



SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED TO PROPOSED WAIKATO REGIONAL PLAN CHANGE 1: WAIKATO AND WAIPĀ RIVER CATCHMENTS

By provision order/parts of plan - Volume 5 of 5

**Policy Series: 2018/16
ISSN: 2230-4347**

**Date: 20 August 2018
12915245**

Schedule C - Stock exclusion/Te Āpitihianga C – Te aukatinga o ngā kararehe.....	5
Schedule 1 - Requirements for Farm Environment Plans/Te Āpitihianga 1: Ngā Herenga i ngā Mahere Taiao ā-Pāmu	60
Schedule 2 - Certification of Industry Schemes/Te Āpitihianga 2 – Te whakamana i ngā tohu o ngā Kaupapa Ahumahi.....	144
3.11.6 List of Tables and Maps/Te Rārangi o ngā Ripanga me ngā Mahere.....	169
Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kōunga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā	171
Table 3.11-2: List of sub-catchments showing Priority 1, Priority 2, and Priority 3 sub-catchments.....	191
Map 3.11-2: Map of the Waikato and Waipa River catchments, showing sub-catchments	193
5.1.5 Conditions for Permitted Activity Rule 5.1.4.11 and Standards and Terms for Controlled Activity Rules/Ngā āhuatanga o te Ture 5.1.4.11 mō ngā Mahi e Whakaetia ana, me ngā Paerewa me ngā Herenga mō ngā Ture mō ngā Mahi ka āta Whakahaerehia.....	194
5.1.5 q)	196
Harvest Plan	197
Additions to Glossary of Terms/Ngā Āpitihianga ki te Rārangi Kupu	197
Definition - 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value	204
Definition - Arable cropping.....	205
Definition - Best management practice/s.....	206
Definition - Certified Farm Environment Planner	207
Definition - Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor.....	210
Definition - Certified Industry Scheme/s	212
Definition - Commercial vegetable production	213
Definition - Cultivation.....	213
Definition - Dairy Farming.....	214
Definition - Diffuse discharge/s	214
Definition - Drain.....	215
Definition - Drystock Farming	215
Definition - Edge of field mitigation/s.....	215
Definition - Enterprise/s.....	216
Definition - Escherichia coli (E. coli).....	218

Definition - Farm Environment Plan/s	218
Definition - Farming activities	219
Definition - Five-year rolling average.....	219
Definition - Forage crop	220
Definition - Good Management Practice/s.....	220
Definition - Livestock crossing structure.....	221
Definition - Mahinga kai.....	221
Definition - Microbial pathogen/s.....	222
Definition - Milking platform	222
Definition - Nitrogen Reference Point	222
Definition - Offset/s	225
Definition - Point source discharge/s.....	226
Definition - Restoration.....	227
Definition - Setback.....	228
Definition - Stock unit	228
Definition - Sub-catchment.....	230
Definition - Tangata whenua ancestral lands	230
Consequential amendments to Waikato Regional Plan/Ngā whakatikahanga ka hua ake mō roto i te Mahere ā-Rohe a Waikato.....	231
Readers Guide	231
Management of Water Resources	232
Water Takes	232
Discharges	232
Non-point Source Discharges.....	234
River and Lake bed structures.....	235
River and Lake Bed Disturbances.....	235
Accelerated Erosion	235
Discharges onto or into land	236

Schedule C - Stock exclusion/Te Āpitiwhanga C – Te aukatinga o ngā kararehe

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Adams, Neville Submitter ID: 74154	PC1-5066	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Aitken, David John Submitter ID: 71238	PC1-713	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to ensure that farmers may choose mitigations that allows stock exclusion to be staged, practical and sustainable. Farm management practices are enhanced with education and support. AND AMEND to continue with the planned process and progression of water reticulations systems. AND AMEND to read: Fence main drains leading to a stream and/or river is fenced using 1 wire electric fencing, either: a) at least 100-200m the length of the drain; or b) To the foot of a hill, whichever comes first. AND AMEND to ensure that stock exclusion may be in the form of 1 wire electric fencing. AND AMEND to ensure that stock exclusion is staged in order to be affordable, practical and sustainable.
Albrechtsen, Julie and David Submitter ID: 74165	PC1-9608	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to identify critical water bodies from the National Stream Standard.
Albrechtsen, May and John Submitter ID: 74166	PC1-9621	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to focus first on water bodies with most critical water quality issues
Alcock, Carl and Jo Submitter ID: 73376	PC1-2207	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C by using the national waterway accord recommendation that slope up to 15 degrees be fenced. AND AMEND by changing the exclusion requirements on a less than 15 degree slope so that they only apply to all permanently flowing waterbodies 1 metre or greater. AND AMEND by providing certainty that fencing is going to be enough. AND AMEND by providing the ability to muster excluded stock through waterbodies without requiring a formed stock crossing structure when crossing less than 3 times a week. AND AMEND to clarify the definition of waterbodies under Schedule C in relation to clauses i, ii and iii. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments arising from this submission point.
Alcock and Easton, Jo and John Submitter ID: 73374	PC1-9232	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to apply the National Waterway Accord recommendations to fence slopes up to 15 degrees AND AMEND to provide for stock exclusion requirements to only apply to all permanently flowing waterbodies 1m or greater to exclude cattle, horses, deer and pigs on less than 15 degrees slope AND AMEND to provide certainty on stock exclusion for the future
Alexander, Ian Rennie Submitter ID: 74114	PC1-10353	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C. If not deleted, AMEND to require the costs associated with giving effect to the requirements in Schedule C to be met by Central Government.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Alexander Farming Genetics Submitter ID: 74115	PC1-10103	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Allan, Eric Submitter ID: 73438	PC1-6079	Oppose the provision	DELETE requirement to exclude cattle and deer through permanent fencing from all flowing waterbodies on slopes greater than 15 degrees. AND DELETE requirement to have formed crossing structures and only require simple crossings. AND AMEND to provide a practical response to the issues raised.
Andree-Wiltens, Albert John Submitter ID: 71230	PC1-524	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C so that the cost of lost land is spread equitably among all New Zealanders. The land that is fenced off is surveyed so that rates are not collected and/or the land is bought by government and/or land lots given to farmers to sell as compensation e.g. Maungatautari Ecological Island Trust. AND AMEND Schedule C to allow stock to pass through the stream bed and be locked in the next paddock. AND AMEND Schedule C with allowance for benched areas for fencing without the requirement for earthworks resource consent.
Aston, Lucy Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-7118	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the stock exclusion requirements so they are consistent with the Governments Clean Water Reforms AND AMEND the slope requirements to be no greater than 15 degrees in accordance with the Clean Water Report AND AMEND the stock exclusion requirements for cattle and deer on land between 3 and 15 degrees slope, so that they only apply to permanently flowing water bodies 1m wide or greater AND AMEND the stock exclusion timelines by lengthening them and give certainty to those with land classified at risk of erosion that it will not be converted to forestry in a future plan change. AND AMEND PPC1 to enable individual Farm Environment Plans to present farm specific mitigations against contaminants AND AMEND PPC1 to enable stock to enter water bodies if they are being actively managed and the water body is not crossed by stock more than 3 times per week AND ADD new provisions that state that any waterway fencing be subsidised by the Waikato Regional Council and the regional communities, urban and rural, benefiting from improvements to the waterways.
Aston, Penelope Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-5385	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C provisions so they are consistent with the Governments Clean Water Reforms (2017). AND AMEND slope requirements to no greater than 15 degrees as per the Clean Water Report. AND AMEND the exclusion requirements for cattle and deer on land between 3 and 15 degrees slope so that it only applies to all permanently flowing waterbodies 1 metre wide or greater. AND AMEND to extend the timeframes and give certainty to farmers with land classed as at risk of erosion. AND AMEND to enable individual Farm Environment plans to present mitigations against contaminants relevant to each farm, rather than a blanket approach. AND AMEND to include a subsidy for any waterway fencing required with money from the council and regional communities, urban and rural, benefiting from improvements to waterways. AND AMEND to enable stock to enter waterbodies if they are actively managed across the waterbody and the waterbody is not crossed by stock more than 3 times a week.
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6230	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to clarify the relationship/interpretation of the stock exclusion requirements between Schedule C and Schedule 1 Farm Environment Plans.
Atkinson, Richard Submitter ID: 73077	PC1-5214	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to allow stock exclusion through stocking policies per season on paddocks with average degrees of slope. AND AMEND to clarify slope measurement.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-11022	Not stated	AMEND Schedule C(1) to read: "The water bodies must be fenced to exclude cattle, horses, deer and pigs, unless those animals are prevented from entering the bed of the water body by a stock proof natural barrier, <u>including constructed barriers</u> , formed by topography or vegetation."
Auckland Council Submitter ID: 73518	PC1-9140	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to provide for sheep and goats to be excluded from waterways OR DELETE the identification of types of animals within the rules and insert a definition of livestock to include sheep and goats in the glossary.
Auckland Regional Public Health Service Submitter ID: 71612	PC1-10181	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to require stock exclusion much sooner.
Awaroa Lands Ltd Submitter ID: 73627	PC1-6626	Support the provision with amendments	CONSIDER subsidising the requirement for fencing waterways. AND AMEND Schedule C to extend time frames for fencing AND AMEND to apply national stock exclusion standards to Schedule C AND AMEND to relate stocking rate and/or nitrogen discharge to the natural capital of soils for sustainable production/farming.
Babington, Cliff and Leonie Submitter ID: 72821	PC1-9469	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to ensure stock exclusion requirements reflect the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management
Bailey, James Submitter ID: 73926	PC1-9050	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to make stock exclusion consistent with central Government's recommendations being proposed through the advice of the Land and Water Forum AND AMEND to place a strong emphasis on identifying and addressing critical source areas through the farm planning process AND AMEND to use the sub-catchment approach by incentivising the development of catchment groups to work alongside Council to identify and target contaminant hot spots.
Baldwin, Trevor and Wilhelmina Submitter ID: 71221	PC1-6263	Oppose the provision	No specific relief sought for Schedule C.
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited Submitter ID: 74036	PC1-7091	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to have the same setback (3 metres) as Rule 3.11.5.2(3)(e). AND MAKE any similar amendments to like effect or any consequential amendments that stem from the relief sought.
Ballantine, Alan Maurice Submitter ID: 74094	PC1-8134	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to find a sensible, cost effective and logical way to achieve appropriate stock exclusion.
Balle Bros Group Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11434	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to read: "...2. New fences installed after 22 October 2016 must be located to ensure cattle, horses, deer and pigs cannot be within one metre of the bed of the water body (excluding constructed wetlands) <u>are installed in accordance with Schedule 1 requirements</u> . 3. Livestock must not be permitted to enter onto or pass across the bed of the water body, except when using a livestock crossing structure <u>or where stock is moved in one continuous movement and this occurs less frequently than once per week</u> Water bodies from which cattle, horses, deer and pigs must be excluded: i. Any river that continually contains surface water and <u>exceeds 1m wide at any point and is 30cm deep on average</u> .

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>ii. Any drain that continually contains surface water <u>exceeds 1m wide at any point and is 30cm deep on average.</u></p> <p>...</p> <p>Exclusions: The following situations are excluded from clauses 1 and 2: I. <u>Areas with slopes exceeding 15 degrees and where no break feeding occurs</u> II. Where the entry onto or passing across the bed of the water body is by horses that are being ridden or led. III. Where entry onto or passing across the bed of the water body is by a feral animal."</p>
Barnett, Michael Submitter ID: 73079	PC1-4162	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C.
Bartholomew and Tulloch, Flora Beryl and David John Submitter ID: 73083	PC1-4329	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C so stock are only required to be excluded from main water ways that can be accessed easily, and any consequential amendments.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11507	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to apply to land with a slope up to 15° (flat and rolling land). AND AMEND to exclude stock when break fed on land with a slope greater than 15 degrees. AND DELETE Schedule C (3) AND REPLACE with: " <u>Cattle, deer and pigs are able to enter water bodies for the purpose of crossing from one side to the other as long as they are being supervised and are actively driven across the water body in one continuous movement, where this occurs less frequently than once per week. Stock crossings used once or more per week, must use a livestock crossing structure.</u> "
Bell, Helen and Ian Submitter ID: 71345	PC1-7564	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to allow farmers to continue the current policy which enables cows to cross the river once per month and farmers under special circumstances may appeal this.
Bellview Plains Ltd Submitter ID: 73496	PC1-8622	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Bevege, Richard Neil Submitter ID: 74157	PC1-8199	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to only require stock exclusion of cattle from waterways in high stocking rate situations.
Beverland, Robert William Submitter ID: 73911	PC1-5202	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to provide for more water containments via dams creating small wetland as filters.
Birchall, David Richard Submitter ID: 73613	PC1-7601	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1.
Black Jack Farms Submitter ID: 72028	PC1-8056	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Bolt Trust, King Country Partnership 2013 LP and Lone Pine Trust Submitter ID: 73539	PC1-6466	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C so the fencing requirement mirrors that of Fonterra farms: fencing required for waterways over 1 metre wide and 500 mm deep. AND AMEND Schedule C to allow existing waterway fencing to remain. AND AMEND PPC1 to recognise those farmers who have already taken action and ensure they are not penalised. AND AMEND PPC1 so different farming systems are treated differently.
Boom, Robin Submitter ID: 73984	PC1-5736	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to require fencing off of all waterways where the minimum flow is at least 3 litres/second, with voluntary fencing of minor waterways AND AMEND to remove the stock exclusion requirements for pigs and deer.
Bowron, James	PC1-5400	Oppose the provision with amendments	No specific decision was requested for Schedule C.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73699			
Brewer, Kylie Lynn Submitter ID: 72911	PC1-8162	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to allow for flexible stock exclusion methods AND REMOVE requirement for hill country properties to exclude stock.
Briggs, Graham John Submitter ID: 73938	PC1-3210	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to ensure requirements are assessed on a farm by farm basis, and that water is available for animals in hill country AND MAKE other consequential amendments.
Brinker Farm Ltd Submitter ID: 73463	PC1-8346	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Brodie, Philip Donald Submitter ID: 67406	PC1-2929	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C (2). AND AMEND to replace with cross references to the proposed national stock exclusion regulations being produced by the Ministry for the Environment.
Bromham, Alexander David Clive & Judith Leigh Submitter ID: 73906	PC1-3473	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C or funding or tax relief provided by central government to assist landowners.
Brough, John Conroy Submitter ID: 71237	PC1-423	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE from Schedule C the requirement to fence waterways AND AMEND to ban the use of urea and spraying anywhere near a waterbody AND AMEND to encourage farmers to carry on doing good work AND AMEND to focus on towns and Cities.
Brown, Tracy Lee Submitter ID: 72955	PC1-2178	Support the provision	AMEND Schedule C to ensure that existing fences may remain in place.
Buchanan, Jason Robert Submitter ID: 73696	PC1-5406	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to provide education and subsidy for costs and weed control as positive steps to get farmers on board.
Bull, Gerald Submitter ID: 74125	PC1-4867	Support the provision	AMEND Schedule C to reduce the fencing requirements so that they only apply where stock in waterways is known to be a problem, and these areas are identified through a Farm Environment Plan.
Burgenridge Limited Submitter ID: 74196	PC1-7960	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the stock exclusion provisions of PPC1 to add designated 'sheep only' areas to the mitigation measures available to be used on land with a slope of >25 degrees, AND AMEND the stock exclusion provisions of PPC1 so that stock exclusion on land over 3 degrees slope (rolling or steep) applies only to permanently flowing waterways where the active channel is over 1 metre wide at any point.
Butler, Philip David Francis and Lois Elizabeth Submitter ID: 72772	PC1-4983	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
C&A Neville Family Trust Submitter ID: 72865	PC1-10960	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by the Federated Farmers submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Carey, Rita Anne Submitter ID: 74006	PC1-3201	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C so that retired land is compensated for and maintained by the Council AND provide as a tax deductible expense all works enforced by the Council AND calculate a farm average distance so that a fence can be further back or closer depending on the site characteristics. Wetland should be fenced with no setback AND CONSIDER waterholes and dams as beneficial for the environment AND provide financial assistance with installing regular troughs on farms with natural water where gravity is possible AND AMEND to allow for plenty of machinery access AND AMEND to define the requirements in Schedule 1, A(2)(b)(ii).
Carter, Michael and Jackie, Matthew and Amy Submitter ID: 73372	PC1-6422	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C Stock Exclusion so that waterways on intensively farmed class I to IV land must be fenced, AND so that stock exclusion on Class V to VII land is assessed as part of the Farm Environment Plan.
Carter, Shaun Colin Thomas Submitter ID: 74159	PC1-8563	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to provide certainty that stock exclusion provisions noted in an approved farm plan will supersede Schedule C. AND AMEND to clarify the setback for fences so they are the same for Schedule C and in all rules. AND AMEND Schedule C to provide exceptions for flood prone areas, where fencing is impractical and wasteful. AND AMEND Schedule C to provide exceptions to land over 15 degrees where animal welfare / access to drinking water takes precedence over Schedule C. AND AMEND Schedule C to provide guidance as to what the Council's future plans truly are with regards to hill country farming. AND AMEND to provide for any consequential or similar amendments, to give effect to the submission.
Cave, Rachel Ann Submitter ID: 72503	PC1-3906	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C.
Chandler, Peter and Libby Submitter ID: 74203	PC1-7980	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the stock exclusion provisions of PPC1 so that fencing is fast tracked and not implemented in 6 years.
Chick, Adam Ross Submitter ID: 73985	PC1-7904	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to remove the stock exclusion fencing requirement for slopes over 15 degrees AND AMEND for stock exclusion the definition of a waterway to align with the definition in the NPS-FM AND AMEND to include stock exclusion fencing in Farm Environment Plans and through catchment requirements.
Chick, Leith Roger Submitter ID: 53276	PC1-10160	Oppose the provision with amendments	REMOVE the Schedule C fencing requirement on slopes over 15 degrees AND AMEND the definition of waterbody to that in the National Waterway Accord

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND fencing requirements so that they are specific to sub-catchments and defined in Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND by lifting the stocking rate of 6 stock units per hectare to a rate that is appropriate based on farm capability.
Christian and Anderson, Ashley John and Frances Ann Submitter ID: 73064	PC1-4775	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to allow for stock to cross waterways if they are being actively controlled. Individual Farm Environment Plans to state how many time crossings are allowed. Schedule C: AMEND PPC1 so individual Farm Environment Plans outline mitigations against contaminants specific to each farm, in-line with the Ministry for the Environment Draft Regulatory Impact Statement: stock exclusion AND AMEND the definition of a waterway to that of the National Water Accord AND AMEND to include a subsidy for waterway fencing AND AMEND to allow grazing on different contours, taking into account soil type, distance from waterbodies, climatic conditions, vegetative cover, type of stock, etc, to determine a stocking rate. This would be contained in the property's Farm Environment Plan which would break down the property into areas as per above and alter the stocking rate on each area.
Clapcott, Michael John Submitter ID: 72625	PC1-9790	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Clarke, Campbell Submitter ID: 73032	PC1-9527	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to be consistent with the Clean Water Report with regard to fencing up to 15 degrees AND DELETE Schedule C(3) AND AMEND Schedule C(i) to read: "Any river that continually contains surface water <u>flowing water over 1 metre in width and 30 centimetres in depth.</u> " AND AMEND Schedule C(ii) to read: "Any drain that continually contains surface water <u>flowing water over 1 metre in depth and 30 centimetres in depth.</u> "
Clarke, Hamish Submitter ID: 71621	PC1-8464	Oppose the provision	DELETE in their entirety Schedule C provisions which relate to excluding cattle from waterbodies through permanent fencing OR, if not deleted, AMEND Schedule C so that exclusion of livestock (particularly deer and cattle) through permanent fencing is tailored on a farm by farm, district by district, and sub-catchment by sub-catchment basis, and where scientifically proven and identified water quality issues are directly created by specified classes of stock having access to water bodies AND AMEND to specifically address the output issue of water quality AND AMEND Farm Environment Plans to focus on addressing actual risks and be targeted at critical point source areas on farms, rather than requiring blanket stock exclusion AND AMEND to enable mustering of cattle and deer through water bodies without requiring formed stock crossing structures when crossing that specific water body less than three times weekly.
Clarke, Stuart Gordon Submitter ID: 73779	PC1-4551	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN in Schedule C 5. the words continually AND AMEND so that land over 15 degrees slope does not require to be fenced for stock exclusion AND Waikato Regional Council to accept that there are costs involved in river fencing.
Clayton-Greene, Cindy and Warren Submitter ID: 71426	PC1-1228	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Schedule C the requirement to exclude cattle on hill country from all permanently flowing streams.
Clover Farm Limited Submitter ID: 72492	PC1-3868	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C (5) (ii) to read: "Any drain that continually contains surface water <u>runs at greater than 5 litres per second year round.</u> "
Clune, Grant William John Submitter ID: 73544	PC1-6584	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision requested for Schedule C.
CNI Iwi Land Management Limited	PC1-10805	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule C as written.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 74026			
Coleman, Mark and Ruth Submitter ID: 71424	PC1-7413	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to ensure that dams, drains and swamps on sheep and beef farms are exempt from stock exclusion provisions. AND AMEND to ensure that where water reticulation must be installed due to the implementation of PPC1, Waikato [Waitomo] Regional Council covers full installation costs. AND AMEND to ensure that Waikato Regional Council meets the full costs of fencing. AND AMEND Schedule C with regard to water bodies to read: "any water body that is 3 metres or wider across flowing permanently all year round in its normal state, i.e not in flood, to be fenced only where it is practical to do so". AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Collins, Nick Submitter ID: 71202	PC1-808	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to provide equal focus on land use capability and soil management to increase the potential of soil to retain nutrient for plant availability and reduce soil erosion AND AMEND to provide for a sub-catchment approach to fencing off hill country, identifying the issues in a collective and collaborative approach with farmers and the community AND AMEND to undertake a review of any existing waterway fencing based around land use and loading, e.g should the setback be increased if the farm has a high loading, for example above the 50% Nitrogen Reference Point.
Constantine, Dale Andrew Submitter ID: 74151	PC1-9108	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to allow stock to be herded across stony-bottomed waterways without a consented stock crossing structure where herd numbers are less than 30 and the frequency is no greater than twice weekly. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Cook, Ian and Doreen Submitter ID: 71663	PC1-9605	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C OR AMEND to include a stocking rate or to reduce the slope that requires fencing from 25 degrees.
Corlett, Peter Valentine Submitter ID: 73467	PC1-8191	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Coster, Paul Submitter ID: 72959	PC1-4107	Oppose the provision	Undertake cost-benefit analysis to determine where the most benefit from fencing waterways is gained. Schedule C AND AMEND to prioritise areas where the most benefit from stock exclusion is gained. AND AMEND to adopt a science-based approach to stock exclusion.
Coup, Martin Ross Amesbury Submitter ID: 73856	PC1-4905	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to provide for stock exclusion by planting steeper gullies with protected trees. AND AMEND to provide for the use of debris dams. AND AMEND to provide for the use of troughs in paddocks. AND AMEND to provide for shelter (planted trees) for stock, away from the waterway. AND AMEND to provide for fencing off streams in paddocks where break feeding can occur, as well as in hills where break feeding can occur.
Cowan, Evan John Submitter ID: 73808	PC1-2730	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Schedule C stock exclusion stock from rivers, drains, Lakes and significant wetlands. AND AMEND to provide for a collaborative approach to developing individual Farm Environment Plans that reduce reliance on stock exclusion through fencing.
Craig, Jeffery Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-9686	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to determine stock exclusion fencing requirements on an individual farm basis, taking other mitigation measures into account AND AMEND to exclude small waterways from fencing requirements, with a minimum width and depth for fencing AND AMEND to extend the timeframe for fencing AND AMEND to clarify how slope is measured AND AMEND to clarify how land within setbacks is to be maintained and funded, with consideration of Council-subsidised planting and spraying programmes

