PROPOSED WAIKATO REGIONAL PLAN CHANGE 1



WAIKATO AND WAIPĀ RIVER CATCHMENTS

Submission form on publicly notified – Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipā River Catchments.

Important: Save this PDF to your computer before answering. If you edit the original form from this webpage, your changes will not save. Please check or update your software to allow for editing. We recommend Acrobat Reader.

FORM 5 Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

| SubForm | PC12016 | COVE | R SHEET | | |
|----------|---------|---------------|-------------------|--|--|
| | FOR OF | FICE USE ONLY | | | |
| | | Submission Nu | Submission Number | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Entered | | Initials | | | |
| File Ref | | Sheet 1 of | | | |

| SUBMISSIONS CAN BE | | | |
|--------------------|---|--|--|
| Mailed to | Chief Executive, 401 Grey Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240 | | |
| Delivered to | Waikato Regional Council, 401 Grey Street, Hamilton East, Hamilton | | |
| Faxed to | (07) 859 0998 Please Note: if you fax your submission, please post or deliver a copy to one of the above addresses | | |
| Emailed to | healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz Please Note: Submissions received by email must contain full contact details. | | |
| Online at | www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/healthyrivers | | |
| | | | |

We need to receive your submission by 5pm, 8 March 2017.

O I could / © could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

O I am / o am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

- (a) adversely effects the environment, and
- (b) does not relate to the trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

| THE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 THAT MY SUBMISSION RELATES TO |
|---|
| Please state the provision, map or page number e.g. Objective 4 or Rule 3.11.5.1 (Continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary). |
| SCHEDULE B - Nitrogen Reference Point |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| I SUPPORT OR OPPOSE THE ABOVE PROVISION/S |
| (Select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary). |
| Support the above provisions |
| Support the above provision with amendments |
| Oppose the above provisions |
| MY SUBMISSION IS THAT |
| Tell us the reasons why you support or oppose or wish to have the specific provisions amended. (Please continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary). |
| Arbitrary Requirement: The requirement to establish a Nitrogen Reference Point based on one of the two financial years (2014/15 or 2015/16) is an arbitrary/random means of calculating an acceptable level of application. It is too simplistic and inaccurate to select two years that could genuinely representative of (a) historic levels of application, and (b) future/steady-state/typical levels of application. |
| • Furthermore, the two seasons able to used to establish a Nitrogen Reference Point are not indicative of typical levels for the area due to both climatic and economic factors. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION BY COUNCIL |
| (Select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary). |
| Accept the above provision |
| Accept the above provision with amendments as outlined |
| Decline the above provision Output Decline the above provision |
| If not declined, then amend the above provision as outlined |

| PLEASE INDICATE BY TICKING THE RELEVAN SUBMISSION | IT BOX WHETHER YOU WISH TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF YOUR |
|---|--|
| I wish to speak at the hearing in support of m | ny submissions. |
| I do not wish to speak at the hearing in suppo | ort of my submissions. |
| OINT SUBMISSIONS | |
| If others make a similar submission, please tion | ck this box if you will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing. |
| F YOU HAVE USED EXTRA SHEETS FOR THIS | SUBMISSION PLEASE ATTACH THEM TO THIS FORM AND |
| NDICATE BELOW | |
| Yes, I have attached extra sheets. | No, I have not attached extra sheets. |
| IGNATURE OF SUBMITTER | |
| gnature: Gareth Lash | Date: 7 March 2017 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

PLEASE CHECK that you have provided all of the information requested and if you are having trouble filling out this form, phone Waikato Regional Council on 0800 800 401 for help.

| Do you support or appase the provision? | Support | Oppose |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| Do you support or oppose the provision? | | Oppose |
| Submission | Decision Sought | |
| etate in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it. I am not opposed to the principal of exclusion of stock from waterways on a practical basis). However I am opposed to: The decision to include some animals and exclude others from this requirement. The inability to let animals temporarily cross a river. This is clearly something that would happen in an animals' natural habitat. | State clearly the decision and/or suggested change Council to make on the provision. Revise to enable temporary crossing animals. | |
| Do you support or oppose the provision? | Support | Oppose |
| Submission | Decision Sought | |
| I am opposed to the proposed approach to restrict change in land use change; this is in relation to the fact hat the date these changes would come into effect are prior to community members having the opportunity to submit on the matter. | State clearly the decision and/or suggested chang Council to make on the provision. Retain farming as a discretionary active. | |

| Section number of the Plan Change: Overall | | | |
|--|---|----------|--------------------------|
| Do you support or oppose the provision? | | Support | Oppose |
| Submission | Decision Sought | | |
| Imbalance of social, cultural, economic and environment factors — I believe the Proposed Plan Change is weighted in favour of environmental factors to the detriment of the social, cultural and economic aspects of our community. I believe that proposed plan change one does not offer a genuinely balanced approach to social, cultural, economic and environment factors. Imbalance of urban and rural implications — I believe the Proposed Plan Change 1 fails to place equal balance on rural and urban members to implement change. I believe that Proposed Land Change 1 requires action from rural businesses and rural communities to implement change in waterways that has been, and continues to be, impacted by both urban and rural members of the community. This does not mean to suggest that we as members of the rural community should not commit to drive change in water quality, but rather both urban and rural members should equally commit to this change. | State clearly the decision and Council to make on the prov | | ges you want |
| Section number of the Plan Change: Overall Do you support or oppose the provision? | | Support | Oppose |
| Submission | Decision Sought | <u> </u> | |
| • I am opposed to the overall approach to set region-wide rules and a potentially expensive model that would be required to police such an approach. I believe that a more pragmatic approach (and one that would enable a better use of tax payers funds and rates) would be to investigate the region on a sub-catchment by sub-catchment basis. That would mean that the areas that require the biggest amount of focus (and would drive the biggest amount of change) could be investigated and improved first. Funds could be appropriated to a series of resolutions, rather than going towards policing a cumbersome region-wide approach. | smary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it. posed to the overall approach to set ide rules and a potentially expensive model do be required to police such an approach. I hat a more pragmatic approach (and one that able a better use of tax payers funds and bould be to investigate the region on a ment by sub-catchment basis. That would at the areas that require the biggest amount of and would drive the biggest amount of and would drive the biggest amount of change) investigated and improved first. Funds could priated to a series of resolutions, rather than wards policing a cumbersome region-wide | | |