.2 OBJECTIVES	
Objective 2 Social, economic and cultural wellbeing is maintained in the long term	Support	Maintaining social, economic and cultural welling must be a cornerstone objective in PC1.	Retain as proposed.
Objective 3 Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each subcatchment and Freshwater	Support in part	It is agreed that a 10% reduction should be sought overall but targeted reductions required for vegetable growing should be fair.	Amend the plan to provide flexibility to land managers seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment or subcatchment scale.

Doc # 9150077 Page 4

Management Unit			
Objective 4 People and community resilience	Support in part	The proposed plan change cannot and should not allocate discharge rights.	Amend the objective to recognise that this plan change is transitional, to provide time to develop the tools required to more efficiently allocate responsibility for achieving contaminant reduction targets in the long-term.
		3.11.3 POLICIES	
Policy 1: Manage diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens	Support	Support the recognition and enablement of low intensity farming systems. This is particularly important for the fruit production sector which has a low environmental risk that should be entitled to expand without excessive limitations through the tenyear transitional period.	Retain as proposed
Policy 2: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from farming activities/	Support in part/Oppose in part	Support a policy platform that provides for a Farm Environment Plan approach established by resource consent or certified industry schemes. There should be alternatives to the nitrogen reference point during the transitional period given the limitations of OVERSEER for modelling horticultural systems. The policy should enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported	Amend policy to provide alternatives to the nitrogen reference point during the transitional period given the limitations of OVERSEER for modelling horticultural systems. Amend policy to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported
Policy 3: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems	Support in part	 Supports a policy platform that provides for: The essential aspects of the vegetable production industry in the Waikato. Targeted reductions required for vegetable growing that are fair given the impact of the sector on water quality and the likely cost to the community of achieving the targets. Protects existing production as a priority over any new production that is likely to have a greater contribution of discharges. Protects the concept of an authorised farm enterprise through a capped area controlled activity consent, that allows for rotation across new and existing land parcels. Enables opportunities for new vegetable production through a new restricted discretionary rule if the proposed operation can demonstrate a decrease in discharges compared to the 	Retain policy approach subject to consequential amendments to other policies and methods to give effect to the relief sought.

	T		
Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established while signalling further change may be	Support	activity it is replacing. Those discharges should be assessed across all four contaminants as covered by the plan change. • Ensures the proposed farm planning framework is practical and achievable for growers. Supports a policy platform that enables existing and new low discharging activities to continue while recognising that low dischargers may in the future need to take mitigation actions to reduce	Retain as proposed.
required in future		contaminants.	
Policy 5: Staged approach	Support	Support staged approach to implementing the Vision and Strategy.	Retain staged approach as proposed. Make consequential amendments to other policies and methods to give effect to the relief sought.
Policy 6: Restricting land use change	Oppose	Support policy pathway that supports a clear consent path for the approval of land use applications that can demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges.	Provide a restricted discretionary activity for operations capable of demonstrating clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges.
		Do not agree however that operations capable of demonstrating clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges should be required to undertake an application for a non complying activity resource consent. They should be provided for as a restricted discretionary activity.	
Policy 7: Preparing for allocation in the future	Support in part	The proposed plan change is not allocating discharge rights. The ten - year timeframe to develop tools and methods for property level allocation is required and must be supported by information gathering and research to inform future allocation. The principles for any future allocation should recognise the	Amend the principles in Policy 7 to recognise the polluter pays concept.
Policy 8: Prioritised implementation	Support in part	grower operations do not neatly fit into subcatchments. Rotations are likely to vary across subcatchments on a yearly basis. This variance is unlikely to be large but in our view the management of enterprises across a number of subcatchments should be enabled given the scarcity of the land resource available for commercial vegetable cropping and the difficulty of managing multiple consents the discharges across each subcatchments and balancing within the current capped area for each subcatchment referred to in the consents.	Retain as proposed, but add to this policy or another if more appropriate an enabling policy that allows for the management of horticultural enterprises between subcatchments.