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to determine stock crossing requirements on an individual farm basis, with no culvert crossing required when stock crossing is infrequent AND AMEND to plan for the removal of carp AND AMEND to ensure drains are still able to be cleaned AND AMEND to provide for Council to fund fencing and associated costs.
Crawford, Fraser and Liz Submitter ID: 73767	PC1-7995	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to define 'permanent flowing water' in alignment with the National Standard AND AMEND to ensure the slope of land that requires fencing aligns with the National Standard.
Crescent Genetics Submitter ID: 73274	PC1-10037	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to consider the financial implications associated with stock exclusion.
Croft, Shane Lowell Mark Submitter ID: 74056	PC1-10920	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to take a sub-catchment approach with the use of Farm Environment Plans only in the sub-catchments with contaminant issues and only include E.coli that is from a farmed animal AND AMEND to provide assurance in PPC1 that the rules will not change and that farmer investment into fencing and water reticulation is worthwhile.
Dairy Goat Co-Operative (N.Z) Ltd Submitter ID: 74044	PC1-4135	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 (Schedule C) so a common term (stock or livestock) is used and defined throughout the document, at least in relation to stock exclusion from water bodies AND AMEND to ensure that the definition (stock or livestock) refers to cattle, horses, deer and pigs, as per Schedule C(1) only.
Dance, Richard Barry Submitter ID: 74107	PC1-7156	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C by considering which waterways need fencing AND CLARIFY who is responsible for run-off from roads.
Darke, Anthony and Adana Submitter ID: 72666	PC1-6477	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C by providing more science. AND AMEND by providing alternative measures, other than stock fencing, on hill country. This can be done through the Farm Environment Plans i.e only grazing with the cattle at certain times of the year.
Dean, David Submitter ID: 73782	PC1-4650	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C so that waterways that are man-made like those on drainage schemes be fences 0.5 metres from waterways.
Dean, Matthew D'Ornan Keith Submitter ID: 60477	PC1-3879	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to have a 0.5 metre setback for all fencing in a drainage district.
Delrane-Jessen Holdings Limited Submitter ID: 72024	PC1-7946	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to exclude ephemeral stream channels/swampy areas.
Denize, Brendan Submitter ID: 73850	PC1-3629	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to take a catchment by catchment approach which takes into account topography, rainfall and farming practice. AND AMEND to exempt hill country with less than 18 stock units per hectare, land over 25 degrees, small water bodies (eg less than 1 metre in width).
Denize, Mathew John Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-7661	Oppose the provision	AMEND to CLARIFY Schedule C stock exclusion requirements with regard to setback buffer distance and where to measure the setback from on undulating land.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-11055	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to require that sheep are excluded from outstanding water bodies, and that cattle, horses, deer and pigs are excluded from all water bodies, including ephemeral water bodies. This should also be reflected throughout Chapter 3.11. AND AMEND Schedule C to require that all new fencing alongside permanent rivers, lakes and outstanding waterbodies be setback at least 10m from the bed of the waterbody and wetlands. For intermittent rivers and wetlands a 5m setback for new fencing is sought.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND PPC1 permitted and controlled activity rules in accordance with these changes to Schedule C.
de Thierry and Gawne, John William and Wendy Doreen Submitter ID: 71226	PC1-831	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to include best practicable options AND AMEND to extend completed work out to a 10 year period AND ADOPT National Water Accord recommendations for waterways to be fenced.
Dixon, Dawn Submitter ID: 73446	PC1-6333	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to provide for mitigation of contaminants in a way that would be beneficial to animal behaviour, for example create wetlands.
Dixon, Grant Submitter ID: 73980	PC1-7741	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so contaminants can be mitigated in a way that is beneficial to animal behaviour.
Dorreen, Ian David and Bronwyn Nugent Submitter ID: 73991	PC1-8504	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to provide subsidies to farmers for fencing all waterways. AND AMEND Schedule C to be more flexible (i.e. tailoring requirements to individual farms) or the required widths of fencing. AND AMEND to let stock cross waterways when being managed - a per weekly limitation for crossings could be set. AND AMEND to include the definition of waterway as set out in the National Water Accord.
Douglas and Grant, A and M Submitter ID: 73487	PC1-9840	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to fully subsidise stock exclusion where livestock are removed from natural water supplied reticulation systems AND AMEND to partially subsidise fencing for riparian planting, erosion prone areas and native planting and remnant areas AND AMEND to focus on drought resistant grasses and cultivars rather than irrigation.
Drummond Dairy Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 72831	PC1-5660	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to adopt the same definition of waterway that is used in the Dairy Accord AND AMEND to require two wire fencing instead of five wire fencing AND AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Dudding Farms Submitter ID: 73222	PC1-8958	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Dudin, Alan and Sarah Submitter ID: 73852	PC1-4910	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to state 'Best Practicable Option' to exclude livestock. AND AMEND to provide a clearer definition of a waterbody, such as 'wider than 1 metre, surface water deeper than 0.5 m for 80% of a year' to provide certainty. AND AMEND to provide a clear definition of a 'stock proof natural barrier'. AND AMEND to provide a clear definition of a 'livestock' in schedule C and 'cattle, horses, deer, and pigs'.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND to provide greater flexibility to cattle grazing on slopes over 15 degrees, acknowledging that best practices such as rotation grazing at times when runoff of sediment would be low. (for example summer months, and low flows, or fast rotation such as 12 grazing days spread over a 12 month period)</p> <p>AND AMEND to be consistent with the Sustainable Dairy Accord, require a crossing if stock are crossing on a frequency basis, and where 'practicable'.</p> <p>AND AMEND to introduce the stock exclusion at a staged rate, to provide certainty and supporting science at the 10 year review. That water bodies on land with a slope less than 15 degrees be fenced to exclude livestock in the first 10 years. With the review there is the expectation that there will be more supporting science and results from this, and then can consider whether to introduce the next stage.</p>
Duncan, Andrew Richard Submitter ID: 73410	PC1-6177	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to define the term 'stock' as 'cattle, horses, deer and pigs'.
Dunlop, Tania Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-622	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule C to provide for alternative methods to fencing waterways and stock crossings on a farm by farm basis with an extended timeframe</p> <p>AND AMEND to establish minimum width and depth for waterways before fencing is required</p> <p>AND CLARIFY the slope measurements and setback requirements</p> <p>AND AMEND to address drain cleaning issues</p> <p>AND Council to pay for fencing</p> <p>AND Council to develop a plan to get rid of carp.</p>
DVL and ST Robinson Family Trust Submitter ID: 72915	PC1-10975	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND Schedule C as requested by the Federated Farmers submission</p> <p>[AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated</p> <p>AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates</p> <p>AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure</p> <p>AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]</p> <p>AND REMOVE the requirement to fence swamps and springs</p> <p>AND TEST waterways at sub-catchment entry, exit and internal points</p> <p>AND IDENTIFY which farms are contributing to the problems and work on those first.</p>
Edmonds, Suzanne Louise Submitter ID: 71085	PC1-47	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule C to provide for different land types.</p> <p>AND AMEND the definition for waterways.</p> <p>AND AMEND the criteria for fencing.</p>
Eight Mile Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 71395	PC1-1152	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule C to adjust setback distances according to stream size, topography and practicality.</p> <p>AND AMEND to align the definition of water body to the National Water Accord.</p> <p>AND AMEND to extend timeframes to allow for the completion of mitigation work.</p> <p>AND meet exclusion costs or give subsidies.</p>
Ellery, Ian Yates	PC1-469	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to include putting troughs in certain areas beside creeks to discourage cattle from drinking from waterbodies.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 71173			
Elliott, Peter Allan Submitter ID: 73615	PC1-4225	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for Schedule C.
Elliott, Peter and Dagmar Submitter ID: 73413	PC1-8483	Support the provision	No specific decision requested for Schedule C.
Ellmers, Fiona Mary Submitter ID: 73313	PC1-5953	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to included controlled movement of cattle across a moving water body if event occurs less than 3 times a week. AND AMEND the stock exclusion provision to be based on National stock exclusion requirements that relate to cattle, deer and pigs from permanently flowing water bodies (either temporary or permanent or natural barrier) on flat and rolling land but not hill country.
Eyre, Stuart Murray Submitter ID: 71405	PC1-1139	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C stock exclusion provisions, IF not deleted, then AMEND to adopt the Governments stock exclusion policy as it will be a National Policy Statement.
Farmers 4 Positive Change (F4PC) Submitter ID: 73355	PC1-10430	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to bring stock exclusion into line with the National Stock Exclusion requirements, which relate to exclusion of cattle, deer, and pigs, from permanently flowing waterbodies, through fencing (temporary and permanent or natural barrier, or other technologies) on flat land and rolling land, but not on hill country AND AMEND to enable flexibility in land use, discharges, and stocking rates up to these standards AND REMOVE any provisions that hold land uses to historic discharge levels or stocking rates.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10852	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-10649	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to read: "2. New fences installed after 22 October 2016 must be located to ensure cattle, horses, deer and pigs cannot be within one <u>three</u> metres of the bed of the water body (excluding constructed wetlands)." AND ADD a third Exclusion after (I) and (II) as follows: " <u>III. Areas with a slope exceeding 25 degrees and where stream fencing is impractical.</u> "
Findlay, Andrew Submitter ID: 72021	PC1-8299	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C OR AMEND stock exclusion provisions to start at the top of the catchment over a certain timeframe when finance is achievable.
Findlay, Thomas David Submitter ID: 73713	PC1-9420	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C.
Firthview Submitter ID: 73386	PC1-5927	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Fleming, Gordon Gerald Shane Submitter ID: 74075	PC1-10461	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to provide a best practice option for stock exclusion for sheep and beef farms. AND AMEND stock exclusion requirements to provide a maximum use for water troughs first.
Foreman, Kerry Alan Submitter ID: 73609	PC1-7829	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C in its entirety. If not deleted, the AMEND to provide for a farm by farm case as each farm is different and unique therefore need a personal proposal.
Forlong, Maurice and Karen Submitter ID: 73728	PC1-7474	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to incorporate funding to mitigate social loss as a result of fencing AND AMEND PPC1 to provide for upkeep of fenced areas using a fair and equitable cost mode AND CLARIFY whether not all tax/rate payers should be responsible for the long term maintenance of the 'social' use of waterways instead of a single farm entity maintaining a stretch of waterway for public use? AND CLARIFY what the 'Queen's chain' responsibility is?
Francis, Sean Dean and Barnes, Jeanie Elizabeth Submitter ID: 71404	PC1-1175	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Schedule C but the costs associated with its implementation should be acknowledged.
Franklin Waikato Drainage Advisory Subcommittee Submitter ID: 74092	PC1-4067	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to have a 0.5 metre setback for all fencing in a drainage district.
Frederikson, Mark Gordon Submitter ID: 73118	PC1-8720	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to prioritise the most polluted and/or largest waterways for fencing through a staggered approach and for the first stage to be completed within a sensible timeframe such as 5 years. AND AMEND the rules around what constitutes a waterway to something similar to what Hon Nick Smith has released which sets a waterway limit of 0.4m deep and a lake circumference of 1.5km. AND subsidies from Waikato Regional Council and other avenues should be continued to help implement the initial costs of fencing but also for future maintenance.
Fuchs-Hill Trust Submitter ID: 73968	PC1-8942	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to provide that stock exclusion be considered on a case by case, and farm by farm basis.
Fullerton, Angela Margaret Submitter ID: 71297	PC1-5687	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Schedule C 2. [the rule about not moving fences]. AND CLARIFY if the definition of 'water body' includes man-made dams for drinking and or man-made ponds.
Fullerton-Smith, Peter and Kirstin Submitter ID: 73754	PC1-4832	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Fullerton-Smith, Yearbury & Foley, Peter & Kirstin, Mark & Charlotte	PC1-4822	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C in its entirety. AND ADD as an alternative, a sub-catchment group to ascertain whether these waterways need fencing.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73752			AND ADD individual Farm Environment Plans so that the individual needs of each farm are addressed. AND MAKE available funds to help farms with high fencing costs to ensure the goals are met.
Fyers, John and Joanne Submitter ID: 73460	PC1-2561	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C OR AMEND to allow low stocking rates around waterways or reduce the angle of fencing as the current 25 degrees.
Garland, Suzanne Merle and William Graham Submitter ID: 74066	PC1-11330	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN stock exclusion provisions in PPC1 AND AMEND PPC1 to include clear definitions of a river, stream and wetlands. AND AMEND Schedule 1(2)(a)(ii) as follows: "for areas with a slope exceeding 25 degrees and <u>or</u> where stream fencing is impracticable, the provision of alternative mitigation measures" AND AMEND Schedule 1 to include a provision for extending time frames to comply with Objective 4.
Garrett and McKay, Alan and Kathy Submitter ID: 73039	PC1-9197	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to ADD a NEW provision to provide rates relief as compensation for land lost in fencing waterways, or land use change credits for such loss of land, and land use change credits for pest control.
Garwood, John Philip Submitter ID: 71171	PC1-140	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C in its entirety.
Gaston, Jo and Andrew Submitter ID: 71267	PC1-946	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to follow to new guidelines for fencing from the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management AND AMEND to include provision of alternative management practices to be phased in over a 10 year period with financial assistance from Regional and Central Governments AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Gaudin, Philip and Pauline Submitter ID: 72820	PC1-9110	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to provide for stock exclusion slope requirements to be no greater than 15 degrees as per the Clean Water Report (February 2017) AND AMEND the exclusion requirements for cattle on land between 3 and 15 degrees slope to only apply to all permanently flowing waterways greater than 1m wide AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans form the basis of PPC1 AND AMEND to ensure Waikato Regional Council and central government continue to subsidise any waterway fencing AND AMEND to ensure actively managing stock across waterways be allowed but limited.
Genetic Technologies Ltd Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-3284	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C(5) to clearly define where the river bed stops and starts i.e. the edge of the water or edge of the bank.
Gilbert, Ben and Leanne Submitter ID: 73925	PC1-2684	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C stock exclusion provisions to allow Farm Environment Plans to mitigate the nutrient and sediment loss instead of an overall stock exclusion of stock from waterways. AND AMEND to subsidise any required fencing and water reticulation systems. AND AMEND the requirement for stock exclusion to be on slopes up to 15 degrees. AND AMEND the stock exclusion provisions to adopt the definition of waterbody from the National Water Accord.
Gill, Alan and Bonnie Submitter ID: 72438	PC1-7957	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to provide financial compensation from Waikato Regional Council to buy material and pay labour cost to erect fences.
GKS Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 72618	PC1-4881	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates</p> <p>AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government’s Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure</p> <p>AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]</p>
<p>Gleeson, Graeme B Submitter ID: 73800</p>	<p>PC1-6412</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND the timeframes for stock exclusion in Schedule C so that stock is excluded depending on the type of stock, the type of waterway stock is to be excluded from and the degree of slope.</p> <p>AND AMEND Schedule C to specify that slope means the dominant slope of the landscape. i.e. covers 80% or more of the landscape.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that where 80 percent of land is less than or equal to 15 degree slope, stock is excluded from perennial waterways by 2022.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that where 80 percent of land is less than or equal to 15 degree slope, stock is excluded from ephemeral waterways when they flow directly to a main waterway, accepting temporary fencing as a solution.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure stock exclusion occurs only in those areas identified as high risk,</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that in hill country, where dominant slope is greater than 15 degrees, stock exclusion occurs in critical source areas and where the cattle/deer stocking rate is greater than or equal to 1000kgLW/ha.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure the timeframes for stock exclusion align with those proposed nationally.</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide certainty about where and which waters need to be excluded from stock.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that Farm Environment Plans provide mitigation against contaminants relevant to each farm, rather than a blanket approach.</p> <p>AND AMEND to enable stock to enter water bodies if they are being actively managed across the water body, and the water body is not crossed more than once a week.</p>
<p>Glenshee Trust Submitter ID: 73028</p>	<p>PC1-1948</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule C stock exclusion provisions by considering in depth the implications of stock exclusion on steeper and more extensive hill country</p> <p>AND AMEND stock exclusion provisions by directly linking fencing of stream requirement to land use intensity including an assessment of the potential risk factors and fenced in order of priority</p> <p>AND AMEND stock exclusion provisions by giving consideration to alternative solutions on steep land such as water reticulation installation</p> <p>AND AMEND stock exclusion provisions by giving consideration to matching land use capability rather than directly to slope which is a simplistic measure.</p>
<p>Goddard, Allan and Mary-Anne Submitter ID: 73061</p>	<p>PC1-2341</p>	<p>Oppose the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule C to provide more flexibility in how stock exclusion is achieved</p> <p>AND CLARIFY that Schedule C(3) refers to the same classes of stock as Schedule C(1)</p> <p>AND CONSIDER adopting the proposed national stock exclusion rules.</p>
<p>Gooding, Penny Submitter ID: 74137</p>	<p>PC1-3647</p>	<p>Oppose the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission.</p> <p>[AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated</p> <p>AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates</p> <p>AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure</p> <p>AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]</p>
Gow, David John and Philippa Jewell Submitter ID: 73981	PC1-8793	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to provide alternatives to fencing for stock exclusion such as silt traps and erosion control plantings AND AMEND to provide scientific proof of the environmental benefits of fencing off hill country streams.
Grainger, Chris and Andrea Submitter ID: 74153	PC1-8186	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C the stock exclusion provision to use the National Water Accord definition of water bodies, i.e. the 1 metre wide by 300mm deep flowing all year round.
Guitry, Gareth and Natalie Submitter ID: 73849	PC1-3499	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a definition in Schedule C for wetlands that excludes insignificant temporary wetlands.
Haerepo Trust Submitter ID: 72661	PC1-7397	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND Schedule C to give greater consideration to the the implications of stock exclusion on steep extensive hill country</p> <p>AND AMEND to link stream fencing requirements to land use intensity, assessment of risk, and fencing priority.</p> <p>AND AMEND to consider alternative solutions on steep land, such as water reticulation</p> <p>AND AMEND so that fencing requirements are matched to Land Use Capability rather than slope.</p>
Hahn, Jacqueline Marie Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11472	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission</p> <p>[AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated</p> <p>AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates</p> <p>AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure</p> <p>AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]</p>
Hamilton, Jean Submitter ID: 73694	PC1-8370	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide that method 10 and 11 reflect what happens on the land and what can be found in the water.
Hamilton City Council Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-11045	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule C as follows:</p> <p>"Except as provided by Exclusions I., and II. and III., stock must be excluded from the water bodies listed in I. to iv. below as follows:..."</p> <p>[no change to 1. and 2.)</p> <p>"3. Livestock must not be permitted to enter onto or pass across the bed of the water body, except when using a livestock crossing structure."</p> <p>[no change to i. to iv. or I and II]</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND ADD "III. Schedule C does not apply to animals at Hamilton Zoo."
Hansen, Dean Peter Submitter ID: 71690	PC1-8426	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Hansen, Michael Submitter ID: 73151	PC1-10350	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C stock exclusion requirements to be consistent with the government's Clean Water Report (February 2017) AND AMEND the stock exclusion slope requirements to no greater than 15 degrees as per the Clean Water Report (February 2017) AND AMEND the exclusion requirements for cattle and deer on land between 3 and 15 degree slopes so that they apply only to all permanently flowing waterbodies 1 metre wide or greater.
Hansen, Robin Arthur and Gillian Joy Submitter ID: 73275	PC1-7224	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to define what is the required size of water hole, dam, pond, lake that requires fencing. AND AMEND Schedule C to provide direction on creeks and streams that vary in width, depth and land slope (from level to 180 degrees in 100m) AND AMEND so that Farm Environment Plans deal with stock access to water
Harding, Malcolm Garland Submitter ID: 73451	PC1-11290	Support the provision	RETAIN the exclusion of sheep from the stock exclusion provisions of Schedule C.
Harper, John Autry Submitter ID: 74039	PC1-10172	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Harper, Peter James and Linda Ann Submitter ID: 73855	PC1-4954	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to include Best Practicable Options AND AMEND to extend time to complete stock exclusion work to a 10 year period AND AMEND to adopt National water accord recommendations for waterways to be fenced AND AMEND to use ponds as sediment traps.
Harre, Raymond and Janet Submitter ID: 73708	PC1-2708	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C so that it is only main water courses and rivers that require fencing. AND AMEND to exclude non-dairy farming, including sheep and beef. AND AMEND to provide for establishing sediment catchment ponds to be built on side streams.
Harris, Mark Beaven Submitter ID: 72017	PC1-6369	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C 4 (a) to read "For land use authorised under Rules ... a. By 1 July 2023 2026 for properties and enterprises within Priority 1 sub-catchments listed in Table 3.11-2." AND AMEND Schedule C Stock Exclusion provisions as per the Federated Farmers submission [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Harris, Peter John Submitter ID: 72425	PC1-3937	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to apply to drains, streams and dams only where a specified stocking rate is exceeded. AND AMEND Schedule C(4)(a) to extend the timeframe to 2026 for Priority 1 sub-catchments.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Hart, John Henry and Susan Graham Submitter ID: 71246	PC1-582	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to ensure that national guidelines are followed and that hillsides are greater than 15 degrees.
Harvey, Brett and Amanda Submitter ID: 71192	PC1-239	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C.
Hathaway, Bruce Submitter ID: 73868	PC1-3798	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Hathaway, Hayley Masha Submitter ID: 73101	PC1-8143	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Hathaway, John Submitter ID: 71390	PC1-11306	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Hathaway, Robert Kenneth	PC1-5937	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73793			[AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Hawkes, Irwin Lawrence and Yvonne Jean Submitter ID: 73890	PC1-4948	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the 25 degree slope provision. Schedule C AMEND Farm Environment Plans to focus on addressing the actual risk, targeting critical source areas.
Henderson, David and Sue Submitter ID: 72613	PC1-8645	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to give subsidies for fencing and planting up drains AND AMEND to allow winter forage crops to be grazed in situ.
Henderson, Ken Submitter ID: 71342	PC1-987	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to require stock exclusion from major waterways with a width of 2 metres or greater and flow all year round deeper than 300mm.
Herewahine Trust Submitter ID: 72966	PC1-8182	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND to consider the implications of stock exclusion on steep and extensive hill country, AND AMEND to link the requirement to fence with land use intensity, including an assessment of potential risk factors and fencing in order of priority, AND AMEND to consider alternative solutions on steep land, such as water reticulation installation, AND AMEND to consider matching land use capability rather directly to slope.
Hill Country Farmers Group Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-7908	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C stock exclusion requirement to align with the Align National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management. AND AMEND to provide clear and consistent guidance on stock crossing requirements and slope interpretation for mandatory fencing. AND AMEND Schedule C to read: "...2. New fences installed after 22 October 2016 must be located to ensure cattle, horses, deer and pigs cannot be within one metre of the <u>enter the</u> bed of the water body (excluding constructed wetlands) <u>in accordance with Schedule 1.</u> 3. Livestock must not be permitted to enter onto or pass across the bed of the water body, except when using a livestock crossing structure <u>or where stock is moved in one continuous movement and this occurs less frequently than once per week</u> ... Water bodies from which cattle, horses, deer and pigs must be excluded: i. Any river that continually contains surface water and exceeds <u>1m wide at any point and is 30cm deep on average.</u> ii. Any drain that continually contains surface water <u>exceeds 1m wide at any point and is 30cm deep on average.</u> ... The following situations are excluded from clauses 1 and 2: <u>I. Areas with slopes exceeding 15 degrees and where no break feeding occurs.</u> ± II. Where the entry onto or passing across the bed of the water body is by horses that are being ridden or led. ± III. Where the entry onto or passing across the bed of the water body is by a feral animal. <u>IV. Areas less than 15 degrees demonstrated to be in high flood zones and where fencing is impractical."</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Holland, John David and Roselei Elizabeth Submitter ID: 72851	PC1-8267	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to include flexibility as in the Clean Water Accord February 2017.
Homestead Oaks Ltd Submitter ID: 73718	PC1-7287	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C so stock exclusion is only required where practical and where it is relative to water quality benefits. AND AMEND Schedule C to accept alternatives to stock exclusion such as provision of reticulated water.
Hooker, Peter George Submitter ID: 73975	PC1-4215	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Hooper, Cliff and Annette Submitter ID: 73902	PC1-3376	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to utilise other more effective methods (eg, good stock management).
Horner, Bruce (EB & JC Horner) Submitter ID: 71253	PC1-276	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Howie and Frael, Jennie and Kelvin Submitter ID: 72897	PC1-8438	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to set a 30-year time period over which this provision will not change AND AMEND to make external financial support available on properties with more than 1km of required fencing.
Howlett, Roger and Gloria Dawn Submitter ID: 72483	PC1-9916	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to require each individual catchment area to be monitored on a farm by farm basis, with a flexible approach to fencing of waterways.
Huirimu Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-5939	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to do this through a Farm Environment Plan with reference back to the sub-catchment AND AMEND to use Schedule C as a guide only when developing Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to take into account the different requirements and different grazing patterns of each farm AND REMOVE the fencing requirement of land over 15 degrees AND AMEND to make the setback consistent between Rule 3.11.5.2, Schedule C and Schedule 1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Hurley, Carl Submitter ID: 71347	PC1-5872	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 OR PUT ON HOLD PPC1 until withdrawn area is incorporated AND AMEND PPC1 so it only applies to small local areas where there is a noticeable problem.
Hurley, Peter James Submitter ID: 71391	PC1-1090	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE provisions in Schedule C that relate to excluding cattle from water bodies through permanent fencing in their entirety. OR, if not deleted in their entirety AMEND so that the requirement to exclude cattle through permanent fencing is tailored on a farm by farm basis where there is a proven water quality issue in relation to stock access to water bodies. Allow flexibility to provide for alternative approaches to achieve the same outcome. AND AMEND so that the ability to muster cattle through a water body without requiring a formed stock crossing structure when crossing less than three times weekly. AND AMEND so that it is clear what water bodies clause i, ii, iii and iv relate to. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Hurley, Sonia Kerr Submitter ID: 74146	PC1-7081	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C Stock exclusion and all stock exclusion provisions in rules. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
IB and IB Fyers Submitter ID: 73210	PC1-8968	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C. OR AMEND to set a stock rate limit to reduce the intensity of stocking around waterways or reduce the angle of fencing as the current 25 degrees.
Jackson, William and Thomas Submitter ID: 74096	PC1-7137	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to work with farmers of hill country on a case by case basis to improve grazing management.
J A Dekker Ltd Submitter ID: 73423	PC1-10073	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, Definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Jeffries, Gary and Joy Submitter ID: 71214	PC1-7286	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to follow the Farm Environment Plan of each individual farm. AND AMEND to provide a definition of what water has to be fenced.
JN & VL Gilbert Family Trust Submitter ID: 73578	PC1-10329	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to provide for alternative management approaches to stock exclusion through Farm Environment Plans, with mitigations relevant to each farm AND AMEND to enable stock to enter waterways if being actively managed across the waterbody and it is crossed no more than three times per week AND AMEND to extend the timelines, and provide certainty of investment in that the land will not be required to be converted to forestry in future AND AMEND PPC1 to provide for fencing to be subsidised by the Waikato Regional Council.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Johns, Brian and Paulette Submitter ID: 72756	PC1-7371	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as per Federated Farmers submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Johnson, Richard Allen and Elizabeth Anne Submitter ID: 72728	PC1-11480	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers submission [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Johnston, Moss and Relda Submitter ID: 72597	PC1-8092	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to implement the National Water Accord which recommends streams over 1.5 metres wide are fenced off and protected.
Johnston, Phillip Submitter ID: 73245	PC1-9176	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C.
Johnstone, Allen and Jo Submitter ID: 73901	PC1-3588	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Johnstone, Roger Kenneth	PC1-7889	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C in its entirety.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73614			If not deleted, then AMEND to provide for requirements to exclude cattle be tailored on a farm by farm basis to take into account the impact of the nature of the farm, such as hill country, the nature and type of stock and work already done.
Jolly, Andrew Submitter ID: 71349	PC1-983	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C's stock exclusion requirements to reflect the February 2017 nationally recommended standards. AMEND so that stock exclusion is determined by contaminant discharged, and is contained in a Farm Environment Plan. And any consequential amendments.
Jones, Michael Francis Submitter ID: 59460	PC1-3346	Support the provision	No specific relief sought for Schedule C.
Juby Goat Enterprises Ltd Submitter ID: 73442	PC1-6023	Not stated	AMEND to CLARIFY the need for fencing on dairy goat farms where all dairy goats are housed indoors. Schedule C.
Juno, Anne and Allen Submitter ID: 71200	PC1-827	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C so that water quality on individual farms is tested to identify if there is a problem locally. AND AMEND to provide longer timeframes. RETAIN Farm Environment Plans.
Kaihere Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 74064	PC1-10024	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C.
Kay, Richard Submitter ID: 73490	PC1-9756	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to clarify the definition of water bodies, whether wetlands include constructed ponds, the specific size of a wetland, and who determines the presence of continual surface water.
Keeling, Peter Submitter ID: 73765	PC1-5504	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Schedule C and other PPC1 provisions descriptions of stock types for exclusion from water bodies, in particular not including sheep in the description AND AMEND the required level of stock exclusion on hill country to make it more practical and extend the time frames AND AMEND to clarify the waterway definition. AND AMEND to relax the definition for bigger waterways and/or time available (even in Priority 1 areas).
Keighley, Albie John Hirst Submitter ID: 73944	PC1-5119	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to adopt the Clean Water Accord 2017.
Kelton, Simon Douglas and Adrienne Judith Submitter ID: 73042	PC1-7866	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to change the rules for stock exclusion to the National Water Accord. AND AMEND to provide for a longer timeframe to achieve stock exclusion provisions. AND AMEND to provide for flexibility with respect to distance fences are set back from waterbodies in accordance with individual Farm Environment Plans.
Kent and Gilbert, Elliot and Heather Submitter ID: 72891	PC1-6205	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C definition of a waterway for stock exclusion to that of the National Water Accord. AND AMEND the slope requirements for stock exclusion to 15° as per the National Water Accord. AND AMEND to extend the timeframes for stock exclusion and give certainty to landowners with land classed as 6+ so that future plan changes will not make investment in fencing a waste of money and resources. AND AMEND so that individual Farm Environment Plan present mitigations against contaminants, relevant to each farm. AND AMEND to provide subsidies for stock exclusion fencing by Waikato Regional Council waterway. AND AMEND to enable stock to enter a waterbody if they are actively managed when crossing the waterbody and the waterbody is not crossed by stock more than 3 times a week. AND AMEND to clarify how a slope for stock exclusion will be classed.
Kidd, Peter Arthur and Marilyn May Submitter ID: 72710	PC1-5721	Support the provision	AMEND Schedule C to incentivise land use change towards low environmental footprint, non-pastoral uses.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1923	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C(2) to read: 1 metre exclusion for stock from rivers and streams. AND AMEND to clarify the definition of water bodies. AND AMEND to include terms defined in the Resource Management Act. AND DELETE Exclusion II.
Kjestrup, Michael Bruce Submitter ID: 71692	PC1-6960	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to ensure that streams wider than 2 metres and continually flowing are fenced.
Kjestrup, Stephen Bruce and Victoria Ann Submitter ID: 73918	PC1-5226	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C in its entirety. If not declined, then amend provision as outlined below: AMEND Schedule C to place troughs in each paddock to prevent stock entering water ways.
Kohunui Station Limited Submitter ID: 72612	PC1-8004	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the stock exclusion provisions of PPC1 so the topography of each farm is individually assessed and full consultation with the farmer/owner be carried out to formulate an acceptable and workable solution, AND AMEND to provide financial assistance to assist with fencing costs, AND AMEND to give greater encouragement to improved stocking policies to avoid overstocking (such as more sheep in steeper areas) and to installation of water troughs.
Lacewood Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 72589	PC1-8973	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C so the stock exclusion rules are tailored on a farm by farm basis and are based on the effect that hill country farmers with low stocking rates have on waterways.
Lance, Judy Submitter ID: 72388	PC1-8149	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C.
Langlands, Neil Submitter ID: 74128	PC1-8385	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to give greater flexibility about stock exclusion, allowing case by case assessments.
Lash, Gareth Malmsbury Submitter ID: 72495	PC1-7693	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to enable temporary crossing of waterways by animals.
Laurich, David Anthony and Valda Joy Benner Submitter ID: 60681	PC1-7961	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Laurich, Peter Submitter ID: 73338	PC1-8689	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Lea, Charles Steven Submitter ID: 73903	PC1-3541	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the 25 degrees slope standard in Schedule C and REPLACE with a stocking rate of over 18 stock units per hectare.
Lee, Malcolm and Sally Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-8883	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to provide for the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management be adopted as the standard for stock exclusion AND AMEND to provide for the definition for a waterway for stock exclusion to be adopted as 1 metre wide and 300 mm deep AND AMEND to only fence waterways for stock exclusion that fit the criteria 1 metre wide and 300mm deep that is less than the 15 degree slope AND AMEND to extend the timeframes for completion of stock exclusion AND AMEND to provide for encouragement for water reticulation and shade shelter trees for areas above 15 degrees slope AND AMEND to clarify the ability to use temporary/semi-permanent or permanent fences for stock exclusion

