Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

Submission form on publicly notified – Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

SubForm	PC12016	COVER SH	EET
	FOR OFFIC	E USE ONLY	
		Submission Number	
Entered		Initials	
		Sheet 1 of	

FORM 5 Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

SUBMISSIONS CAN BE				
Mailed to	Chief Executive, 401 Grey Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240			
Delivered to	Waikato Regional Council, 401 Grey Street, Hamilton East, Hamilton			
Faxed to	(07) 859 0998 Please Note: if you fax your submission, please post or deliver a copy to one of the above addresses			
Emailed to	healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz Please Note: Submissions received my email must contain full contact details. We also request you send us a signed original by post or courier.			
Online at	www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/healthyrivers			
We need to receive your submission by 5pm, 8 March 2017.				

YOUR NAME AND CONTACT DETAILS					
Full name ——Eel Enhancement Company Limited					
Full address ———PO Box 104 016, Auckland 0654.					
Email	tom@hrm.co.nz	Phone	093787001	Fax	09 3786939

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE OF SUBMITTER				
Full name <u>Eel Enhancement Company, (contact person is Exec. Officer = Thomas JB Hollings)</u>				
Address for service of person making submission PO Box 104 016, Auckland 0654.				
Email	tom@hrm.co.nz	Phone	093787001	Fax

TRADE COMPETITION AND ADVERSE EFFECTS (select appropriate)				
☐ I could / ← could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.				
(a) adversely effects the environment, and				
(b) does not relate to the trade competition or the effects of trade competition.				
Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.				

THE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 THAT MY SUBMISSION RELATES TO
Please state the provision, map or page number e.g. Objective 4 or Rule 3.11.5.1
(continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)
Please see attached 2 pages
I SUPPORT OR OPPOSE THE ABOVE PROVISION/S
(select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)
Support the above provisions Please see attached 2 pages
Support the above provision with amendments
Oppose the above provisions
MY SUBMISSION IS THAT
Tell us the reasons why you support or oppose or wish to have the specific provisions amenaed:————————————————————————————————————
Please see attached 2 pages
I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION BY COUNCIL
(select as appropriate and continue or sense in the selection of the selec
Accept the above provision Please see attached 2 pages
Accept the above provision with amendments as outlined below
Decline the above provision
If not declined, then amend the above provision as outlined below
Amend as follows: Please see attached 2 pages

Doc # 9150077 Page 2

PLEASE INDICATE BY TICKING THE RELEVANT BOX WHETHER YOU WISH TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF YOUR SUBMISSION				
Yes I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my sub	missions.			
☐ I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my s	ubmissions.			
JOINT SUBMISSIONS. Yes, If others make a similar submission, please tick this at the hearing.	is box if you will consider presenting a joint case with them			
IF YOU HAVE USED EXTRA SHEETS FOR THIS SUBMISSION	PLEASE ATTACH THEM TO THIS FORM AND			
Yes, I have attached extra sheets.	No, I have not attached extra sheets.			
SIGNATURE OF SUBIVILITER: (or person authorised to sign on penalt of silomiliter) A signature is not required if you make your submission by electrons.	mcms			
Signature TSPHOLOGS	Date W 8 March Z017			
Personal information is used for the administration of the submission process and will be made public. All information collected will be held by Waikato Regional Council, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information.				

PLEASE CHECK that you have provided all of the information requested and if you are having trouble filling out this form, phone Waikato Regional Council on 0800 800 401 for help.

Additional sheet 1 of 2, to assist in making a submission, by EECo;

Section number of the Plan Change /Oppose Submission Please refer to title and page whether you numbers used in the plan change document provision. Submission Submission			Decision sought	
		State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.	State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.	
Plan Change	Support	We support the Vision and Strategy and thank the lwi and Council for the commitment of care and energy and resources for the betterment of the Waikato & Waipa. We support an approach that is outcome based, that devolves responsibilities and costs to polluters and abstracters, and that is likely to be achieved and within a reasonable timetable. However we submit that the planned implementation is; highly-complex, highly-dependent on "buy-in" from resource users, and is potentially too slow eg 10% achievement after 10 years of an 80 year timetable. Eg Policy 2e) Stock exclusion from waterways at latest by mid 2026.	Commit to an entirely Independent Review 5 (not 10) years hence starting ~ Dec 2021 for completion May 2022 to ascertain if the plan has and will attain targets and failing that what actions should be taken by Waikato Regional Council.	
Property Scale Nitrogen Reference Point	Support but seek extension to address fine sediment discharges	Fine sediment is possibly an even bigger hazard for aquatic life than nitrogen wastes. Fine sediments have ongoing adverse effects in lowland waterways and in coastal waters	Apply the Property Scale Nitrogen Reference Point system to also cover sediment especially fine sediments and applying 80 year targets in the short term.	
Plan does not apply to NE of the Waikato subregion, as that part was withdrawn.	Do not support this omission (withdrawal) of a large part of the Plan (~ 15 pages of withdrawals of 120 pages)	The entire Waikato Catchment's Plan should be released as an integrated whole. That may mean partial withdrawal of that already released, and then later its re-Proposal, and if so, then so-be-it.	Preferably withdraw the Plan change that is Proposed for part of the Waikato, or alternatively extend the deadline for submissions.	



page 4

Additional sheet 2 of 2, to assist in making a submission, by EECo;

Willow removal	Oppose current	Our submission relates to the current and ongoing/un-amended provisions	Amend Waikato Plan preferably Region-wide
	Plan provisions	in the existing Plan wherein it is a Permitted activity to remove an	otherwise re the sub-Region as Proposed re
		unlimited quantity of Willows only subject to overly-weak and under-	Willow removal to require;
		enforced limits on adverse externalities such as associated silt release.	1/ The Permitted Activity Standard to have
		Recent very poor Willow removal practices in Karapiro stream and the	limits on quantity/timing, plus strict permitted
		lower Waipa are examples of what should be avoided eg for reasons of	activity limits re associated fine sediment
		fine-sediment pollution alone. The fact is that Willows contribute	release.
		enormously to the ecological and amenity quality of Waikato waterways	2/ A (new) Discretionary consent requirement
		and associated aquatic life. We acknowledge that Willow control for/at	for larger (than Permitted) amounts of Willow
		some; times, places and species can be positive. In future where Willows	removal or pruning plus associated requirement
		are to be removed, that should be subjected to Permitted Activity limits on	to provide/justify equivalent subsequent
		quantity/timing plus strict permitted activity limits re associated fine	riparian quality after removal.
		sediment release. Removals in excess of permitted levels should require a	Making this change will enhance the Region's or
		Discretionary consent with requirement to provide/justify equivalent	sub-region's environment in accordance with
		riparian quality post-removal.	the Vision and Strategy and Objectives.
Allocation of	Do Not yet	The two key issues in good resource management, are 1/what	Council itself to address and devise the
Discharge Rights	Support	management measures to take and 2/ Allocation of who gets the benefits	allocation program.
		and costs. The fisheries Quota regime has been a big success and has	
		useful parallels. However while the Council is to be commended for	
		identifying and seeking to move to quotas to cover discharge externalities	
		there is as yet no clear answer on allocation and so it is too early to	
		prescribe that as the answer. Also the stakeholder/Users group is unlikely	
		to devise a good and fair solution and so Council is encouraged to provide	
		the solution, which fair to say will not be easy. Iwi is another significant	
		factor. Best wishes with all that ! However the sooner the better as such	
		things do not get easier over time.	



Page 5/5