Policy 9: Sub-catchment (including edge of field) mitigation planning, co-ordination and funding Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable	Oppose Support in part	There is no provision in the plan to offset the effects of diffuse discharges by providing mitigations beyond the farm boundary Support offsetting policy and methods as a practical tool for	Proposed Policy 3.11 3 9 should be modified to provide for offsetting where it can be demonstrated there will be a commensurate effect on the restoration of the health and well-being of the Waikato River. The policy should enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported Amend the policy and method to extend to non-point source discharges where the
Option and mitigation or offset of effects to	, wr	mitigating the effects of discharges within a catchment.	same environmental outcomes can be achieved.
point source discharges		244 5 DIU 50	
3.11.5.1 Permitted	Support	3.11.5 RULES Support recognition and enablement	Retain as proposed.
Activity Rule – Small and Low Intensity farming activities		of low intensity farming systems. This is particularly important for the fruit production sector. The regional plan must continue to recognise permanent fruit production as a low intensity farming activity that is entitled to expand without excessive limitations through the ten-year transitional period.	
3.11.5.5 Controlled Activity Rule – Existing commercial vegetable production	Support	Supports Rule 3.11.5.5 that provides a Controlled Activity non-notified consent pathway that recognises and provides for: The essential aspects of the vegetable production industry in the Waikato. Targeted reductions required for vegetable growing that are fair given the impact of the sector on water quality and the likely cost to the community of achieving the targets. Protection of existing production as a priority over any new production that is likely to have a greater contribution of discharges. Protection of the concept of an authorised farm enterprise through a capped area controlled activity consent, that allows for rotation across new and existing land parcels. Ensures the proposed farm planning framework is practical and achievable for growers.	Retain as proposed.
New Restricted Discretionary Activity Rule – The management of contaminants from	Support	The plan should enable the collaborative management of discharges at a scale greater than a single farm. Farmer / catchment collectives managing discharges as a	Amend plan to provide a new restricted discretionary rule to enable contaminant management by a catchment collective.

farming activities by a catchment collective		single enterprise within a subcatchment or a water management unit are very likely to achieve environmental outcomes in a more coordinated and effective way.	
3.11.5.7 Non- Complying Activity Rule – Land Use Change	Oppose	Opposes the non-complying activity status for land use change to commercial vegetable production. The plan should enable opportunities for new vegetable production through a new restricted discretionary rule if the proposed operation can demonstrate a decrease in discharges compared to the activity it is replacing. Those discharges should be assessed across all four contaminants as covered by the plan change.	Add new restricted discretionary activity rule that enables the use of land for new and additional commercial vegetable production where the effects of the land use change can demonstrate that there will be a decrease in the discharges of nitrogen, phosphorous, sediment or microbial pathogens as a result of the land use change,

Hira Bhana & Co Ltd.

Hira Bhana is a family owned and run business in Pukekohe; it was established in 1957 by Hira Bhana. Over the years the sons became interested in vegetable production and the land mass has grown from 25 acres to a huge 1800 acres today. The 3rd generation is now also involved in the business and is keen to continue growing healthy vegetables. The company is devoted to growing, packing and distributing potatoes, onions, carrots, pumpkins, cabbage, cauliflower and lettuce throughout NZ and the exporting to the world. Main domestic supply is for Foodstuffs, which is one of the largest supermarket chains.

There are 20 separate farms dispersed across Pukekohe, Tuakau, Buckland, and Onewhero all which have huge investment in irrigation systems. Approximately 1800 acres are set aside each year for all year round crop production. The volcanic soils are a huge asset to growing crops all year round as they are very hardy rich in nutrients. We also must note, these soils are very scarce especially as encroaching urbanization is fast happening around us.

The company is an all year round operation so it has the ability to provide fulltime work all year round for staff. Up to 90 staff can be employed peak season. The company has made huge investment in machinery and equipment over the years and use local service entities in the area.

Cropping systems since 1957 have changed dramatically, for example we have greater rotations for crops. The use of mustard, barley, maize crops, and short term grass are used as natural soil conditioners. Also, fertislier usage and placement has changed from just 'dumping' nutrients on the soil to actually testing soil and then prescribing actual nutrients required. The fertilizer also is applied in split applications throughout the crop growing cycle (as when the crop requires it).

In terms of the Environment, we are currently and have been for a number of years been accredited with the environmental certification scheme *LEAF marquee*; this is an annual audit which is audited independently. *LEAF* tackles a number of environmental aspects such as water management, soil and fertility, crop health and protection, energy efficiency, pollution control and landscape and nature conservation. The use of professional farm consultants is already part of normal practice. We believe we already exceed the requirements for environmental protection along with the existing NZ GAP requirements.

If the Plan change goes ahead as is we would find it very hard to grow fresh vegetables to an already growing population, it will also limit the ability to increase the footprint of commercial vegetable production. Our company also fully supports Horticulture New Zealands submission.

Bharat Bhana

Director at Hira Bhana & Co Ltd