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Leigh Family Submitter ID: 73352	PC1-8784	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to include 'best practicable option' as an alternative to fencing of all waterways AND AMEND to clarify 'waterbodies' and have the definition follow national guidelines of 1m wide and 30cm deep AND AMEND to reduce the fencing threshold to 15 degrees from 25 degrees AND CONSIDER funding 50 percent of fencing.
Leineweber, Jonathan William Submitter ID: 74149	PC1-9200	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to provide that the Fish and Game Council come on board to plant and undertake weed control which could help provide habitat for ducks etc AND AMEND to put on hold requirements for fencing steeper hill country farms until additional research is undertaken to provide evidence of the advantages of fencing / stock exclusion AND AMEND following further consideration of subsidies and support for farmers to return high risk land back to bush, which may include funding through an environmental tax, planting support from Forest and Bird, and compensation for perhaps 75 per cent of loss of farm income per hectare retired.
Leslie, David Wayne Submitter ID: 67807	PC1-6444	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Leslie, Paul Submitter ID: 74086	PC1-3786	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Liefting, John Submitter ID: 72753	PC1-11438	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Lloyd, Matt Submitter ID: 74074	PC1-10079	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to replace permanent fencing with a single wire fence on the wetland edge that can be sprayed annually with glyphosate AND AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.] AND AMEND to provide for a single wire fence on the edge of wetlands that can be sprayed with glyphosate once every year.
Lochiel Farmlands Ltd Submitter ID: 73621	PC1-11871	Not stated	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Lockwood, Peter Submitter ID: 74188	PC1-11522	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to ensure that implementation costs are economically viable.
Loft, Patricia Submitter ID: 73495	PC1-9850	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to include provisions that identify on a farm-by-farm basis on a scale from 1-5 of priority waterways on each farm and identify those waterways that are most important to be fenced first.
Lovell, Christopher Joseph Submitter ID: 74070	PC1-3807	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to avoid top-down blanket regulations at a scale where they are not equitable, practical or scientifically tested. AND CONSIDER undertaking an integrated analysis to develop the regulations. AND AMEND PPC1 after conducting the science required to fill in the gaps in our knowledge AND ENSURE the regulations are based on this science and specific to the areas that need them.
Lowry, Karen and Peter Submitter ID: 73133	PC1-7981	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to adopt the National Water Accord definition of water bodies. That is those that are 1 metre wide and 300 millimetres deep, and flowing all year. AND AMEND to ensure that farms that have undertaken mitigation are recognised in the Farm Environment Plan and nutrient rights allocations, and this can be used to offset other liabilities or increase discharges.
Lumsden, Malcolm John Submitter ID: 73454	PC1-2499	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule C and REPLACE with the National Freshwater policy guidelines.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
MacDonald, Deborah Submitter ID: 73431	PC1-2425	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C so that main waterways are fenced, but to also determine whether it is worth fencing smaller waterways. AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure funding for fencing is provided. AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure that funding for reticulation, if it is feasible to install, is provided. AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure the removal of paradise ducks.
Macdonald, Hamish Stuart Submitter ID: 71433	PC1-2827	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to provide for individual plans through Farm Environment Plans for each farm where the combination of fencing waterways or other mitigation measures are taken into consideration, to work out a balance that benefits both the environment and the farmer.
Macdonald, Ian Submitter ID: 71217	PC1-486	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to provide more flexibility for fencing drains, particularly small drains and should just require hot wires.
MacLachlan, Ian Gibson and Lindsay Phillip Submitter ID: 71205	PC1-674	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C in accordance to the rules used in the National Water Accord and incorporate MFE guidelines for stock exclusion. AND change the timelines for stock exclusion. AND use Farm Environment Plans to decide what is required on every farm individually.
MacLachlan, Ian Gibson and Susan Molly Submitter ID: 71243	PC1-236	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to use Farm Environment Plans (focussed on mitigating contaminations), the National Water Accord rules and sub-catchment consultation and communication.
Macnab, Rob and Tina Submitter ID: 74150	PC1-8380	Oppose the provision	AMEND the stock exclusion provisions of PPC1 to be consistent with the National Water Policy Statement on Stock Exclusion.
Mandeno, Mark Submitter ID: 72718	PC1-5254	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to start with water bodies with the largest water volume and over a far longer timeframe (as stipulated by water quality testing) slowly step down in volume until a positive change starts to occur AND AMEND so that, for steep land, stock exclusion alternatives to fencing can be put in place.
Mandeno, Thomas Graham Submitter ID: 73167	PC1-9314	Not stated	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Mangakotukutuku Stream Care Group Incorporated Submitter ID: 72412	PC1-4470	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 (Schedule C) to protect remaining wetlands and gully seeps and create new incentives to encourage the creation or reinstatement of wetland areas. AND RETAIN Schedule C
Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9365	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to read: "Water bodies from which cattle... i. Any river that is continually contains surface water flowing (i.e. that is not identified as an intermittently flowing river). ii. Any drain (including farm drain canal) that continually contains surface water. iii. Any wetland, including a constructed wetland that has a direct connection with continuously flowing surface water."
Maraekowhai Ltd Submitter ID: 73776	PC1-9049	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to ensure that hill country stock exclusion will only be applicable where the Farm Environment Plan has identified critical source areas and high management risk (likely to be where stocking rate of cattle/dear is 1000 kgLW/ha or more) AND AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that farms that have to be converted to forestry have to be compensated in full (with the amount of compensation decided by a statutory board with farmer representation).
Martin, Peter Submitter ID: 73022	PC1-5804	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in its submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates</p> <p>AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure</p> <p>AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]</p>
Martyn, Anna Katrina Submitter ID: 72928	PC1-4159	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule C the definition for stock exclusion to establish a 30-year timeframe for the viability of fencing.</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide financial support to properties that require more than one kilometre of fencing.</p>
Masters, Stuart Bruce, Melvah Joy and Brendon James Submitter ID: 72445	PC1-3859	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule C to ensure that each paddock is individually assessed to determine its ability to graze stock, rather than just applying a figure (15 degrees) to everything.</p>
Matahuru Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73768	PC1-6997	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND in Schedule C the definition of water body to read: "Water bodies from which cattle, horses, deer and pigs must be excluded:</p> <p>i. Any river that continually contains surface <u>water and is more than 1 metre wide and 30 centimetres deep on average...</u>"</p> <p>AND REMOVE Schedule C (3) AND REPLACE with a requirement for a bridge or culvert based on how frequently the stock crossing is used (e.g. greater than once a week).</p>
Matamata-Piako District Council Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3687	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND to provide evidence that the section 32 evaluation confirms Schedule C is the preferred approach to adopt and work with landowners, sector groups and communities to provide alternative practicable measures to achieve the same environmental outcomes.</p> <p>AND DELETE Schedule C(2).</p>
Matham Trust Submitter ID: 72837	PC1-5620	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND Schedule C to adopt the same definition of waterway that is used in the dairy accord and two wire fencing instead of five wire fencing.</p> <p>AND AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission.</p> <p>[AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated</p> <p>AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates</p> <p>AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure</p> <p>AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]</p>
Mathis, Mary-Ann Submitter ID: 72833	PC1-5697	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND Schedule C to adopt the same definition of waterway that is used in the dairy accord and two wire fencing instead of five wire fencing.</p> <p>AND AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			[AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Maungatautari Marae Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11298	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C AND REPLACE with cross references to the proposed national stock exclusion regulations AND MAKE consequential amendments.
McCormick, Peter and Kirsty Submitter ID: 72759	PC1-7122	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to exclude low intensity farms (<12su/ha) from the need to fence all waterways for stock exclusion.
McDonald, Iain and Jackie Submitter ID: 74032	PC1-4710	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to allow stock to cross water bodies as long as it is done in one continuous movement and not done more than once or twice a week.
McDonald, Kevin and Jane Submitter ID: 72145	PC1-8656	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for Schedule C.
McFadden, Gifford Patrick and Robin Submitter ID: 73122	PC1-10993	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by the Federated Farmers submission. [ENSURE that the purpose for which information in Schedule C is being sought is clearly stated in Schedule C. AND ENSURE that is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule C relates. AND AMEND Schedule C to REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND ADOPT the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
McGahan, Michael Submitter ID: 74160	PC1-7353	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as per Federated Farmers submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8327	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to provide consistency between Schedule C and the rules, with a 1m stock exclusion, AND AMEND to provide a clear definition of 'waterbodies', and exclude constructed wetlands and drains, AND DELETE Schedule C Exclusion II.
McGrath, Judith Muriel Submitter ID: 72010	PC1-8244	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to ensure sheep are not excluded from waterways and steep land. AND AMEND the fencing requirements and the requirements for steep land.
McGregor, Colin Grant Submitter ID: 73534	PC1-6655	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE the requirements in Schedule C relating to excluding cattle from waterbodies through permanent fencing AND AMEND Schedule C so, where there is a scientifically proven water quality issue related to stock access to waterbodies, the requirement to exclude cattle through permanent fencing be tailored on a farm by farm, district by district and sub-catchment basis, so there is flexibility to provide for alternative management arrangements for certain land uses and terrains to achieve the same outcome AND AMEND PPC1 so that Farm Environment Plans focus on addressing actual risk, targeting critical source areas rather than applying blanket stock exclusion through permanent fencing AND AMEND PPC1 to include the ability to muster cattle through a water body without requiring a formed stock crossing when crossing less than three times per week.
McKie, David Robert and Carmel Ann Submitter ID: 73377	PC1-2061	Oppose the provision with amendments	ADOPT the Clean Water Accord 2017. Schedule C
McKnight, Euan and Sarah Submitter ID: 72698	PC1-10981	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by the Federated Farmers submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
McLaughlin, Kate Submitter ID: 72498	PC1-5993	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C the stock exclusion provisions so they apply on a farm by farm basis based on sub-catchment specific scientific information, tailored to those sub-catchments which have been identified as having a water quality issue. AND REMOVE the 25 degree slope provisions from AND REPLACE it with farming operations having over 18 stock units per hectare. AND AMEND to use Farm Environment Plans to focus on excluding cattle from waterway areas which have a scientific proof of water quality degradation. AND AMEND Schedule C to allow cattle to be mustered through waterways without an approved stock crossing when crossing less than three times per week.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
McLaughlin, Robyn and Peter Submitter ID: 72984	PC1-10943	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to recognise fencing of waterways is sometimes impractical and not cost effective in achieving a reduction in contaminant levels AND assess cost effectiveness of the range of mitigation actions AND AMEND to encourage mitigation actions such as well located stock crossing points and reticulated water systems where fencing of waterways is impractical and not cost effective.
McLean, Parekawhia Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11916	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to read: "Water bodies from which cattle... i. Any river that <u>is continually contains surface water flowing (i.e. that is not identified as an intermittently flowing river).</u> ii. Any drain <u>(including farm drain canal)</u> that continually contains surface water. iii. Any wetland, including a constructed wetland <u>that has a direct connection with continuously flowing surface water."</u>
MD & CA Camp Submitter ID: 73799	PC1-5447	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the 25 degree slope provision from Rule 3.11.5.4 AND AMEND PPC1 so that Farm Environment Plans focus on addressing actual risk and targeting critical source areas AND CLARIFY the definition of waterbodies in Schedule C (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv)
Meads, Glynn Colin and Joanne Leigh Submitter ID: 73392	PC1-6342	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C so all farming types are assessed separately for fencing of waterways. AND AMEND Schedule C to put more restrictions on nitrogen fertiliser usage.
Merchant, Graeme Submitter ID: 74169	PC1-8797	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to remove the requirement to fence off all permanently flowing water bodies of less than 25 degrees slope, and require fencing of major waterways only.
Millar, Sally Submitter ID: 73067	PC1-8648	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to allow properties under 20ha to also have the option of undertaking a Farm Environment Plan under a controlled activity rule to meet their obligations under Schedule C.
Miller, Alexander Dane Submitter ID: 71212	PC1-330	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to identify areas where re-forestation will take place. AND AMEND so that fencing is undertaken at a sub-catchment community level, with the major waterways being fenced first and the minor waterways fenced over a longer timeframe.
Mills, John Submitter ID: 74175	PC1-4792	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Schedule C the requirement to fence streams on low stocking rate properties where the contour is greater than 15 degrees AND REPLACE with providing water and shade away for the streams.
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8897	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C(4) to ensure a single date for all exclusion irrespective of sub-catchment.
Moerangi Trust Submitter ID: 73111	PC1-4294	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to provide for a change to the definition of a waterway to that of the National Water Accord. AND AMEND to provide for a change to the slope requirements to 15 degrees as per the National Water Accord. AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans present mitigations against contaminants, relevant to each farm, rather than a blanket approach, AND CONSIDER subsidising fencing waterways and contaminant mitigation. AND AMEND to provide for science to determine the necessity of fencing of water bodies, based on their current level of contamination, stocking rate and the proven impact of fencing of water bodies.
Monk, Graeme Submitter ID: 73120	PC1-10951	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by the Federated Farmers submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Mooney, Brian Submitter ID: 73029	PC1-5442	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Morison, Steve and Toni Submitter ID: 71439	PC1-1435	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C so that on hill country, stock will only be excluded where a Farm Environment Plan identifies critical source areas and high management risk.
Moss, George Wilder Submitter ID: 74078	PC1-11076	Support the provision with amendments	ENSURE Schedule C is not diluted in its effect through the Schedule One process AND AMEND Schedule C to provide clarity on what alternative mitigations to fencing will be acceptable AND RETAIN the definition for waterways and waterbodies AND AMEND to provide for the ability to request an extension on the timeline component, but any extension be attached to any resource consent AND AMEND to provide for consideration to be given for more practical proxy for setbacks than degrees slope AND RETAIN the requirement not to have to move any existing fences until they need to be replaced.
Murchie, Trevor Samuel Submitter ID: 72750	PC1-9985	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to adopt the national standards for the fencing of waterways AND AMEND to ensure individual waterways are assessed in the Farm Environment Plan.
Murphy, William S Submitter ID: 72105	PC1-6494	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE the requirements in Schedule C relating to excluding cattle from waterbodies through permanent fencing AND AMEND Schedule C so, where there is a scientifically proven water quality issue related to stock access to waterbodies, the requirement to exclude cattle through permanent fencing be tailored on a farm by farm, district by district and sub-catchment basis, so there is flexibility to provide for alternative management arrangements for certain land uses and terrains to achieve the same outcome AND AMEND PPC1 so that Farm Environment Plans focus on addressing actual risk, targeting critical source areas rather than applying blanket stock exclusion through permanent fencing AND AMEND PPC1 to include the ability to muster cattle through a water body without requiring a formed stock crossing when crossing less than three times per week.
Narsha Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73461	PC1-8343	Oppose the provision	REMOVE OR AMEND in Schedule C the approach to stock exclusion and fencing setbacks
Neal, Craig Andrew Lamont and Tracey Anne Submitter ID: 73466	PC1-9009	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C in its entirety and any provisions that relate to excluding cattle from water bodies through permanent fencing.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND Schedule C requirement to exclude cattle through permanent fencing be tailored on a farm by farm basis, district by district, and sub-catchment basis where there is a scientifically proven water quality issue in relation to stock access to water bodies.</p> <p>AND AMEND so the rules are tailored to specifically address the issue of concern, with logical flexibility to provide for alternative management approaches.</p> <p>AND AMEND to enable stock to enter waterbodies while being actively managed across the waterbody without requiring formed stock crossing structure, when crossing less than four times weekly.</p> <p>AND any consequential amendments arising from these submission points.</p>
Neal, Phillip John and Kristin Marie Submitter ID: 73802	PC1-2943	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule C by removing the fencing of slopes above 15 degrees</p> <p>AND AMEND by providing financial assistance to help with other required fencing/water reticulation</p> <p>AND AMEND by outlining other possible mitigation methods in Farm Environment Plans.</p>
Nelson, Justin Martin Submitter ID: 73427	PC1-2308	Oppose the provision	<p>Amend Schedule C as per requested by Federated Farmers in their submission.</p> <p>[AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated</p> <p>AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates</p> <p>AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure</p> <p>AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]</p>
Nelson, Richard Submitter ID: 74172	PC1-5041	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C OR Council to pay fencing and water reticulation costs.
Nelson Farms Partnership Submitter ID: 73054	PC1-8732	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule C stock exclusion requirements to be consistent with the Clean Water Report (February 2017)</p> <p>AND AMEND to exclude stock from permanently flowing waterbodies on flat and rolling land, but not on hill country (slope >15 degrees)</p> <p>AND AMEND riparian setback distances to only apply to flat and rolling land, but not hill country (slope >15 degrees)</p> <p>AND AMEND to require exclusion of cattle and deer on slopes between 3 and 15 degrees only where there are permanently flowing waterbodies 1m wide or greater</p> <p>AND AMEND to extend the timeframes and give certainty for land with high erosion risk to ensure investment in fencing is not wasted if future plan changes require retirement to forestry</p> <p>AND AMEND to allow Farm Environment Plans to provide mitigations against contaminants relevant to each farm, rather than a blanket approach</p> <p>AND DELETE any provisions that hold land use to historic discharge levels or stocking rates.</p>
Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11615	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule C to read: "Water bodies from which cattle...</p> <p>i. Any river that is continually contains surface water flowing (i.e. that is not identified as an intermittently flowing river).</p> <p>ii. Any drain (including farm drain canal) that continually contains surface water.</p> <p>iii. Any wetland, including a constructed wetland <u>that has a direct connection with continuously flowing surface water.</u>"</p>
Ngati Haua Iwi Trust	PC1-11866	Oppose the provision	<p>DELETE Schedule C</p> <p>AND REPLACE with cross references to the proposed national stock exclusion regulations</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73515			AND MAKE consequential amendments.
Ngati Haua Tribal Trust Submitter ID: 73025	PC1-1978	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to address the implications of stock exclusion on steeper and more extensive hill country. AND AMEND by directly linking fencing of stream requirement to land use intensity including an assessment of the potential risk factors and fenced in order of priority. AND AMEND to consider alternative solutions on steep land such as water reticulation installation. AND AMEND to match land use capability rather than directly to slope.
Nichol, Peter Submitter ID: 71207	PC1-535	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C in its entirety.
Nicholas, Michael George, Raewyn Joan and Jonathon George Submitter ID: 73891	PC1-3391	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C
Noakes, Anna Submitter ID: 73693	PC1-9562	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C Stock Exclusion to provide greater clarity about where to measure setbacks in relation to cultivation or wet areas, AND AMEND to provide greater guidance about appropriate 'edge of field' mitigations, AND AMEND to allow summer grazing of setback areas where this is needed to reduce fire hazard risk.
O.M and P.R Houchen Ltd Submitter ID: 71655	PC1-7833	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so it includes provisions to discourage water from draining into the hills which will slow the water down in the swamps and filter out the nutrients and in combination with a troughing system should control the problem. AND AMEND PPC1 so planting of deciduous trees is encouraged as grass can grow down to the tree roots with troughs being places close to the shade on firm dry land. The surrounds of the troughs should be joined together with gateways and crossings to comply with health and safety and sediment run off. AND AMEND the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions of PPC1 so they are flexible for hill country.
O'Leary, Leslie John Submitter ID: 73114	PC1-4668	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 1) Submitter ID: 74131	PC1-7481	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of waterbody in Schedule C to exclude artificial watercourses, including farm drainage canals.
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 2) Submitter ID: 74132	PC1-7523	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of waterbody in Schedule C to exclude artificial watercourses, including farm drainage canals.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 3) Submitter ID: 74134	PC1-7529	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of waterbody in Schedule C to exclude artificial watercourses, including farm drainage canals.
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 4) Submitter ID: 74135	PC1-7535	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of waterbody in Schedule C to exclude artificial watercourses, including farm drainage canals.
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 5) Submitter ID: 74200	PC1-7559	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of waterbody in Schedule C to exclude artificial watercourses, including farm drainage canals.
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 6) Submitter ID: 74201	PC1-7546	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of waterbody in Schedule C to exclude artificial watercourses, including farm drainage canals.
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 7) Submitter ID: 74202	PC1-7553	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of waterbody in Schedule C to exclude artificial watercourses, including farm drainage canals.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8803	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to refer to livestock (not including sheep) AND AMEND to reflect the alternative approach proposed in the submission including: REMOVE from Schedule C reference to Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND Schedule C clauses 4(a) and 5 so that the date of 2020 applies to all properties and enterprises AND AMEND the provisions to ensure that stock exclusion represents the Best Practicable Options for specific land uses and associated activities AND DELETE Schedule C clause 4 (b). AND DELETE Clause 2 and replace with: "Where the property or enterprise contains any of the water bodies listed in Schedule C i. There shall be no cultivation within 5 metres of the bed of the water body; and ii. New fences installed after 22 October 2016 must be located to ensure livestock (not including sheep) cannot be within three metres of the bed of the water body (excluding constructed wetlands and drains); OR apply a stock exclusion buffer the is appropriate to the size and location of the water body eg 10m for rivers, lakes and wetlands and 20m for regionally significant water bodies plus 0.62 times the LUC average.
Oliver, Duncan Submitter ID: 71870	PC1-10895	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C by not requiring the fencing of small impractical waterways AND AMEND to ensure the creation of more sediment traps.
Oliver, John Rutherford Submitter ID: 74037	PC1-9905	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to exclude land over 15 degrees, except where a critical area is identified in the Farm Environment Plan.
Oliver, Mark Rutherford Submitter ID: 73700	PC1-8653	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C so that stock exclusion requirements relate to stocking rates, AND to ensure stocking rates are monitored, especially when farms have a 50 percent or more ratio of cattle (or deer) to sheep.
Oliver, Murray and Karen Submitter ID: 73440	PC1-6054	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C so tributaries are not stocked heavily. AND AMEND to keep cattle off hills and away from tributaries during wet weather. AND AMEND so trees are planted around tributaries and wet areas. AND AMEND Schedule C so it only applies to main waterways and small tributaries, drains that don't flow directly into a river, springs in gullies and swampland are exempt from fencing requirements.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Oliver, Richard Douglas Submitter ID: 71343	PC1-913	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C so that stock is excluded from waterways greater than 1 metre in width and 300 mLs deep.
Oliver, William and Karen Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-9544	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to align stock exclusion requirements with the proposed national regulations.
Olsen, David Edward Submitter ID: 74003	PC1-6117	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C.
Orlando-Reep, Tim Submitter ID: 72967	PC1-4436	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government’s Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Orton, Stuart Alexander Submitter ID: 72923	PC1-4886	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to ensure the timelines are reasonable and the rules are clear as to what a water body is and what it is not. AND AMEND Schedule C to ensure cattle, horses, deer and pigs are excluded from water bodies wider than 1 metre and with a continual flow.
Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9488	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to adopt the national stock exclusion rules AND AMEND to ensure that rules for steeper land and/or where fencing is impractical are included in Schedule C along with Best Practicable Options and mitigation measures AND AMEND to include scientific analysis and data specific to each sub-catchment.
Paihere Farms Group Submitter ID: 73401	PC1-6245	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C so that stock water systems only have to be put in place as the farm budget depicts and where appropriate. AND AMEND Schedule C so that any fencing to exclude stock from waterways is done on a case by case basis. AND AMEND to undertake scientific testing of sub-catchments to explore the variety of options to address any issues. AND AMEND to subsidise businesses for fencing labour, fencing materials and ongoing weed control. AND AMEND to ensure appropriate paddocks are selected for intensive grazing. AND MAKE any consequential amendments from these submission points.
Pamu Farms of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74000	PC1-5821	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C so that it applies to ephemeral streams and wet seepages from 2026. AND AMEND so that in 2024 council and industry undertake a section 32 analysis of Schedule C and publish this for Catchment Community comment by 2025. AND AMEND to specify design storm water flow capture and treatment criteria for crossings and adjacent track surface area. Suggest detention and treatment is required for 10 percent AEP 48 hour event, or as determined by a decision support tool in consultation with affected parties.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to determine the minimum setback for riparian fencing with regards to published literature, slope, Land Use Class, particular soils and optimised for the contaminants of concern. A table of requirements should be appended, over that of a single stated distance. TP350 standards could be stated.
Parker, Michael David Submitter ID: 73181	PC1-9277	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C as requested in the Federated Farmers submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Parrott, Steven, Sandra, Alexander & Ulrika Submitter ID: 73750	PC1-5314	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to provide for stock exclusion to reflect recommendations/definition in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater, such as about fencing on slopes up to 15 degrees and definitions of a water body, AND AMEND to provide for stock exclusion timelines to be extended, AND AMEND to provide for any consequential amendments to stock exclusion provisions arising from the submission process.
Passau, Mark and Amy Submitter ID: 73952	PC1-2766	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to ADOPT the Governments Clean Water report (2017).
Peacocke, Anthony James Submitter ID: 71352	PC1-6984	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to ensure that waterways that are difficult and expensive to fence off are not required to be fenced.
Peacocke, Robin Anthony Submitter ID: 71336	PC1-2855	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to ensure that minor streams in steep county are not required to be fenced off.
Peers-Adams, Samuel, Laura and Bronwyn Submitter ID: 71290	PC1-1032	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C fencing of waterway requirements to reflect the National Standard for stock exclusion.
Peters, Michael Joseph Submitter ID: 74197	PC1-6533	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Objective 3. AND REPLACE with a staged approach based on sub-catchments. AND AMEND Schedule C accordingly.
Petre, John Lowrey Submitter ID: 73707	PC1-9363	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Phillips, Neal Submitter ID: 71231	PC1-586	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to specify the waterways to be fenced for stock exclusion, e.g 1 metre wide and 300mm deep OR farms to specify sheep only areas of grazing.
Phillips, Teresa Mary Submitter ID: 72421	PC1-7361	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C so that cattle, horses, deer and pigs are excluded from water bodies with a continual flow of surface water that are wider than 1 metre on average, AND AMEND to provide greater focus on installing water reticulation, rather than stock exclusion, AND AMEND to ensure that realistic timeframes are provided for stock exclusion in the Farm Environment Plan,

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to ensure that Waikato Regional Council or central Government provides funding. AND ENSURE that the OVERSEER Model is tested for accuracy, and restrict its use to the purpose it was designed for, AND ADD provisions to manage koi carp and their effects.
Pickens and Tanneau, Craig and Julie Submitter ID: 74138	PC1-6607	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C AND REPLACE with requirements for sediment traps in streams before reaching the river. AND ADD managing of waterways to the Farm Environment Plan.
Pinnell, Graham Submitter ID: 74007	PC1-4378	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to recognise the value of experiential learning and adaptive management AND ENSURE focus is on monitoring, including trend monitoring of E.coli, phosphorus, ammonia and clarity during summer low flow AND AMEND to place an obligation to undertake staged riparian fencing prior to Farm Environment Plan deadlines AND AMEND to allow a reasonable time between submitting a Farm Environment Plan and completion of stock exclusion works AND DELETE the minimum setback requirements AND DELETE from (2), the 25 degree slope criteria and REPLACE so that fencing is required where practicable and elsewhere require the adoption of 'best practicable option' AND AMEND to require stock exclusion for continually flowing water.
Pitts-Brown, Brian Submitter ID: 71216	PC1-1382	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to provide an intense inspection of all properties to be done before regulations are imposed and provide advice on what is the most suitable method for each individual farm, including fencing or tree planting.
Pizimolas, Luke Submitter ID: 71393	PC1-995	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C so that only main water systems are fenced but not drains. AND AMEND to have a more practical approach with mitigation techniques.
Plainview Farms (2007) Limited Submitter ID: 74079	PC1-8216	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to include funding for fencing, with contributions to come from all taxpayers.
Poohara Marae Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-12042	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to read: "Water bodies from which cattle... i. Any river that <u>is continually contains surface water flowing (i.e. that is not identified as an intermittently flowing river).</u> ii. Any drain <u>(including farm drain canal)</u> that continually contains surface water. iii. Any wetland, including a constructed wetland <u>that has a direct connection with continuously flowing surface water.</u> "
Port, Kelvin Robert Submitter ID: 73080	PC1-9631	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to reflect the national stock exclusion fencing requirements.
Potini Whaanau Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11718	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to read: "Water bodies from which cattle... i. Any river that <u>is continually contains surface water flowing (i.e. that is not identified as an intermittently flowing river).</u> ii. Any drain <u>(including farm drain canal)</u> that continually contains surface water. iii. Any wetland, including a constructed wetland <u>that has a direct connection with continuously flowing surface water.</u> "
Potter, Antony Simon Submitter ID: 73940	PC1-4911	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to test waterways to identify where contaminants are and focus on cleaning up those areas AND REMOVE the requirement to fence off waterways AND AMEND to increase the use of troughs for preferential stock drinking OR AMEND so fencing off is only required for waterways that exceed 1 metre wide and 30 cm deep AND AMEND so fencing off waterways is only required on farms with high stock rates (>18 stock units per ha) AND AMEND so fencing off of waterways is only required for areas with a slope of less than 15 degrees.
Potter, Arthur Graham Submitter ID: 71236	PC1-463	Oppose the provision	ADD to Schedule C: Plant willow species, such as <i>Salix discolor</i> , in areas where fencing is impractical. The plants will contain sediment and filter water. Increase the number of poplar plantings on steeper country.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Pottinger, Laurence Martin Charles Submitter ID: 73900	PC1-3291	Support the provision	AMEND Schedule C so that if sheep are run on the property in conjunction with cattle the sheep should also be excluded from having access to waterways.
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6325	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Schedule C. AND AMEND to provide for a single date for all stock exclusion irrespective of sub-catchment.
Prendergast, Nick and Cathy Submitter ID: 72960	PC1-1784	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Primary Land Users Group Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11181	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to read: "...2. New fences installed after 22 October 2026 must be located to ensure cattle, horses, deer and pigs cannot be within one metre of the <u>enter the</u> bed of the water body (excluding constructed wetlands) <u>in accordance with Schedule 1.</u> 3. Livestock must not be permitted to enter onto or pass across the bed of the water body, except when using a livestock crossing structure <u>or where stock is moved in one continuous movement and this occurs less frequently than once per week</u> ... 5. For land use authorised under Rules 3.11.5.3, 3.11.5.4 or 3.11.5.5, clauses 1 and 2 must be complied with:... ...Water bodies from which cattle, horses, deer and pigs must be excluded: i. Any river that continually contains surface water and <u>exceeds 1m wide at any point and is 30cm deep on average.</u> ii. Any drain that continually contains surface water <u>exceeds 1m wide at any point and is 30cm deep on average.</u> ... Exclusions: The following situations are excluded from clauses 1 and 2: I. <u>Areas with slopes exceeding 15 degrees and where no break feeding occurs.</u> II. Where the entry onto or passing across the bed of the water body is by horses that being ridden or led. III. Where the entry onto or passing across the bed of the water body is by a feral animal. IV. <u>Areas less than 15 degrees demonstrated to be in high flood zones and where fencing is impractical."</u>
Pukerimu Farms Limited Submitter ID: 73073	PC1-4810	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND and simplify Schedule C and the rules to require fencing of stock in a short time-frame for easy country but develop practical time-frames and potential exemptions for steep extensive hill country.
Pukeroa Farms Submitter ID: 73789	PC1-6923	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C so that rather than rules, the requirement for stock exclusion can be looked at in consultation. AND AMEND to a practical variation of the waterway requirements for hill country.
Purdie, Les and Helen Submitter ID: 71291	PC1-4925	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Schedule C 1. the word 'water bodies' AND AMEND Schedule C i and ii should be changed to water flow rate greater than 2 m3/sec. AND AMEND timeframes longer than 3 years to have exclusion fencing done. AND CONSIDER the health and safety impact of overhead wires needed to supply electricity fences.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Purdie, Robert James Submitter ID: 74133	PC1-10030	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C so not all streams must be fenced, only those in easily accessible areas and with no shade for stock. AND CONSIDER housing stock in winter which would have greater benefits. AND AMEND to include restrictions on stocking rates to suite the land type, terrain and rainfall.
R.P O'Connor and Sons Ltd Submitter ID: 71651	PC1-6965	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the stock exclusion provisions of PPC1 so where the gradient makes fencing awkward, wetland silt traps/silt traps should be available as an option before discharging water downstream. AND AMEND PPC1 so the individual Farm Environment Plan mitigates against contaminants relevant to each farm rather than using a blanket approach.
Ransley, Adrienne Anne Submitter ID: 73763	PC1-6078	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to require farmers to use 5% of farm turnover for fencing until stock exclusion is completed.
Ransley, Kelvin John Submitter ID: 73761	PC1-6067	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to require farmers to use 5% of farm turnover for fencing until stock exclusion is completed.
Rata Hill Investments Ltd Submitter ID: 73974	PC1-9613	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide compensation on an annual basis for lost production due to forced land use change, decreased land values (determined by independent valuation and arbitration), and costs imposed by PPC1 including for tracking, water supply, fencing and maintenance, and pest and weed control. AND AMEND to revisit the compensation if a better land use for the said land is found in future.
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10589	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to read: "Water bodies from which cattle... i. Any river that is continually contains surface water flowing (i.e. that is not identified as an intermittently flowing river). ii. Any drain (including farm drain canal) that continually contains surface water. iii. Any wetland, including a constructed wetland that has a direct connection with continuously flowing surface water."
Ravenscroft, Michael and Clare Submitter ID: 71223	PC1-1609	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C the stock exclusion provisions so they target actual risks and focus on managing those risks rather than having a one size fits all approach using permanent fencing.
Reese, Kate and Aaron Submitter ID: 72961	PC1-7760	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C(2) to explain why constructed wetlands are excluded and specify what distance does apply. AND AMEND Schedule C(3) to clarify 'livestock'. AND AMEND the list of water bodies in Schedule C to further define wetlands, state how constructed dams/ponds/sediment traps are affected, and define 'wetlands' in the Glossary of Terms. AND AMEND so that cattle, horses deer and pigs are excluded from water bodies with a continual flow of surface water wider than 1 metre on average. AND AMEND to provide greater focus on installing water reticulation than stock exclusion. AND AMEND the timeframe for stock exclusion so that it is realistic and covered in the Farm Environment Plan. AND AMEND to provide some funding by Waikato Regional Council or central Government to show willingness to work with landowners.
Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-10044	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ensure Schedule C is a farm environment planning guideline. AND DELETE Schedule C (4) and Schedule C (5).
Reeves, John Submitter ID: 71201	PC1-883	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C so that a tailored approach to stock exclusion is adopted. AMEND Farm Environment Plans to incorporate Land Use Capability Plans and cost-benefit analysis in relation to stock exclusion. AMEND to ensure alignment with the Nation Water Policy [Accord].
Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8650	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C (3) to read: "Livestock, <u>with the exception of sheep and goats</u> must not be permitted to enter onto or pass across the bed of a water body, except when using a livestock crossing structure, <u>or as provided for by exclusion III.</u> "

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND Schedule C (5) (iii). to read: " <u>Any wetland, including a constructed wetland. For the purposes of this section, a natural spring that forms a wet, swampy area as it runs down a hill, and that dries out in summer is not considered a wetland.</u> " AND ADD a NEW point to read: " <u>Exclusion III: where another mitigation option has been specified in the Farm Environment Plan that is designed to mitigate against the impact of stock in water bodies.</u> "
Reymer, A Submitter ID: 71211	PC1-377	Support the provision	RETAIN PPC1 Schedule C
Reymer, Garry Submitter ID: 74183	PC1-5585	Not stated	RETAIN rule about not having to shift existing fence lines. Schedule C
Richardson, David Submitter ID: 73395	PC1-5842	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C provisions for stock exclusion only on land where fencing is practical.
Richardson, Natasha Submitter ID: 73807	PC1-2733	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to provide a clearer definition of what needs to be fenced and ensure this is in accordance with the Clean Water Package 2017 AND CONSIDER other means of managing stock access AND AMEND to provide exemptions for low stocking rate farms AND AMEND to allow a longer time-frame for fencing AND provide financial assistance for fencing.
Ritchie, Hamish Submitter ID: 73935	PC1-2660	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to say that cattle, horses, deer and pigs should be excluded from water bodies with a continual flow of surface water wider than 1.5 metres average, rather than all of the defined water bodies AND AMEND Schedule C to say that all landowners in the Waikato catchment should be required to comply with PPC1 schedule C, no exceptions AND AMEND Schedule C so the time-frame for change is realistic and reflects individual properties and their current extent of fencing, and is covered in the property's Farm Environment Plan AND AMEND to delay setting time-frames for having all water bodies fenced off until PPC1 has been finalised.
Riverheads Ltd Submitter ID: 72599	PC1-3147	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C OR AMEND Schedule C with the recommendation from the National Accord that streams 1.5m wide are fenced off.
Roberts, Peter Submitter ID: 72497	PC1-8089	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to provide for water testing on a catchment basis, and if water meets national standards then stock exclusion restrictions do not apply. If water does not meet national standards, farmers can choose how to achieve compliance.
Robinson Williams Farm Trust Submitter ID: 72907	PC1-10467	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in its submission [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to remove the requirement to fence swamps. AND UNDERTAKE testing of waterways at entry and exit points of sub-catchments and at points along the way and plans set around where the money is spent to improve water quality. AND IDENTIFY which farms are contributing to the problems (if any) and work on those first.
Robson, Angus Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-4024	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C(2) to provide for an increased setback when stock intensity exceeds best management practice. AND AMEND so that for 10-15 degree slopes, the setback is increased to at least 3 metres.
Rogers, Philip William Submitter ID: 73889	PC1-5235	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to ensure that where the land containing the waterway is less than 2ha the fence can be 1 metre from the edge of the waterway, river, drain, wetland or lake.
Rollett Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 72849	PC1-5640	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to adopt the same definition of waterway that is used in the Dairy Accord AND AMEND to require two wire fencing instead of five wire fencing AND AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Rombouts, Cornelis PM and Johanna M Submitter ID: 71199	PC1-11418	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Ronaldson, David Submitter ID: 73585	PC1-7497	Oppose the provision	Ensure more research at a sub-catchment level is undertaken to justify fencing in hill country. Schedule C.
Rotorua Lakes Council Submitter ID: 73373	PC1-2534	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C, the Stock Exclusion provision to: Provide flexibility and exceptions where the cost significantly outweighs the benefits. CLARIFY that the stock exclusion provision in an approved Farm Environment Plan will override Schedule C. Rationalise the setback for fences so they are the same for Schedule C and all rules. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Rotor Work Limited Submitter ID: 73415	PC1-6025	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to enable assessment of stock exclusion requirements on a farm by farm basis dependent of the factors identified in the submission.
Rowe, Susan Helen Submitter ID: 72588	PC1-6747	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C so the stock exclusion rules are tailored on a farm by farm basis and are based on the effect that hill country farmers with low stocking rates have on waterways.
Russell, Jill Adrienne Submitter ID: 71348	PC1-7323	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to ensure that sheep are included in stock exclusion provisions.
Russell, Roger Michael Submitter ID: 73702	PC1-9716	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to provide more flexibility to deal with sub-catchment issues.
Sanford, John Submitter ID: 60715	PC1-3515	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C by providing a greater definition of water.
Save Lake Karapiro Inc Submitter ID: 72459	PC1-5746	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C so where stock intensity exceeds a critical value the BMP must be to increase the fenced margin. For land 10-15 degrees increase the setbacks to at least 3m.
Saxton, David Christopher Submitter ID: 73946	PC1-3366	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C so exclusion is only required for waterbodies greater than 1m wide and where it is reasonable and practical to do so. Financial support from the Waikato Regional Council or Central Government is required for the construction of stock exclusion fencing. Consideration must also be given for the ongoing maintenance of fencing, the waterbody, any planting and the control of weeds within the exclusion zone.
Schuler Brothers Ltd Submitter ID: 71760	PC1-5896	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so urban areas are targeted to the same degree as farmers. AND AMEND PPC1 to assess previous efforts farmers have made to improve water quality when considering future restrictions. AND AMEND PPC1 to separately acknowledge the unique management systems of goat farming and its low impact on water quality. AND DELETE use of the OVERSEER Model until it can comprehensively and accurately model all farm systems. AND AMEND PPC1 so ensure stock exclusion requirements only relate to cows, horses, pig and deer. AND AMEND PPC1 so that restrictions on land use due to gradient, in-situ grazing of winter forage crops and cultivation are soil type specific.
Scott, Fiona and John Submitter ID: 71350	PC1-869	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C in its entirety.
Scott, Shirley Submitter ID: 73939	PC1-5219	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to provide for troughs instead of expensive fencing.
Shabor Ltd Submitter ID: 71400	PC1-1113	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to change the stock exclusion rules to the National Water Accord and the National Regulations for Stock Exclusion.
Sharp, Robert Malcolm Submitter ID: 73455	PC1-9100	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to include in the stock exclusion requirements provisions to address adverse events, animal welfare, health and safety, the impracticalities of stock exclusion and fencing and sediment removal.
Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2668	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to CLARIFY stock exclusion requirements. AND AMEND to CLARIFY the stock classes that are considered livestock.
Sherlock, Richard Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-10388	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to adopt the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management as the standard AND AMEND to allow for alternative stock exclusion measures that achieve the same or an acceptable result.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-5651	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to provide for stock exclusion through Farm Environment Plans with reference back to the sub-catchment and what has been identified as the problems for that catchment such as fencing or water systems, AND AMEND to remove the fencing requirement of land over 15 degrees. Use schedule C only as a guide when developing Farm Environment Plans.
Sieling Farms Submitter ID: 73514	PC1-5479	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to read "The water bodies must be fenced to exclude <u>domestic</u> cattle, horses, deer and pigs, unless those animals are prevented from entering the bed of the water by a stock proof natural barrier formed by topography or vegetation" AND AMEND Clause 2 to read "New fences installed after 22 October 2016 must be located to ensure cattle, horses, deer and pigs cannot be within one metre of the bed of the water body (excluding constructed wetlands <u>and livestock crossing structures</u>)" AND AMEND Clause 5(i & ii) to provide for a minimum stream width after consultation with landowners.
Simpson, Greg John Submitter ID: 73225	PC1-5531	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to provide for stock exclusion through Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to provide for cattle exclusion from large water bodies, streams and lakes and not small waterways and steep or impractical country AND AMEND to provide for water reticulation to be subsidised.
Simpson, Jennifer Submitter ID: 74145	PC1-9893	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to ENSURE fencing is used when practical and a blanket rule is not imposed on all waterways. AND AMEND Schedule C to ENSURE stock exclusion follows national guidelines.
Sinclair, Andrew and Louise Submitter ID: 74047	PC1-4741	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule C.
Sinclair Family Trust Submitter ID: 72029	PC1-6832	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Schedule C AND AMEND to clarify where to measure one metre back from the water body.
Singleton, Tim Submitter ID: 74161	PC1-4593	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Schedule C the provision of excluding domestic cattle from all water bodies for all hill country sheep and beef farms. AND AMEND schedule C to ensure cattle cannot break feed on land with a main waterway unless it is fenced. AND AMEND Schedule C to allow planning through Farm Environment Plans to identify which paddocks could be wintered on to minimise run off. AND DELETE from Schedule C fencing requirements for farms that are not intensively farmed [<8SU/ha]. AND AMEND Schedule C to allow stock crossings at certain points of the river.
Smith, Allan John Submitter ID: 72020	PC1-3037	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C and REPLACE with the proposed national stock exclusion regulations (Ministry of Environment 2/17) AND AMEND so that cattle can cross a waterbody without requiring a formed crossing structure, when crossings are less than 3 times per week.
Smyth, Mark Stewart Jonas Submitter ID: 71410	PC1-1429	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C definition of a waterway to be greater than 1 metre and deeper than 30cm.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11195	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to clarify the relationship/interpretation of the stock exclusion requirements between Schedule C and Schedule 1 Farm Environment Plans.
South Waikato District Council Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-4169	Oppose the provision	Provide evidence that the section 32 evaluation confirms efficiency and effectiveness of this Schedule C. Work with landowners, sector groups and communities to provide alternative practicable measures to achieve the same environmental outcomes. AND DELETE Schedule C clause 2.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Stark, Steven and Theresa Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5223	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C by using the minimum standards for stock exclusion as set out in Ministry for the Environment's Clean Water document published February 2017, publication number ME 1293.
Steele, Brian and Debbie Submitter ID: 72946	PC1-4483	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to extend the proposed date of implementation to allow time to research the proposed outcomes and implementation of new standards for stock exclusion.
Stewart, Garry Submitter ID: 71215	PC1-1456	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C.
Stewart, Mark Submitter ID: 71411	PC1-955	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
St George, Peter Submitter ID: 74498	PC1-10197	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to require stock exclusion only on those streams that can be fenced without the need for extensive earthworks.
Stokes, Evan Submitter ID: 73365	PC1-2323	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C so that the impacts on water bodies of horses being ridden or led, and feral animals, are also managed.
Stokes, Kelvin Arnold Submitter ID: 73748	PC1-5243	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C so stock are be excluded from all drains, streams and rivers greater than 1 metre wide that flow continually, with a fencing 500mm from the edge of the bank. AND AMEND so that any waterway fencing is subsidised by the Waikato Regional Council. AND AMEND to extend the timelines to give certainty that the rules will not be changed in the near future, so previous fencing will not be a waste of money (no further land use changes to be implemented within a 30 to 40 year period).
Stokes, Olive Fay Submitter ID: 71402	PC1-12306	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to include all animals, including horses and all feral animals.
Stokes Shorthorn Farm Ltd Submitter ID: 73804	PC1-4043	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C where stock is excluded from all drains/streams greater than 1 metre wide that run continually with a fence 500mm from the edge of a bank. AND AMEND by extending the timelines and give certainty to those of us with land classed as at risk of erosion, so that we are not wasting our money and resources in fencing it due the possibility it may be changed. AND AMEND by providing a fencing subsidy and compensation where exclusion impacts on the farms income.
Stokman, Mark and Sharon Submitter ID: 73976	PC1-6697	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE the requirements in Schedule C relating to excluding cattle from waterbodies through permanent fencing AND AMEND Schedule C so, where there is a scientifically proven water quality issue related to stock access to waterbodies, the requirement to exclude cattle through permanent fencing be tailored on a farm by farm, district by district and sub-catchment basis, so there is flexibility to provide for alternative management arrangements to achieve the same outcome in relation to certain land uses and terrains

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND PPC1 so that Farm Environment Plans focus on addressing actual risk, targeting critical source areas rather than applying blanket stock exclusion through permanent fencing AND AMEND PPC1 to include the ability to muster cattle through a water body without requiring a formed stock crossing when crossing less than three times per week.
Strang and Strang Limited Submitter ID: 73851	PC1-5576	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND and simplify the rules to require fencing of stock in a short timeframe for easy country but develop practical time frames and in some instances potentially exemptions for steep extensive hill country.
Strawbridge, David N Submitter ID: 73951	PC1-4675	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought Schedule C.
Stubbs and Brown, Ben and Rebecca Submitter ID: 73924	PC1-5020	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to provide more clarity.
Sullivan, Daniel John Submitter ID: 72952	PC1-1633	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Sutton, John Vincent Submitter ID: 71403	PC1-1146	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C stock exclusion to provide for longer timeframes up to 2026. AND AMEND to exclude swamp areas from the requirement for fencing.
Tadema, John Submitter ID: 71416	PC1-10063	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Tapp, Kevin Submitter ID: 73435	PC1-7462	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C in its entirety. If not declined, then AMEND to provide for the ability to muster cattle through water bodies without having to develop a permitted stock crossing structure, which can be included in Farm Environment Plans with the number/head of cattle crossing at a time, amount of times a week crossing allowed etc, AND AMEND to focus on reducing impacts from intensive agriculture >18su/ha,

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to provide for Farm Environment Plans to be produced by the landowner with Waikato Regional Council guidance and support, AND AMEND to provide for fencing to be subsidized by the Waikato Regional Council, AND AMEND to provide clearer guidelines, AND AMEND to provide for longer timeframes, AND AMEND to provide for flexibility in terrain that is difficult to fence.
Tapp, Warren Submitter ID: 73013	PC1-1848	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C in its entirety.
Tarr, Teresa Brigid Submitter ID: 73962	PC1-4499	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C so that the provision requires alternative water sources and crossing structures, rather than requiring fencing within the time-frame currently required to fence waterways. AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans should specify fencing requirements.
Taylor, Kenneth Rowland John Submitter ID: 71435	PC1-1472	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to determine stock exclusion requirements on a farm by farm basis. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Taylor, Keri Anne Submitter ID: 72565	PC1-9929	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C align with national standards AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure stock exclusion rules are personalised to each farm AND AMEND to ensure that Farm Environment Plans are used to target areas of high concern.
Taylor and Mellow, Mary Jane and Carwyn David Submitter ID: 71441	PC1-1706	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C by providing the requirement to exclude cattle from waterbodies that is determined on a sub-catchment and individual farm basis where there is a scientifically proven water quality issue in relation to stock in water bodies. AMEND Schedule C so that individual farmers are given subsidies to support or fully cover the cost of compliance. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
Te Arawa River Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11816	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to read: "Water bodies from which cattle... i. Any river that is continually contains surface water <u>flowing (i.e. that is not identified as an intermittently flowing river).</u> ii. Any drain <u>(including farm drain canal)</u> that continually contains surface water. iii. Any wetland, including a constructed wetland <u>that has a direct connection with continuously flowing surface water."</u>
Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11948	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to read: "Water bodies from which cattle... i. Any river that is continually contains surface water <u>flowing (i.e. that is not identified as an intermittently flowing river).</u> ii. Any drain <u>(including farm drain canal)</u> that continually contains surface water. iii. Any wetland, including a constructed wetland <u>that has a direct connection with continuously flowing surface water."</u>
Te Kauri Marae Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11666	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to read: "Water bodies from which cattle... i. Any river that is continually contains surface water <u>flowing (i.e. that is not identified as an intermittently flowing river).</u> ii. Any drain <u>(including farm drain canal)</u> that continually contains surface water. iii. Any wetland, including a constructed wetland <u>that has a direct connection with continuously flowing surface water."</u>
Te Mata Group Ltd Submitter ID: 72978	PC1-4407	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C stock exclusion provision to reflect the guidelines in the Clean Water document. AND AMEND to ensure that assessment of compliance is tailored to the farm, and mitigations in Farm Environment Plans are tailored to the farm.
Te Miro Farms Partnership Submitter ID: 72893	PC1-6933	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to change the definition of a waterway to that of the National Water Accord. AND AMEND PPC1 to change the slope requirements to 15 degrees in accordance with the National Water Accord. AND AMEND to extend the stock exclusion timelines and give certainty to those with land classed as 6+ that it will not be converted to forestry in future plan changes.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND PPC1 to allow the individual Farm Environment Plan to identify mitigation approaches against contaminants, relevant to each farm, rather than a blanket approach.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to base the necessity of fencing of waterbodies on their current level of contamination, stocking rate and the proven impact of fencing.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to enable stock to enter waterbodies if they are being actively managed and the waterbody is not crossed by stock more than 3 times per week.</p> <p>AND AMEND to include provisions that any waterway fencing be subsidised by the Waikato Regional Council.</p>
Templeton, Heather and Murray Submitter ID: 71219	PC1-1717	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule C and REPLACE with the provisions in the National Water Accord, ie that slopes up to 15 degrees be fenced and a revised definition of 'water body' be adopted.
Templeton, Jennifer Joy & Ian Robert Submitter ID: 71256	PC1-357	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to be the same as the National Water Accord Standards (i.e. Streams 1 metre wide and 300mls deep) and make any consequential amendments.
Te Paiaka Lands Trust Submitter ID: 72690	PC1-8914	Oppose the provision	<p>CONSIDER the implications of stock exclusion</p> <p>AND AMEND Schedule C to ensure that fencing is linked to land use intensity and fencing is prioritised</p> <p>AND CONSIDER alternative solutions on steep land, such as water reticulation</p> <p>AND CONSIDER matching land use capability rather than directly to slope.</p>
Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12278	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule C to read: "Water bodies from which cattle...</p> <p>i. Any river that is continually contains surface water <u>flowing (i.e. that is not identified as an intermittently flowing river).</u></p> <p>ii. Any drain <u>(including farm drain canal)</u> that continually contains surface water.</p> <p>iii. Any wetland, including a constructed wetland <u>that has a direct connection with continuously flowing surface water."</u></p>
Te Taniwha o Waikato Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12144	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule C to read: "Water bodies from which cattle...</p> <p>i. Any river that is continually contains surface water <u>flowing (i.e. that is not identified as an intermittently flowing river).</u></p> <p>ii. Any drain <u>(including farm drain canal)</u> that continually contains surface water.</p> <p>iii. Any wetland, including a constructed wetland <u>that has a direct connection with continuously flowing surface water."</u></p>
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-8114	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule C to read: "Water bodies from which cattle...</p> <p>i. Any river that is continually contains surface water <u>flowing (i.e. that is not identified as an intermittently flowing river).</u></p> <p>ii. Any drain <u>(including farm drain canal)</u> that continually contains surface water.</p> <p>iii. Any wetland, including a constructed wetland <u>that has a direct connection with continuously flowing surface water."</u></p>
Te Whenua O Matata Ltd Submitter ID: 68016	PC1-4497	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND so that the fencing of waterways does not cause other environmental issues</p> <p>AND AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers</p> <p>[AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates</p> <p>AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure</p> <p>AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8453	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule C.
Thomas, Kerry Louise Submitter ID: 73877	PC1-3449	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Thomson, Peter Submitter ID: 71208	PC1-6099	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Schedule C provisions that relate to excluding cattle from waterbodies through permanent fencing. AND AMEND Schedule C so that the requirement to exclude cattle through permanent fencing be tailored on a farm by farm basis, district by district or sub-catchment basis where there is a scientifically proven water quality issue in relation to stock access. AND AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions to address actual risk targeting critical source areas rather than requiring blanket stock exclusion through permanent fencing. AND AMEND Schedule C so that it includes the ability to muster cattle through a water body without requiring a formed stock crossing structure when crossing less than three times a week.
Thorburn, Matthew Charles and Susan Raewyn Submitter ID: 74043	PC1-6670	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE the requirements in Schedule C relating to excluding cattle from waterbodies through permanent fencing AND AMEND Schedule C so, where there is a scientifically proven water quality issue related to stock access to waterbodies, the requirement to exclude cattle through permanent fencing be tailored on a farm by farm, district by district and sub-catchment basis, so there is flexibility to provide for alternative management arrangements for certain land uses and terrains to achieve the same outcome AND AMEND PPC1 so that Farm Environment Plans focus on addressing actual risk, targeting critical source areas rather than applying blanket stock exclusion through permanent fencing AND AMEND PPC1 to include the ability to muster cattle through a water body without requiring a formed stock crossing when crossing less than three times per week.
Tierney, Colm and Gaynor Submitter ID: 73091	PC1-7715	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C (1) by extending the timeframe for stock exclusion on hill country drystock farms AND AMEND to make allowance within hill country drystock Farm Environment Plans for mitigation of the effects of stock in waterways without requiring stock exclusion.
Timberlands Limited Submitter ID: 73036	PC1-3465	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule C in its current form.
Tiroa E Trust Submitter ID: 72544	PC1-4121	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C so that the implications of stock exclusion on steeper hill country are considered in more depth. AND AMEND Schedule C so that the fencing is required as a result of an assessment of the potential risk factors and fencing is done in order of priority.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND Schedule C so that consideration is given to alternative solutions on steep land, such as water reticulation installation. AND AMEND Schedule C so that consideration is given to matching stock exclusion to land use capability rather than directly to slope.
Tongariro Taupo Conservation Board Submitter ID: 74060	PC1-4869	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule C stock exclusion from water bodies, setbacks and riparian planting.
Torstonsen, Shayne Kingsley Submitter ID: 73508	PC1-8660	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Townshend, Gary Submitter ID: 72877	PC1-9889	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to enable temporary crossing of waterways by animals.
Townshend, Scott Mark Submitter ID: 72617	PC1-7688	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to enable temporary crossing of waterways by animals.
Treweek, Glen Submitter ID: 72747	PC1-5798	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to provide for a long-term staged approach to stock exclusion, using the Farm Environment Plan and Audit process. AND AMEND so that the most sensitive water bodies are targeted first for stock exclusion.
Trustees of Highfield Deer Park Submitter ID: 73932	PC1-4037	Not stated	AMEND Schedule C by providing a definition for 'stream bed'. AND AMEND by allowing an extension of the deadline where financial hardship prevents compliance.
Tuaropaki Trust Submitter ID: 73769	PC1-3047	Not stated	CLARIFY Schedule C to use consistent definitions. AND AMEND to ensure cattle, deer, horses and pigs are to be excluded and require stock crossings, and sheep and beef are to be excluded from this requirement.
Tucker, Geoff and Kara Submitter ID: 73928	PC1-2794	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to align it with the National Policy statement on Freshwater and the waterway definition, slope of land requiring fencing and stocking rate parameters set out in there. AND AMEND to allow individual Farm Environment Plans to offer mitigations appropriate to individual farms and sub-catchments. AND CONSIDER subsidising waterway fencing. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Turangawaewae Marae Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12221	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to read: "Water bodies from which cattle... i. Any river that is continually contains surface water <u>flowing (i.e. that is not identified as an intermittently flowing river).</u> ii. Any drain <u>(including farm drain canal)</u> that continually contains surface water. iii. Any wetland, including a constructed wetland <u>that has a direct connection with continuously flowing surface water."</u>
Turton, Francis James Submitter ID: 73883	PC1-3745	Oppose the provision	CONSIDER a review of Schedule C AND REPLACE with new policy statement for freshwater management 2017.
Tuwaharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10569	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to read: "Water bodies from which cattle... i. Any river that is continually contains surface water <u>flowing (i.e. that is not identified as an intermittently flowing river).</u> ii. Any drain <u>(including farm drain canal)</u> that continually contains surface water. iii. Any wetland, including a constructed wetland <u>that has a direct connection with continuously flowing surface water."</u>
Tylee, Brian William Submitter ID: 73530	PC1-7444	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for Schedule C.
Upper Maire Creek Sub Catchment Submitter ID: 72970	PC1-4376	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to enable the use of Best Practicable Options as an alternative to the proposed stock exclusion standards

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to follow national standards AND AMEND the definition of water body to 1 metre wide and 30cm deep AND AMEND the fencing threshold for streams from 15 degrees to 25 degrees AND subsidise 50 percent of the cost of fencing.
Verry, Adrian Submitter ID: 73810	PC1-2483	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to recognise alternative measures to replace fencing. AND AMEND to use the national stock exclusion standards.
Verry, Brigid Submitter ID: 73095	PC1-7721	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that small property owners under 20 hectares have the opportunity to undertake a Farm Environment Plan under a controlled activity rule, to meet their Schedule C obligations.
Verry, Reon and Wendy Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-3957	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to incorporate the MfE Stock Exclusion Regulations AND AMEND to address stock exclusion or mitigations in Farm Environment Plans where critical source areas >15° have been identified in the Farm Environment Plan AND AMEND clause 3. to read: "Livestock must not be permitted to enter onto or pass across the bed of the water body, except when using a livestock crossing structure <u>or as determined by the Farm Environment Plan.</u> " AND AMEND clause 3. to provide a frequency measure in here. Eg. OK to cross creek once a week AND AMEND clause 3 to name cattle, deer, pigs and horses instead of livestock AND AMEND to ensure a realistic timeframe be determined as per the Farm Environment Plan following MfE proposed regulations and dates for stock exclusion AND AMEND to ensure water bodies requiring fencing should be specified and prioritised in the Farm Environment Plan AND AMEND so that water bodies requiring fencing should be specified and prioritised in the Farm Environment Plan AND AMEND Schedule C to allow access to natural water in exceptional circumstances for stock welfare reasons.
Voyce, Peter and Pam Submitter ID: 73983	PC1-4296	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C in PPC1 so that a targeted approach is undertaken. This would involve testing water to identify those farms that are contributing the highest levels of contamination. This will enable Council to work on a case by case basis.
Waahi Pa Marae Committee Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12184	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to read: "Water bodies from which cattle... i. Any river that is continually contains surface water <u>flowing (i.e. that is not identified as an intermittently flowing river).</u> ii. Any drain <u>(including farm drain canal)</u> that continually contains surface water. iii. Any wetland, including a constructed wetland <u>that has a direct connection with continuously flowing surface water.</u> "
Waahi Whaanui Trust Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-12102	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to read: "Water bodies from which cattle... i. Any river that is continually contains surface water <u>flowing (i.e. that is not identified as an intermittently flowing river).</u> ii. Any drain <u>(including farm drain canal)</u> that continually contains surface water. iii. Any wetland, including a constructed wetland <u>that has a direct connection with continuously flowing surface water.</u> "
Wagstaff, Nigel and Sally Submitter ID: 71188	PC1-173	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C (2) AND AMEND to allow landowners/farmers to decide on best practice in managing water bank areas. AND DELETE Schedule C (3) and amend to allow for some waterways to be exempted from installation of stock crossings.
Waiawa Farms Submitter ID: 71346	PC1-5839	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to require fencing of stock in a short timeframe for easy country, but develop practical timeframes, and potentially exemptions, for steep extensive hill country.
Waikato and Waipa Branches of the New Zealand Deer Farmers Association Submitter ID: 74008	PC1-9582	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Waikato and Waipa River Iwi Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3542	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to read: "Water bodies from which cattle... i. Any river that is continually contains surface water flowing (i.e. <u>that is not identified as an intermittently flowing river</u>). ii. Any drain (<u>including farm drain canal</u>) that continually contains surface water. iii. Any wetland, including a constructed wetland <u>that has a direct connection with continuously flowing surface water.</u> "
Waikato District Council (WDC) Submitter ID: 73418	PC1-3116	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C, with the following, or similarly intended wording. Exclusions: The following situations are excluded from clauses 1 and 2 <u>"(III) where the stocking rate is low (less than 18 SU per ha) and:</u> <u>-the costs of exclusion are high, and;</u> <u>-other mitigation actions are taken, as approved by a Certified Farm Environment Planner"</u>
Waikato Environment Centre Submitter ID: 73436	PC1-6240	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to reduce the timeframes for stock to be excluded from water ways.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3571	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to ensure that it is not inconsistent with the national regulations and to ensure that together they provide for the most efficient and effective approach to stock exclusion. AND ADD at the end of Schedule C an advisory note to read: " <u>A reference to a river includes a reference to a stream.</u> " AND AMEND Schedule C to ensure consistency with Schedule 1 stock exclusion requirements. AND AMEND Schedule C: Exclusions to read: "The following situations are excluded from clauses 1 and 2 <u>clause 3.</u> " AND AMEND Schedule C clause 5 to read: "For land use authorised... Farm Environment Plan, <u>prepared in accordance with Schedule 1, which shall be within 3 years...</u> "
Waipa District Council Submitter ID: 67704	PC1-3234	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule C and replace it with cross references to the proposed national stock exclusion regulations being produced by the Ministry for the Environment and make any necessary consequential amendments to the rules.
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4716	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to read 1m exclusion for stock from rivers. AND AMEND to address points raised in submission.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11388	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule C as notified or amended by similar wording to like effect.
Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2147	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule C.
Waitaka Farming Partnership Submitter ID: 73441	PC1-6413	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C so rivers are categorised according to flow, volume, speed and river bed material and fenced accordingly or not at all. AND AMEND Schedule C so land above 25 degrees (in most cases) is not required to be fenced but water troughs are provided.
Waitomo Catchment Trust Board Submitter ID: 73124	PC1-7949	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to prioritise stock exclusion from the waterways, wetlands and lakes known to Waikato Regional Council, in a staged manner focussing on the larger and/or most polluted waterways first. AND CLARIFY further the definition of waterways. AND AMEND to provide funding and subsidies for all new riparian and erosion prone fencing, maintenance and alternative water systems.
Waitomo District Council Submitter ID: 73688	PC1-10846	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C AND REPLACE it with cross references to the proposed national stock exclusion regulations being produced by the Ministry for the Environment

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND MAKE any necessary consequential amendments to the rules.
Walter, David Millais Submitter ID: 73140	PC1-7955	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C by excluding cattle horses, deer and pigs from water bodies with a continual flow of water over 1 metre wide, but not dams and smaller water bodies.
Walter, Philip Submitter ID: 71194	PC1-6631	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE the 25 degree slope provision AND REPLACE with farming intensity over 18 stock units per hectare AND AMEND the Farm Environmental Plan provisions so they focus on addressing actual risk, targeting critical source areas rather than requiring blanket stock exclusion AND identify the cause of sediment and nitrates in each sub-catchment and target them first.
Walter and Doran, Peter Alan Susan and Casey Submitter ID: 73078	PC1-8715	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C so that only main streams and rivers are fenced AND AMEND so that areas of high stock concentration are fenced such as crossings AND AMEND to use dams to help slow sediment loss AND subsidise farmers with new fencing and/or water systems that may need to be introduced as an alternative.
Ward, Simeon Submitter ID: 72665	PC1-10968	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by the Federated Farmers submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Ward, Theodora C. Submitter ID: 61004	PC1-5990	Not stated	AMEND Schedule C to reflect the impracticality of requiring fencing, providing supplementary water supplies and stocking crossing structures along water bodies on land with a 15 degrees slope or land between 15 and 25 degrees slope and with a stocking rate of 6 stock units. AND AMEND Farm Environment Plans requirements to reflect the fact that levels of applied nutrients are difficult to evaluate on an annual basis due to market forces and return for produce particularly in the drystock industry.
Ward-Allen, William Alec Submitter ID: 74147	PC1-9870	Oppose the provision	No specific relief sought for Schedule C.
Washer and Co Ltd Submitter ID: 73973	PC1-8602	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Schedule C fencing of waterways on flat land AND REMOVE the requirement to fence headwaters in steep hill country AND REMOVE the requirement to do riparian planting of headwaters in steep hill country.
Watkins, Lloyd Murray Submitter ID: 73529	PC1-6125	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C so fencing requirements are practical and cost effective and based on a benefit cost ratio.
Watson, David and Sheona Submitter ID: 73059	PC1-4724	Oppose the provision	AMEND to exclude from Schedule C any areas with slopes exceeding 15 degrees and where no break feeding occurs AND AMEND the process of Farm Environment Plans so Council works with the Farm Plan on each individual farm to achieve the set environmental goals AND AMEND to define a waterway as containing continually moving surface water and exceeding 1 metre wide at any point and 30 cm deep on average.
Welch, Andrew R and Carmel A	PC1-8364	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule C.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73995			
Welch, Graham Ronald Submitter ID: 73994	PC1-8520	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Welsh, Mikayla Submitter ID: 74184	PC1-6134	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE provisions in PPC1 that relate to excluding cattle from waterbodies through permanent fencing. AND AMEND Schedule C by addressing actual risk, targeting critical source areas, tailored farm specific critical source management, targeted riparian planting and stock management approaches. AND AMEND by allowing the ability to muster cattle through waterbodies without requiring formed stock crossing structure when crossing less than three times weekly. AND AMEND Schedule C (5)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) to clarify the definition of waterbody. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
White Pine Dairies Ltd Submitter ID: 73277	PC1-11035	Oppose the provision with amendments	No specific relief sought for Schedule C.
Wilding, Anthony Gordon Submitter ID: 73501	PC1-8788	Oppose the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Wildman, Anna Mary Submitter ID: 72505	PC1-3883	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C the stock exclusion provisions on rolling to steeper country up to a 15 degree slope only waterways over 1 metre wide at any point are required to be fenced in line with the governments clean water report released in February 2017. AND provide for funding to be made available to support farmers in fencing off larger waterways and riparian planting. AND AMEND to provide for managing stock across a waterway without a crossing structure if the number of crossings are limited to X crossings per week. AND AMEND to recognise that the fencing requirements on some properties will be impossible to comply with within the timeframes set and provide flexibility to manage the timescales through Farm Environment Plans and in line with the governments February 2017 water quality standards.
Williams, Ian David Submitter ID: 71432	PC1-764	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule C.
Williams, Michael Aitken Harper Submitter ID: 73948	PC1-3229	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C so that every farm is dealt with on an individual basis.
Williamson, Don and Robyn Submitter ID: 73957	PC1-2834	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference cap AND REPLACE with a sub-catchment plan (Land and Environment Plan). (Schedule C)
Williamson, Jack Submitter ID: 72769	PC1-8929	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to include stock exclusion alternatives where fencing is not practical or feasible.
Williamson, Stephen David Submitter ID: 73040	PC1-8677	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Wills, Alan Bryan Submitter ID: 72954	PC1-1646	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Wilson, Mark Submitter ID: 73923	PC1-5184	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to adopt the Clean Water Accord 2017.
Win Dee Farms (2007) Ltd Submitter ID: 73787	PC1-9059	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule C to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule C AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule C match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule C relates AND REMOVE requirements for fencing off water bodies AND AMEND to adopt the Government's Clean Water Package 2017 stock exclusion standards as an interim measure AND DEVELOP more detailed proposals at a sub-catchment level later, through a freshwater management unit based assessment, and implemented then through a sub-catchment based plan change AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1.]
Wiremu Trust Submitter ID: 73969	PC1-8861	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for Schedule C.
Woods, Paula and Ken Submitter ID: 73806	PC1-2457	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C by REMOVING the requirement to fence waterways over 15% slope. As an alternative, water systems could be used in paddocks where the slope is larger than 15%.
Yeates, Graeme David Submitter ID: 71259	PC1-344	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to ensure the definition of water bodies is changed from anything permanently flowing to more significant water bodies, like a (central) wetland area. AND AMEND the timeframes in Schedule C for total stock exclusion so that these will be extended.
Yeates, Marilyn Submitter ID: 71172	PC1-145	Oppose the provision	Submitter did not provide further comment for Schedule C.
Young, Peter Robert Orr Submitter ID: 73228	PC1-4306	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule C to remove the requirement to fence land that is greater than 15 degrees. AND AMEND to include water reticulation in Schedule C.
Young, Ronald Ivan Submitter ID: 73362	PC1-9829	Oppose the provision	AMEND to consider what is actually trying to be achieved and the need for amendments.

Schedule 1 - Requirements for Farm Environment Plans/Te Āpiti hanga 1: Ngā Herenga i ngā Mahere Taiao ā-Pāmu

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Adams, Neville Submitter ID: 74154	PC1-5067	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Advisory Committee on Regional Environment (ACRE) Submitter ID: 72441	PC1-9595	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 paragraph 2 to add the words " <u>where required</u> " after the word 'reduce'.
Advisory Committee on Regional Environment (ACRE) Submitter ID: 72441	PC1-12333	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 (5)(a) by adding at the beginning of the clause " <u>With the exception of low level discharges</u> " or " <u>a percentile level not greater than any other levels requiring reductions.</u> " AND AMEND Schedule 1(5)(b) to refer to the 50th percentile in place of the 75th percentile in lines 1 and 2.
Aitken, David John Submitter ID: 71238	PC1-709	Oppose the provision with amendments	AND AMEND Schedule 1 so that the Nitrogen Reference Point falls within a range of values to allow for the potential to make profitable decisions based on market demands.
Aitken, David John Submitter ID: 71238	PC1-12334	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that Certified Farm Environment Planners are provided with Code of Conduct training to ensure that information provided by the farmer remains confidential. Alternatively, relevant information can be obtained from NAIT or Statistics NZ.
Alcock, Carl and Jo Submitter ID: 73376	PC1-2235	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 by requiring Farm Environment Plans only in sub-catchments where science indicates improvements are required. AND AMEND by allowing flexibility in Farm Environment Plans so that they can be tailored to the individual property and focus on critical source management rather than blanket regulatory standards. AND AMEND to establish an independent panel to allow contested points between staff and farmers to be settled without the expensive need to appeal to the Environment Court. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments arising from this submission point.
Alcock and Easton, Jo and John Submitter ID: 73374	PC1-9233	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for Farm Environment Plans only in sub-catchments where science indicates improvements are required AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans are written to allow flexibility with nitrogen discharges and application of good management practices AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans are tailored to the individual properties and focus on critical source management rather than applying blanket regulatory standards AND AMEND to convene an independent panel to address points between staff and farmers in Farm Environment Plans without the cost of appeal to the Environment Court.
Allan, Eric Submitter ID: 73438	PC1-12337	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to include a list of Certified Industry Schemes. AND AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that Farm Environment Plans are implemented equitably.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Allan, Eric Submitter ID: 73438	PC1-6108	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE the requirement in Schedule 1 for drystock farming to be held at or below a property's Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND to allow flexibility in nitrogen discharges for low leaching land uses such as drystock farming. AND DELETE use of the OVERSEER Model as a regulatory tool. AND AMEND PPC1 to address issues associated with lease blocks and the difficulty of determining inputs such as fertiliser to those blocks.
Allen, John Submitter ID: 73734	PC1-4888	Support the provision with amendments	ADD the following to Clause 4 of Schedule 1: " <u>...For discharge mitigation actions that are not or cannot be modelled within OVERSEER, include references to published scientific papers that support your mitigation claims.</u> "
Anderson, Jack L and Ann A Submitter ID: 73085	PC1-4261	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to deal with on farm problems AND AMEND to extend timeframes for Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to increase flexibility of Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to lower the cost of Farm Environment Plans.
Anderson, Jack L and Ann A Submitter ID: 73085	PC1-12339	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1 Nitrogen Reference Point.
Aston, Lucy Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-12340	Support the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 AMEND the stock exclusion provisions of PPC1 to the standards recommended in the Clean Water Report (February 2017). AND AMEND the stock exclusion provisions of PPC1 so fencing is required above 15 degree slope for farming operations greater than 18su/ha. AND AMEND PPC1 so the rules are focused on reducing impacts from intensive agriculture greater than 18su/ha rather than applying blanket rules to all extensive agriculture.
Aston, Lucy Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-12341	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so mitigations are set on a farm by farm basis, focused on management of clearly identified and measurable 'critical source management areas'. AND AMEND PPC1 so the rules are focused on reducing impacts from intensive agriculture greater than 18su/ha rather than applying blanket rules to all extensive agriculture.
Aston, Lucy Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-12342	Oppose the provision	AND DELETE point 5(a) from Schedule 1. AND AMEND PPC1 so that there is flexibility in nitrogen leaching from hill country sheep and beef farming, and land uses which are low impact (at or below 20kgN/ha/yr for example or apply natural capital allocation).
Aston, Lucy Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-7125	Support the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - AMEND the Farm Environment Plan requirements so they are only required in sub-catchments where science indicates improvements are required. AND AMEND PPC1 so that for Farm Environment Plans an independent panel is available to ensure accountability and enable contested points to be settled without appeals to the Environment Court. AND AMEND the Farm Environment Plan requirements so they are produced by the landowner with Waikato Regional Council guidance and support. AND AMEND the timeframes to prepare Farm Environment Plans so they are set through consultation with the farmer taking into account the Waikato Regional Council subsidy available; the individual farmer's financial constraints; and the sensitivity of the waterbody to any impact.
Aston, Penelope Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-5389	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to require Farm Environment plans only in sub-catchments where science indicates improvements are required AND ENSURE independent panel is available to ensure accountability and enable contested points between staff and farmers to be settled without expensive appeals to the Environment Court AND AMEND PPC1 so Farm Environment Plans are produced by the landowner with council guidance and support.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Aston, Penelope Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-12343	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 thresholds for mandatory stock exclusion to nationally recommended standards (Clean Water Report 2017) AND AMEND so that fencing is required above the 15 degrees threshold for intensive farming operations (over 18 stock units per hectare).
Aston, Penelope Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-12344	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 mitigations so they are set on a farm by farm basis and focused on management of clearly identified and measurable critical source management areas AND DELETE time frames and instead set time frames through consultation with the farmer, taking into account the amount of council subsidy available and the individual farmer's financial constraints and the sensitivity of the water-body to any impact.
Aston, Penelope Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-12345	Oppose the provision	AND DELETE Schedule 1 5(a) and enable flexibility in nitrogen leaching from hill country farms, and land uses which are low impact (at or below 20kgN/ha/yr for example or apply natural capital allocation)
Aston, Penelope Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-12346	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to require Farm Environment plans only in sub-catchments where science indicates improvements are required AND ENSURE independent panel is available to ensure accountability and enable contested points between staff and farmers to be settled without expensive appeals to the Environment Court AND AMEND PPC1 so Farm Environment Plans are produced by the landowner with council guidance and support.
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6239	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND and/or include further information in PPC1 in order to provide better certainty regarding Waikato Regional Council's expectations as to the content and level of detail which will be required for Farm Environment Plans. AND AMEND to clarify the relationship/interpretation of the stock exclusion requirements between Schedule C and Schedule 1 Farm Environment Plans.
Atkinson, John Submitter ID: 72550	PC1-6526	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 as suggested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Atkinson, Richard Submitter ID: 73077	PC1-5216	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to allow stock exclusion through stocking policies per season on paddocks with average degrees of slope.
Atkinson, Richard Submitter ID: 73077	PC1-12347	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to clarify slope measurement.
Auckland Council Submitter ID: 73518	PC1-9142	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for clarification on Farm Environment Plan structures for properties which overlap a shared local government (regional council) boundary.
Auckland Regional Public Health Service Submitter ID: 71612	PC1-10180	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule 1.
Austin, John Desmond Submitter ID: 74176	PC1-12348	Oppose the provision	REMOVE Schedule 1 (f)(i). AND AMEND Schedule 1 (f) to read: "A description of cultivation management, including: How the adverse effects of cultivation will be mitigated through appropriate erosion and sediment controls for each paddock that will be cultivated including by: Points (a), (b), (c), and (d)."

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND REMOVE Schedule 1 (e) and (f) from the cultivation clause as they do not apply to the risks associated with cultivation.
Austin, John Desmond Submitter ID: 74176	PC1-12349	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 (2)(b)(iii) to: "The provision of cultivation setbacks is designed to mitigate the environmental risk of contaminant losses" AND AMEND Schedule 1 (2)(f)(ii)(d) to: "Maintaining appropriate buffers between cultivated areas and waterbodies."
Austin, John Desmond Submitter ID: 74176	PC1-5943	Oppose the provision	RETAIN the requirement in Schedule 1 that Farm Environment Plans shall be certified as meeting the requirements of Schedule A. AND AMEND Schedule 1 so that farmers are able to develop their own plans, either on their own accord or as participants in Farm Environment Plan workshops. AND AMEND Schedule 1 so that certification of the Farm Environment Plan can be achieved by having the plan reviewed by a Certified Farm Environment Planner. The review will include a farm visit and an assessment of the identified environmental risks for contaminant losses and the mitigation plan for these risks.
Avery, Kim Submitter ID: 67699	PC1-1493	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.] AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Awaroa Lands Ltd Submitter ID: 73627	PC1-11048	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND so that Farm Environment Plans show goals and timeframes.
Babington, Cliff and Leonie Submitter ID: 72821	PC1-9465	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 Farm Environment Plans to be at a catchment level.
Babington, Cliff and Leonie Submitter ID: 72821	PC1-12350	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to enable farm use change while providing for environmental mitigation and financial stability.
Babington, Cliff and Leonie Submitter ID: 72821	PC1-12351	Oppose the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - REMOVE reference to the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND so that all landowners have the same Nitrogen Reference Point, which is the same level that high discharges cannot exceed.
Babington, Kelvin and Katherine Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-12352	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions of Schedule 1.
Babington, Kelvin and Katherine Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-12353	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Farm Environment Plan provisions of Schedule 1 AND, if not deleted, AMEND the timelines for the development of Farm Environment Plans by lengthening them.
Babington, Kelvin and Katherine Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-12354	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to include rules for the elimination of koi carp.
Babington, Kelvin and Katherine Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-12355	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to include any consequential amendments arising from the submission process AND AMEND and RENOTIFY PPC1 when there is a clear indication of what land use is required on farms, including any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Babington, Kelvin and Katherine Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-6712	Oppose the provision	DELETE the long term land use provisions of Schedule 1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Bailey, James Submitter ID: 73926	PC1-9025	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to recognise Land Use Suitability and Natural Capital as the basis of nitrogen management AND DELETE the Schedule 1 requirement to manage property level discharges to a Nitrogen Reference Point based on historic profiles AND AMEND to provide a flexibility cap for low leaching farm systems below a certain threshold (20kg/N/ha/yr) that is deemed as a sustainable level for the transition period, with farmers with a Nitrogen Reference Point below this enabled to increase up to this point.
Bailey, James Submitter ID: 73926	PC1-12356	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to replace the 'staged' approach with an 'Adaptive Management' approach to managing nitrogen and all contaminants AND AMEND to enable transition toward the Vision and Strategy with Land Use Suitability as a starting point and using Adaptive Management as our understanding develops, reviewing and adapting through subsequent plan changes. AND AMEND PPC1 to apply Land Use Suitability and Natural Capital now by including allocation based on the Natural Capital of soils through a Land Use Capability approach.
Bain, Richard Alexander Submitter ID: 73936	PC1-3053	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 by using soil type/contour as the determining factor for setback widths. For example wider setbacks for hill clay soils, and smaller setbacks on flat peat type soils.
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited Submitter ID: 74036	PC1-7105	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1(A)(2)(b)(iii) to read: " <u>The provision of minimum cultivation setbacks of 5 metres, except where the property is managed in accordance with Good Management Practices that accord with the practices described in the document entitled 'Industry-agreed Good Management Practices relating to water quality' - dated September 2015</u> ". AND AMEND (A)(2)(f)(d) to read: " <u>maintaining appropriate buffers between cultivated areas and water bodies (minimum 5m setback), except where the property is managed in accordance with Good Management Practices that accord with the practices described in the document entitled 'Industry-agreed Good Management Practices relating to water quality' - dated September 2015</u> " AND MAKE any similar amendments to like effect or any consequential amendments that stem from the relief sought.
Balle Bros Group Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11435	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "...2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge... (a) A description of where and how stock shall be excluded from water bodies for stock exclusion including: ... (ii) For areas with a slop exceeding 25 <u>15</u> degrees and where stream fencing is impracticable, the provision for alternative mitigation measure. (b) A description of setbacks and riparian management, including: ... (ii) Where practicable the provisions for minimum grazing setbacks from the water bodies for stock exclusion of 1 metre for land with a slope of less than 15 degrees and 3 metres for land between 15 degrees and 25 degrees <u>where break feeding occurs</u> ; and (iii) The provisions of minimum cultivation setbacks of 5 metres <u>unless diffuse discharges can be mitigated</u> . (c) A description of the critical source areas from which sediment, nitrogen, phosphorus and microbial pathogens are lost, including: ... (f) A description of cultivation management, including: (i) The identification of slopes over 15 degrees and how cultivation on them will be avoided; unless contaminant discharges to water bodies from that cultivation can be avoided <u>mitigated</u> ; and ... 3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows: ...

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			(e). The location of continually flowing rivers, streams and drains <u>that exceed 1m wide and 30cm deep on average</u> and permanent lakes, ponds and wetlands; and ... (5). A description of the following: (a) Actions, timeframes and other measures to ensure that the diffuse discharge of nitrogen from the property or enterprise, as measured by the five year rolling average annual nitrogen loss as determined by the use of the current version of OVERSEER, does not increase beyond the property or enterprise's Nitrogen Reference Point, unless other suitable mitigations are specified; or (b) where the Nitrogen Reference Point exceeds the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching values, actions, timeframes and other measures to ensure the diffuse discharge of nitrogen is reduced so that it does not exceed the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value by 1 July 2026, except in the case of Rule 3.11.5.5..."
Barker, Karen Submitter ID: 73068	PC1-9083	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by the Federated Farmers submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Council's powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the Policies, Methods, Definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Barnett, Michael Submitter ID: 73079	PC1-4167	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to identify catchment issues before progressing to Farm Environment Plans.
Barton, Rachel and Jonathan Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-3896	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 stock exclusion provisions to provide for national standards and excess 18 SU to fence all waterways,
Barton, Rachel and Jonathan Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-12361	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for Farm Environment Plan modifications to make it more cost effective over a longer period 10 years gives no certainty for the investment required.
Barton, Rachel and Jonathan Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-12362	Oppose the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - REMOVE the Nitrogen Reference Point OR AMEND to provide for a national policy on nitrogen to be developed, AND REMOVE the use of the OVERSEER model due to its inaccuracy.
Barton, Rachel and Jonathan Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-12363	Support the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - AMEND to ensure Regional Council changes their culture and how they manage the environment, including being more hands on and involved with communities and not at arm's length. Whole communities should fund this water objective not just farmers.
Bayly, Trevor and Bev Submitter ID: 72389	PC1-8547	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
B Das and Sons Ltd Submitter ID: 73689	PC1-9072	Support the provision with amendments	REMOVE the Schedule 1 reference to a 5m buffer AND REPLACE with a reference to the vegetable production industry's Best Management Guidelines.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11508	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so farmers can identify the specific actions they will need to take through their Farm Environment Plan to address any water quality issues relevant within their sub-catchment. The Council must identify relevant water quality issues within the sub-catchment, as well as the associated mitigations that farmers should consider. This information must be provided to farmers before they are required to develop a Farm Environment Plan. AND DELETE requirement to be certified by a Certified Farm Environment Planner AND REPLACE with industry approved standard or developed in accordance with skills required to support the development of a Council approved Farm Environment Plan.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to enable application of 'Best Practicable Option'.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-12364	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 (2) (ii) as follows: "for areas with a slope exceeding 25 <u>15</u> degrees and where stream fencing is impracticable, the provision of alternative mitigation measures." AND DELETE Schedule 1 (f) (i). AND AMEND Schedule 1 (f) (ii) to apply irrespective of slope.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-12365	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 (5) (a) to enable flexibility in Nitrogen discharges up to the sustainable Nitrogen discharge level, but where this is exceeded Nitrogen discharges shall not exceed the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND Schedule 1 (5) (b) so that where the Nitrogen Reference Point exceeds the sustainable Nitrogen discharge level, actions, timeframes and other measures are set out and implemented to ensure that Nitrogen discharge is reduced overtime in a manner and to the extent that corresponds with the level of water quality improvement required to achieve the water quality outcomes and which is proportionate to the level of discharge, i.e. those discharging the most will be required to reduce the most (15 percent of total discharge each 10 year period) AND AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that land use activities are not able to increase Nitrogen discharge beyond either their Nitrogen Reference Point or the sustainable leaching level, whichever is the highest - default to non-complying Rule.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-12366	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Schedule 1 (d) AND AMEND Schedule 1 (2) (c) to refer to key critical source areas only.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-12367	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 (3) to include spatial mapping requirements from clauses 2 (c) (i), 2 (c) (ii), 2 (c) (iv), 2 (c) (v), 2 (d) (ii), 2 (d) (iv) and 2 (f) (i).
Beex, Henry John Submitter ID: 73982	PC1-4289	Not stated	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Bell, Daphne Lois Submitter ID: 72987	PC1-5511	Support the provision	RETAIN PPC1.
Bellview Plains Ltd Submitter ID: 73496	PC1-8620	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Bennett, Lindy and Michael Submitter ID: 72929	PC1-4139	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to enable farmers to develop their own Farm Environment Plans, either independently or as participants in Farm Environment Plan development workshops. These will then be subject to review by a Certified Farm Environment Planner.
Bennett, Martin Submitter ID: 73409	PC1-5972	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure farmers' previous actions to mitigate nutrient discharges are recognised AND AMEND as DairyNZ have requested in no. 17 and 18 of their submission. [DairyNZ no. 17: ADD a NEW method to read: " <u>Method 3.11.4.13 Research and dissemination of edge of field mitigations that reduce diffuse contaminants/... Waikato Regional Council will research and disseminate a guideline to assist Certified Farm Environment Planners, Waikato Regional Council and landowners choose effective edge of field mitigations that address the risk of discharges from an individual farm context and will reduce the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial contaminants, by:</u> <u>a. Evaluating existing general guidelines</u> <u>b. Involving technical experts in soil conservation, riparian and wetland management, nutrient management and Overseer from council, industry and research organisations in the development of solutions</u> <u>c. Develop a schedule that is linked to Rule 3.11.5.4 that describes acceptable mitigations such as constructed or natural wetlands that are not accounted for currently in Overseer.</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>d. Setting up processes to facilitate mutual understanding between landowners and technical experts."</u> [DairyNZ no. 18: RETAIN Rule 3.11.5.4, in particular clause iii.]
Bentham Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 72009	PC1-8484	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions of PPC1 so where a property already has prepared a farm and management plan that covers the criteria in PPC1 they be exempt from the need to produce an additional Farm Environment Plan.
Bignell, Tony and Hannah Submitter ID: 72770	PC1-7275	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1(5) to allow farms operating at or below the 75th percentile discharge nitrogen leaching value to be able to discharge up to the 75th percentile. AND RETAIN having farms reduce below the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value. AND AMEND to reassess Schedule 1(5) nitrogen leaching values regularly (i.e. every five years) and gradually reduce to a fair level.
Bilby, Lorraine Submitter ID: 74090	PC1-7597	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to require on-farm assessment of each situation with regards to fencing for stock exclusion.
Birchall, David Richard Submitter ID: 73613	PC1-7602	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1.
Birkett, Bev and Bill Submitter ID: 71080	PC1-27	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for Schedule 1.
Black Jack Farms Submitter ID: 72028	PC1-8057	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 so that drystock farms and farms with low discharges are not required to prepare Farm Environment Plans.
Bleakley, Norman James Submitter ID: 71436	PC1-1705	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Bolt Trust, King Country Partnership 2013 LP and Lone Pine Trust Submitter ID: 73539	PC1-12368	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure more clarification is provided around Farm Environment Plans, the people doing them and the consequences of them.
Bolt Trust, King Country Partnership 2013 LP and Lone Pine Trust Submitter ID: 73539	PC1-12375	Oppose the provision	AMEND to ensure PPC1 takes into account the worlds reliance on the agricultural sector in the Waikato Region AND AMEND PPC1 so farmers who have already taken action are recognised and not penalised AND AMEND PPC1 so different farming systems are types of farming are treated differently AND AMEND to ensure PPC1 does not use a blanket approach.
Bolt Trust, King Country Partnership 2013 LP and Lone Pine Trust Submitter ID: 73539	PC1-6472	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure all present grazing land is allowed to continue to be grazed using current stock classes AND AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure cropping is able to continue on land greater than 15 degrees and winter crops are able to be grazed directly by livestock AND AMEND PPC1 so grass buffers continue to be used, even increased, around crop areas, to control nutrient and sediment runoff AND AMEND Schedule 1 to relate cultivation requirements directly and initially to farms near waterbodies, ensuring these are prioritised with regards to mitigation actions AND AMEND to provide a clearer definition of slope in PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Boom, Robin Submitter ID: 73984	PC1-5738	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 (5) to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point grandparenting approach AND AMEND to replace the use of the OVERSEER Model with better indicators such as the Land Utilisation and Capability Indicator and the Phosphate Saturation Index AND AMEND to provide catchment limits that are equitable, with low polluters able to increase and high polluters having to decrease to that level AND if the Nitrogen Reference Point is used AMEND to use the 50th percentile nitrogen leaching figures as the limit AND AMEND to target known hotspot catchments and specific farms where pollutants are entering waterways AND AMEND to consider the use of Meclich III extraction method in place of the Olsen P test for phosphorous assessment.
Brewer, Kylie Lynn Submitter ID: 72911	PC1-8159	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Schedule 1 but REMOVE rigid timeframes for completing Farm Environment Plans.
Brier, Graeme Anthony Submitter ID: 73052	PC1-5430	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for a farm by farm approach under a sub-catchment umbrella which should produce better outcomes for the farms and the rivers, AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plan requirements are kept simple and achievable.
Briggs, Robin John Submitter ID: 73920	PC1-4970	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to a nitrogen cap set at 30kg/N/ha for all farms. Farms higher than 30kg/ha pay a fee for this right. AND AMEND so that farms under 30kg/N/ha nitrogen cap have minimum restrictions imposed on them. AND AMEND so that farm under 30kg/N/ha are to fence main water ways (lakes, rivers) only. AND AMEND so that farm under 30kg/N/ha have an Environmental Farm Plan to back this up. AND AMEND to a more reliable tool of measuring nitrogen than OVERSEER. AND MAKE any consequential amendments
Brodie, Philip Donald Submitter ID: 67406	PC1-2962	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 and the Rules to remove subjective provisions and replace with specific measurable standards.
Brook, Jeremy Submitter ID: 74121	PC1-11518	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure the Nitrogen Reference Point is based on the years between 1990 and 2000.
Brooks, Hayden Gregory and Susan Jennifer Submitter ID: 71174	PC1-88	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1 in its entirety. AMEND Schedule 1 to include rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Brough, John Conroy Submitter ID: 71237	PC1-424	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to ban the use of urea and spraying anywhere near a waterbody AND AMEND to encourage farmers to carry on doing good work AND AMEND to focus on towns and Cities.
Brough, John Conroy Submitter ID: 71237	PC1-12369	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE from Schedule 1 the requirement to fence waterways.
Broughton, Baden Charles Submitter ID: 71339	PC1-1683	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for Schedule 1.
Brown, Peter Submitter ID: 72628	PC1-9814	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to allow a period of 5 to 10 years for measurement and monitoring to establish a Nitrogen Reference Point.
Brown, Peter Submitter ID: 72628	PC1-12370	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Schedule 1 requirement to have a Farm Environment Plan prepared by a Certified Farm Environment Planner.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Browne, Allan Steward and Toni Rebecca Submitter ID: 71696	PC1-12371	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 by ensuring Farm Environment Plans are tailored to individual property and focus on critical source management. AND AMEND by making available an independent panel to have continuity of interpretation of the rules.
Browne, Allan Steward and Toni Rebecca Submitter ID: 71696	PC1-7120	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 by ensuring Farm Environment Plans are tailored to individual property and focus on critical source management. AND AMEND by making available an independent panel to have continuity of interpretation of the rules.
Buchanan, Conall Submitter ID: 73774	PC1-4536	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule 1 2 b ii the requirement for cultivation setback of 5 metres from drains on flat land or near flat land.
Buchanan, Conall Submitter ID: 73774	PC1-12372	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 provisions relating to land use change restrictions close to waterways, particularly drains on flat land or near flat land. AND AMEND the definition of waterways, particularly drains on flat land or near flat land.
Buchanan, Jason Robert Submitter ID: 73696	PC1-5407	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for education and encouragement, not restricting farmer's freedom to farm as they see fit, within reason.
Bull, Gerald Submitter ID: 74125	PC1-4868	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to adopt a 1 metre setback on all streams that are not major AND AMEND to allow sheep and small cattle (any time of year) on slopes up to 25 degrees AND AMEND Schedule 1 to allow cultivation on slopes on slopes up to 25 degrees.
Burdett, Laurie Submitter ID: 73532	PC1-6509	Oppose the provision	REMOVE Schedule 1 (2)(a)(ii) as an exemption from fencing unless the steep areas within the paddock are only a small portion.
Butler, Philip David Francis and Lois Elizabeth Submitter ID: 72772	PC1-4984	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
C&A Neville Family Trust Submitter ID: 72865	PC1-10961	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by the Federated Farmers submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Callaghan, Martyn Submitter ID: 74171	PC1-8812	Not stated	AMEND Schedule 1 to clarify whether the land owner or land user is responsible for the Farm Environment Plan and nutrient pollution.
Carey, Rita Anne Submitter ID: 74006	PC1-3203	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that Farm Environment Plans are individual to each farm, provide guidelines for farmers then the Certified Farm Advisors review and advise on ways to make the plans more effective and show where they are not meeting guidelines AND AMEND to extend the date to achieve the Farm Environment Plan and have flexibility of planning AND require Certified Farm Advisors/trainers to become registered with NZTE business partner scheme. Ensure Certified Farm Advisors have experience in the same type of farm they are advising on.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Carey, Rita Anne Submitter ID: 74006	PC1-12376	Oppose the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - and Healthy Rivers Scheme subsidise the remainder AND AMEND to advise on audit time and costs and how will this be funded AND establish New Zealand Beef and lamb and other farmers' support network to have registered trainers and auditors AND AMEND to allow for some paddock rotation for health of farm and income.
Carter, Michael and Jackie, Matthew and Amy Submitter ID: 73372	PC1-6556	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that the Farm Environment Plan process identifies suitable stocking policies based on Land Use Capability classes, including as applicable, nitrogen discharge limits, waterway fencing and timeframes. AND AMEND Schedule 1 so that farmers are able to prepare their own Farm Environment Plans based on sub-catchment priorities.
Carter, Shaun Colin Thomas Submitter ID: 74159	PC1-8542	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to allow Farm Environment Plans to be prepared once PPC1 is operative. AND AMEND Schedule 1 to provide clarification as to what constitutes an effective Farm Environment Plan and who is qualified to sign this off. AND AMEND to provide for any consequential or similar amendments, to give effect to the submission.
Carter, Shirley Patricia Submitter ID: 73336	PC1-8682	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Cheyne, David Submitter ID: 71443	PC1-1501	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Chick, Adam Ross Submitter ID: 73985	PC1-7902	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to remove the stock exclusion fencing requirement for slopes over 15 degrees AND AMEND to provide for a change to the stock exclusion threshold to 12 stock units per hectare AND AMEND for stock exclusion the definition of a waterway to align with the definition in the NPS-FM.
Chick, Adam Ross Submitter ID: 73985	PC1-12377	Oppose the provision	REMOVE Schedule 1 provisions relating to the Nitrogen Reference Point AND REMOVE provisions relating to the OVERSEER Model.
Chick, Adam Ross Submitter ID: 73985	PC1-12378	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to adopt a sub-catchment based approach in conjunction with a Farm Environment Plan.
Chick, Leith Roger Submitter ID: 53276	PC1-10155	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that Farm Environment Plans allow for variable seasons AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans are sub-catchment and farm-specific AND AMEND to allow Farm Environment Plans to be prepared by farmers with regional council input.
Chick, Leith Roger Submitter ID: 53276	PC1-12379	Support the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - AMEND the stock exclusion threshold AND REMOVE the fencing requirement for slopes over 15 degrees.
Chick, Leith Roger Submitter ID: 53276	PC1-12380	Support the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - AMEND the definition of waterway to use National Waterway Accord parameters.
Christian and Anderson, Ashley John and Frances Ann Submitter ID: 73064	PC1-4768	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule 1 requirement for certified personnel to complete Farm Environment Plans and Nitrogen Reference Points. Schedule 1: AMEND PPC1 so individuals can complete Farm Environment Plans and Nitrogen Reference Points and be audited by Waikato Regional Council.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to add a template that can be used by the landowner/manager to complete a Farm Environment Plan and Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND to provide training for individuals who want to complete their own Farm Environment Plans and derive their own Nitrogen Reference Points.
Clapcott, Anson Submitter ID: 73149	PC1-4211	Support the provision	RETAIN Farm Environment Plans. Schedule 1
Clapcott, Michael John Submitter ID: 72625	PC1-9793	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Clark, Craig Submitter ID: 73221	PC1-9203	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Clarke, Campbell Submitter ID: 73032	PC1-9528	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to enable farmers to write Farm Environment Plans.
Clarke, Campbell Submitter ID: 73032	PC1-12381	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1(2)(b)ii and iii.
Clarke, Hamish Submitter ID: 71621	PC1-8477	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Schedule 1 Farm Environment Plans for high risk properties AND AMEND to restrict the requirement for Farm Environment Plans to those sub-catchments where science indicates improvements are required AND AMEND to allow farmers the choice of completing their own Farm Environment Plans or seeking the help of consultants AND AMEND to enable Farm Environment Plans to be written to allow flexibility, such as with nitrogen discharges and application of good management practices, tailoring them to the individual property and focusing on critical source management AND MAKE available an independent panel to allow contested points between staff and farmers in Farm Environment Plans to be settled without the expensive need to appeal to Environment Court.
Clements, Robyn Ethel Submitter ID: 73097	PC1-7755	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule 1 in its entirety OR AMEND Schedule 1 by substituting to individual Farm Environment Plans instead of the OVERSEER Model to determine land use capability and individual farm base discharge allowance. AND AMEND to provide Nitrogen Reference Point grant credits to farms where evidence farming practices have been environmentally active to reduce use of nitrogen and other potential contaminants. AND AMEND Nitrogen Reference Points to recognise historic lower use of Nitrogen by beef and sheep farms to dairy and allow prescribed favourable variation for that farm type. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
CNI Iwi Land Management Limited Submitter ID: 74026	PC1-10807	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plans shall contain as a minimum: (e) A description of nutrient management practices including: i. a nutrient budget for the farm enterprise calculated using the model OVERSEER® in accordance with the OVERSEER® use protocols, or using any other model or method approved by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council; <u>and</u> ii. <u>an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences"</u>
Coleman, Mark and Ruth	PC1-7431	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1. AND REMOVE sheep and beef farms from Nitrogen Reference Point provisions.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 71424			AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Coles, Donald Percy Submitter ID: 71337	PC1-3074	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1(5).
Collins, Nick Submitter ID: 71202	PC1-803	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for a sub-catchment approach to fencing off hill country, identifying the issues in a collective and collaborative approach with farmers and the community AND AMEND to undertake a review of any existing waterway fencing based around land use and loading, e.g should the setback be increased if the farm has a high loading, for example above the 50% Nitrogen Reference Point.
Collins, Nick Submitter ID: 71202	PC1-12382	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to review Farm Environment Plans annually for the first 5 years.
Collins, Nick Submitter ID: 71202	PC1-12383	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide equal focus on land use capability and soil management to increase the potential of soil to retain nutrient for plant availability and reduce soil erosion AND CONSIDER soil health in the development of PPC1. This includes VSA's, soil management and cultivation methods.
Constantine, Dale Andrew Submitter ID: 74151	PC1-9112	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to allow cattle to be grazed on land with a slope that is greater the 25 degrees with a lower stocking rate than on flatter contours, e.g.: 8-10 stocking units per hectare. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Cook, Ian and Doreen Submitter ID: 71663	PC1-9603	Oppose the provision	Schedule 1 - ensure the cost of resource consent is investigated.
Cook, Ian and Doreen Submitter ID: 71663	PC1-12384	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to reduce the slope of land that requires fencing.
Corlett, Peter Valentine Submitter ID: 73467	PC1-8197	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Cotman, Jim Submitter ID: 59884	PC1-4576	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 AND REPLACE it with a new plan that endorses 'Best Practical Options' that are developed by landowners. AND AMEND to provide a new plan that supports the development and implementation of Catchment Management Plans that are led by landowners. AND ENSURE this new plan supports identifying potential critical source contaminant pathways on a sub-catchment basis to provide quantifiable measures and factual information into Catchment Management Plans. AND ENSURE the new plan encourages innovative new science that provide alternative means to managing water quality. AND ENSURE the new plan recognises that well informed landowners as stewards of their land are the best and only people who can create action on the ground. AND ENSURE the new plan approach favours a 'shared values' approach where all parties work toward achieving sensible water quality targets. AND ENSURE that the primary focus of the new plan is a comprehensive programme to eliminate Koi Carp along with the native species predator, catfish.
Coup, Martin Ross Amesbury Submitter ID: 73856	PC1-4907	Support the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure the science is robust to be able to determine what the issues are.
Cox, Ian Graeme and Beverley Mae Submitter ID: 73023	PC1-6482	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1 2. a ii.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Craig, Jeffery Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-9701	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to determine stock exclusion fencing requirements on an individual farm basis, taking other mitigation measures into account AND AMEND to exclude small waterways from fencing requirements, with a minimum width and depth for fencing AND AMEND to extend the timeframe for fencing AND AMEND to clarify how slope is measured AND AMEND to clarify how land within setbacks is to be maintained and funded, with consideration of Council-subsidised planting and spraying programmes AND AMEND to determine stock crossing requirements on an individual farm basis, with no culvert crossing required when stock crossing is infrequent AND AMEND to ensure drains are still able to be cleaned AND AMEND to provide for Council to fund fencing and associated costs.
Craig, Jeffery Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-12385	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to plan for the removal of carp.
Craig, Jeffery Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-12386	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to allow owners to prepare Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to extend the timeframe for Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to provide for a Council subsidy for Farm Environment Plan costs AND AMEND to clarify penalties and enforcement for not preparing or implementing a Farm Environment Plan AND AMEND to allow Farm Environment Plans for each land use block rather than the whole property where there is mixed use.
Craig, Jeffery Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-12387	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for separate, reliable measures for the discharge of sediment, nitrogen, phosphorous and microbial pathogens.
Craig, Jeffery Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-12388	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to replace the OVERSEER Model with other alternatives, such as a programme that is easy to use and works.
Cranleigh Agri-Business Trust Submitter ID: 72502	PC1-4557	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide guidance and support for farmers and ensure that Farm Environment Plans are brief.
Cranleigh Agri-Business Trust Submitter ID: 72502	PC1-12389	Oppose the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - AMEND buffer zones to be 600mm.
Cranleigh Agri-Business Trust Submitter ID: 72502	PC1-12390	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule 1(2)(e)-(f)
Crawford, Fraser and Liz Submitter ID: 73767	PC1-8021	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to enable farmers to develop and assess Farm Environment Plans.
Croft, Shane Lowell Mark Submitter ID: 74056	PC1-10921	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide detail on Farm Environment Plan requirements.
Cronin, G Submitter ID: 74030	PC1-4094	Oppose the provision	DELETE the requirement to fence all slopes over 15 degrees from Schedule 1 of PPC1 or reimburse farmers for the cost of fencing and the cost of planting trees.
DairyNZ Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-10255	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 paragraph 2 to read: "The Farm Environment Plan shall identify all <u>critical source areas</u> sources of sediment, nitrogen, phosphorus..." AND AMEND Schedule 2 (b. iii) by altering the provision to focus on managing critical source areas. AND AMEND to ensure that a 5m cultivation setback from water bodies in low risk areas is not necessary if critical source areas have been identified and mitigations put in place.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
DairyNZ Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-12391	Support the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - CLARIFY that the Farm Environment Plan allows alternative mitigations where it can be shown that these alternative mitigations achieve at least the same reduction of contaminants entering the water bodies as any standard provided for in PPC1.
Delrane-Jessen Holdings Limited Submitter ID: 72024	PC1-7945	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that Farm Environment Plans can be prepared by the landowner.
Denize, Brendan Submitter ID: 73850	PC1-3630	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 so that Farm Environment Plans become the main means of managing effects, not Nitrogen Reference Points
Denize, Mathew John Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-7662	Oppose the provision	AMEND to CLARIFY Schedule 1 stock exclusion requirements with regard to setback buffer distance and where to measure the setback from on undulating land.
Denize, Mathew John Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-12392	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to enable land users with adequate experience and capabilities to work with an approved industry scheme, run by Waikato Regional Council, to be accredited to develop their own Farm Environment Plan, based on a common template.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10647	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to more clearly define the goals for a Farm Environment Plan. AND AMEND Schedule 1 to require Farm Environment Plans to identify critical nitrogen and phosphorous sources for lakes, and to identify on-farm methods to reduce nitrogen, phosphorus and sediment discharges to lakes. AND AMEND Schedule 1 so that Farm Environment Plans identify where existing drains can be restored or intercepted to reduce nutrient and sediment inputs into lakes.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-12393	Support the provision with amendments	AND AMEND Schedule 1(2)(b)(iii) to require 10m fencing setbacks for cultivation from permanent rivers, lakes and outstanding waterbodies and 5m cultivation setbacks from intermittent rivers and wetlands. For peat lakes, a 20m setback for cultivation should be implemented. AND AMEND Schedule 1 to require that setbacks for grazing and cultivation on sloping land be evaluated in relation to soil type to ensure an appropriate setback distance is achieved (the Horizons Regional Council guideline is suggested as a useful start). A 20m setback for sloping land of 20 degrees or more could be appropriate.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-12394	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide that Farm Environment Plans recognise the potential role for wetlands to assist in the management of water quality and to recognise their significant values by (a) ensuring all wetlands, permanent and ephemeral are identified in Farm Environment Plans; (b) that the management of nutrients and sediment ensures that adverse effects on wetland systems and their values are avoided or mitigated; and (c) existing drainage of wetlands is stopped and any future drainage of wetlands is avoided.
Dixon, Grant Submitter ID: 73980	PC1-7611	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure Farm Environment Plans are developed in conjunction with the land occupier AND AMEND timelines for Farm Environment Plans so they are achievable AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure the person developing the Farm Environment Plan is suitably experienced in farming matters AND AMEND PPC1 so the Farm Environment Plan provides the reference point for nitrogen efficiency for each enterprise AND AMEND PPC1 so that an industry standard, forms the base measurement for increasing efficiency.
Drummond Dairy Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 72831	PC1-5663	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Dudding Farms Submitter ID: 73222	PC1-8956	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Dudin, Alan and Sarah Submitter ID: 73852	PC1-4912	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 so that at risk areas identified in the Waikato region as a source of erosion and sedimentation be mapped under the Land Use Capability system, to provide a consistent framework for risk assessment/comparison. AND CONSIDER using physiological areas like the Southland Regional Council policies. AND AMEND to provide certainty that Forestry is a viable crop option as a mitigation strategy to reduce sediment loading in water ways. AND AMEND to provide clarity in documentation and training for providers to effectively identify and manage Critical Source Areas.
Duncan, Andrew Richard Submitter ID: 73410	PC1-6183	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule A to define the term 'stock' as 'cattle, horses, deer and pigs'.
Dunlop, Tania Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-637	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that land owners prepare Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to extend the time frame for when Farm Environment Plans are due AND CLARIFY penalties for not having a farm environment plan and how this will be enforced.
Dunlop, Tania Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-12395	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to establish separate alternative measurements for nitrogen, phosphorus and pathogens AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model and REPLACE with a program that is easy to use, land owners can set up and works AND Council to provide a subsidy for costs AND DELETE the use of the Overseer Model and REPLACE with a program that is easy to use, land owners can set up and enter data for themselves.
Edmonds, Suzanne Louise Submitter ID: 71085	PC1-158	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for different land types. AND AMEND the definition for waterways. AND AMEND the criteria for fencing.
Eight Mile Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 71395	PC1-1153	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to focus on land use capability of individual properties. AND AMEND to extend the timelines to complete Farm Environment Plans. AND AMEND to sure the costs for a Farm Environment Plan are addressed or subsidised within PPC1.
Ellery, Ian Yates Submitter ID: 71173	PC1-599	Support the provision with amendments	ADD clarification for what happens with land above 26 degree slope.(Schedule 1.)
Elliott, Peter and Dagmar Submitter ID: 73413	PC1-8482	Oppose the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Empson, Alan Jephson Howard Submitter ID: 74152	PC1-11300	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1/PPC1 to give relief to the lower quartile of nitrogen loss farmers and only those in the higher quartile should be put to this expense.
Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-430	Oppose the provision	DELETE in its entirety. Long-Term Land Use - Schedule 1 OR AMEND PPC1 and re-notify once there are clear indications of what land use is required on farms.
Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-12397	Oppose the provision	Schedule 1 - Nitrogen Reference Point DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point provisions in its entirety.
Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-12398	Oppose the provision	Schedule 1 - Farm Environment Plan AMEND to extend the Farm Environment Plan timeframe.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-12399	Support the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - Contaminant Loss From Farm AMEND to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
Eyre, Stuart Murray Submitter ID: 71405	PC1-1135	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for Schedule 1, however highlighted the impact of the Nitrogen Reference Point on farming sustainability and profitability.
Farm Environment Trust (Waikato) Submitter ID: 73798	PC1-5056	Support the provision	AMEND Schedule 1.A.5 to consider the limitations of the OVERSEER Model when setting fixed Reference Points in catchments where nitrogen is not the issue. AND AMEND so that as science develops new understandings about nutrient management then this needs to be included in PPC1 even if it requires a new nutrient model program to replace Overseer.
Farmers 4 Positive Change (F4PC) Submitter ID: 73355	PC1-10429	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the Schedule 1 Nitrogen Reference Point.
Farmers 4 Positive Change (F4PC) Submitter ID: 73355	PC1-12400	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to introduce a sub-catchment planned approach with the Farm Environment Plan as a monitoring tool AND AMEND to use Farm Environment Plans as a tool to understand land use suitability and manage contaminant loss AND AMEND to include a range of actions in Farm Environment Plans, such as avoid farming older cattle on slopes in winter or when wet; farm cattle extensively on slopes; fence off swamps and plant out to provide silt traps to remove sediment; construct sediment traps near the headwaters to help slow flow and trap sediment; plant shade trees away from waterways to discourage stock camps and nutrient build-up; use temporary electric fencing where and when necessary; plant poplar poles on erosion prone slopes; identify suitable units for planting pines at farmer discretion; fence off waterways on more intensively farmed areas of the farm and provide reticulated water for stock.
FarmRight Submitter ID: 73720	PC1-9656	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10854	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-10650	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1(2) to read: "(d) An assessment of appropriate land use and grazing management for specific areas on the farm in order to maintain and improve the physical and biological condition of soils and minimise the diffuse discharge of sediment, nitrogen, phosphorus and microbial pathogens to water bodies, including: (i) matching land use to land capability; and (ii)(i) identifying areas not suitable for grazing; and (iii)(ii) stocking policy to maintain soil condition and pasture cover; and (iv)(iii) to appropriate location and management of winter forage crops; and (v)(iv) suitable management practices for strip grazing. (e) A description of nutrient management practices including a nutrient budget <u>prepared by a Certified Nutrient Management Advisor</u> for the farm enterprise calculated using OVERSEER in accordance with the OVERSEER use protocols <u>Data Input Standards 2016, with the exceptions and inclusions set out in Schedule B, Table 1,</u> or using any other model or method approved by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			... 5(a) Actions, timeframes and other measures to ensure that the diffuse <u>loss discharge</u> of nitrogen from the property or enterprise... (b) Where the Nitrogen Reference Point exceeds the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value, actions, timeframes and other measures to ensure the diffuse discharge of nitrogen <u>to water</u> is reduced <u>using best practicable options in keeping with industry agreed good management practice, prior to nitrogen loss allocation systems being decided and introduced, so that it does not exceed the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value by 1 July 2026, except in the case of Rule 3.11.5.5."</u>
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-12401	Oppose the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - DELETE Row number 7 from the table under Vegetable Growing Minimum Standards. AND AMEND Row 8 from the table under Vegetable Growing Minimum Standards to read: " 8-7 - Nitrogen Phosphorous - Evidence available to demonstrate split applications by block/crop <u>in accordance with the Code of Practice for Nutrient Management (with emphasis on fertiliser use), which includes calibration of application equipment, following expert approved practice</u> relating to:..."
Findlay, Andrew Submitter ID: 72021	PC1-8298	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1 OR AMEND to provide for Natural Capital, a flexible Nitrogen Reference Point, and sub-catchment water quality AND AMEND to provide for conversion from farming to forestry for unproductive land that is suitable for this enterprise AND AMEND water quality monitoring to provide for individual farm gate testing of loss of contaminants.
Findlay, Andrew Submitter ID: 72021	PC1-12403	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 Farm Environment Plans to be individual plans depending on individual farms.
Findlay, James Thomas Submitter ID: 73509	PC1-12011	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Findlay, Thomas David Submitter ID: 73713	PC1-9418	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1.
Finlay, Drewe Clayton Submitter ID: 73302	PC1-9632	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1 (5).
Fisher, John Wallter Submitter ID: 72026	PC1-4955	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to allow Farm Environment Plans to contain mitigation measures that are not built into OVERSEER.
FitzGerald, Geoffrey and Johanna Submitter ID: 74289	PC1-5100	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for Schedule 1.
Fleming, Gordon Gerald Shane Submitter ID: 74075	PC1-10462	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide better scientific research with regards to sheep and beef farming.
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10559	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 paragraph 5 to read: "This schedule applies to all farming activities, but it is acknowledged that some provisions will not be relevant to every farming activity. <u>Any management plan required by a condition of any resource consent authorising industrial or other wastewater irrigation shall be deemed to be Farm Environment Plan for the purposes of this schedule, provided that the management plan addresses the relevant matters in Section A.</u> " AND ADD a NEW item 'g' to Section 2 (immediately above Section 3): <u>"g. A description of any other wastewater irrigation or fertiliser management activities on the site including the use of fertilised replacements."</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-12404	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 part 5 to read: "...5. A description of the following: a. Actions, The property or enterprise's Nitrogen Reference Point timeframes and other measures to ensure that the diffuse discharge of nitrogen from the property or enterprise, as measured by that is not to be exceeded by the five three-year rolling average annual nitrogen loss as determined by the use of the current most recent version of OVERSEER, does not increase beyond the property or enterprise's Nitrogen Reference Point, unless other suitable mitigations are specified; or ..."
Foreman, Kerry Alan Submitter ID: 73609	PC1-7830	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to incorporate PPC1 into Farm Environment Plans on a case by case scenario.
Forlong, Maurice and Karen Submitter ID: 73728	PC1-7419	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to replace Farm Environment Plans with a process that recognises farm specific goals. This should include consultation and working with farmers to pursue gradual improvements, where KPI's and benchmarks are specific to the farmer.
Forster, David and Christina Submitter ID: 71247	PC1-4819	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 A (2) (iii) to provide for the ability to graze the 5 metre setback in line with (2) (i) and (ii).
Forster, David and Christina Submitter ID: 71247	PC1-12405	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 A (2) (iii) to provide for the ability to graze the 5 metre setback in line with (2) (i) and (ii).
Francis, Sean Dean and Barnes, Jeanie Elizabeth Submitter ID: 71404	PC1-1176	Oppose the provision	No specific changes to Schedule 1 sought in relation to concerns expressed AND RETAIN Section 5a of Schedule 1 - the use of a 5 year rolling average to determine the Nitrogen Reference Point.
Frederikson, Mark Gordon Submitter ID: 73118	PC1-8717	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that Nitrogen Reference Points are calculated over a five year period and an average taken. AND AMEND to provide room for low emitting farms to develop to a point and high emitting farms to decrease to lower than the proposed 75%.
Fuller, Mark Allan Submitter ID: 73922	PC1-5350	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for Schedule 1.
Fullerton, Angela Margaret Submitter ID: 71297	PC1-5688	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so Farm Environment Plans only ask about environmental issues. AND AMEND the definition of Certified Farm Environment Planners to 2 years' experience.
Fullerton, Angela Margaret Submitter ID: 71297	PC1-12406	Support the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - AMEND definition of setback and add a picture or drawing for clarity. AND AMEND cultivation setback distances so that it is based on slope of the cultivated paddock; 1 metre setback for flat, 3 metre setback for less than 15 degrees slopes and 5 metre setback for slopes over 15 degrees.
Fullerton, Angela Margaret Submitter ID: 71297	PC1-12407	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 reference to "appropriate measure to minimise risk" to clarify who determines what is appropriate.
Fullerton-Smith, Peter and Kirstin Submitter ID: 73754	PC1-4835	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Fullerton-Smith, Peter and Kirstin Submitter ID: 73754	PC1-12408	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 by ensuring that Farm Environment Plans are fair to all categories of farming type and land use. Make them easily implemented, practical with flexibility built into them for future changes. (E.g changes in farm management, technology or climate).
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10874	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1(2) to read: "...and the priority of those identified risks, having regard to <u>Objective 3-sub-catchment targets in Table 3.11-1</u> and the priority of lakes...".
Fursdon, Sonia Submitter ID: 73999	PC1-9322	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Schedule 1 timeframe of 2026 to be sooner.
Fyers, John and Joanne Submitter ID: 73460	PC1-2551	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1 OR AMEND to reduce the stocking rate limit around waterways or reduce the angle of fencing as the current 25 degrees.
Fyers, John and Joanne Submitter ID: 73460	PC1-12409	Oppose the provision	Determine the costs before Schedule 1 is put forward to farmers.
Gardon Limited Submitter ID: 74113	PC1-5220	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to allow for more time to train and recruit an effective supply of rural professionals to support PPC1.
Garland, Suzanne Merle and William Graham Submitter ID: 74066	PC1-11326	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Schedule 1 and other PPC1 provisions that promote Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND Farm Environment Plan provisions to ensure land owners' ability to pay for actions is taken into account AND AMEND to provide clarity between Schedule 1 Farm Environment plans to be certified by a certified planner and method 3.11.4.3 Farm Environment Plans to be prepared by a certified person AND AMEND to provide clarification of the timeframes for Farm Environment Plan actions to be implemented AND ensure time frames are realistic in terms of Objective 4.
Garland, Suzanne Merle and William Graham Submitter ID: 74066	PC1-12410	Support the provision with amendments	Recognise in Schedule 1 that at a farm level there may be priorities other than stock exclusion and nitrogen management AND AMEND Schedule 1 so that the slope percentages and setbacks are guidelines rather than requirements AND AMEND Schedule 1 clause 2(a)(ii) to read "for <u>areas streams or wetlands</u> with a slope exceeding 25° <u>and or</u> where <u>stream</u> fencing is impractical, the provision of <u>alternative other</u> mitigation measures."
Garland, Suzanne Merle and William Graham Submitter ID: 74066	PC1-12411	Oppose the provision	Recognise in Schedule 1 that at a farm level there may be priorities other than stock exclusion and nitrogen management AND DELETE Schedule 1 clause 5(a) and any other reference to requiring nitrogen losses to be calculated annually using the Overseer model and to maintaining a 5 year rolling average on nitrogen losses at or below the Nitrogen Reference Point.
Gaston, Jo and Andrew Submitter ID: 71267	PC1-1053	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to enable the adoption of industry-specific Land Environment Plans that are reviewed at 5 year intervals.
Gaston, Jo and Andrew Submitter ID: 71267	PC1-12412	Oppose the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - DELETE the use of the OVERSEER model as a nutrient management tool AND AMEND to ensure the information required by Waikato Regional Council is kept to a minimum and the private information supplied is stored in a secure and well maintained system AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from this submission.
Gaudin, Philip and Pauline Submitter ID: 72820	PC1-9111	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to enable farmers to be in charge of their own Farm Environment Plans, with input from Waikato Regional Council.
Gaudin, Philip and Pauline Submitter ID: 72820	PC1-12413	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to use the Clean Water Report (February 2017) for stock exclusion rules.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Gaudin, Philip and Pauline Submitter ID: 72820	PC1-12414	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure the rules focus on reducing impacts from intensive agriculture and not penalising extensive agriculture AND AMEND to remove timeframes and individualise them through consultation with farmers.
Gavins Limited Submitter ID: 73846	PC1-5508	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 riparian buffer zone on flat land to 0.6 metres.
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-3623	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 A. 2. as follows: "An assessment of the risk... having regard to <u>Objective 3 sub-catchment targets in Table 3.11-1</u> and the priority of lakes ..."
Genetic Technologies Ltd Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-3319	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule 1, A.(1).
Genetic Technologies Ltd Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-12415	Support the provision	Schedule 1 - AMEND so that Table 3.11-1 clearly identifies the priority contaminants for sub-catchments AND AMEND A.(2)(b)(ii) to the effect of "provision of 1 metre or greater grazing setback depending on level of risk of contaminants entering water bodies" AND AMEND A.(2)(b)(iii) to read: "the provision of <u>a minimum of 1 metre</u> cultivation setbacks <u>of 5 metres with actual distance based upon the assessed risk of contaminants entering the water body.</u> " AND AMEND to define the meaning of the word 'avoided' in A.(2)(f)(i). If the 'avoid' means 'prohibit' then AMEND to read as follows: "The identification of slopes over 15 degrees and how cultivation of them will be avoided; unless managed to <u>minimise</u> contaminant discharges to water bodies from that cultivation can be avoided; and"
Genetic Technologies Ltd Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-12416	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule 1, A.(5).
Gibb, Murray Bernard Submitter ID: 72903	PC1-6355	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Gilbert, Ben and Leanne Submitter ID: 73925	PC1-2675	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Farm Environment Plan timelines so that they are more appropriate with respect to the number of qualified planners and number of farms requiring Farm Environment planner services.
GKS Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 72618	PC1-4882	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 C as requested by Federated Farmers. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Gleeson, Graeme B Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-12417	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule 1 requirements to manage farming activities to a historic Nitrogen Reference Point AND REPLACE with live weight standards linked to the natural capital of soils, climate and assimilative capacity of water OR allocate nitrogen as it is tied to the natural capital of soils. AND AMEND OR ADD new rules that are based on land class and pasture production capability, where land use is supported by the capability of the land giving rise to contaminant loss no greater than acceptable ecosystem health limits OR ADOPT equal nitrogen allocation flexibility for all land users (at 20kgN/ha) as a permitted activity.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND DELETE 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value AND REPLACE with requirements and standards that ensure the reductions required in over-allocated catchments, and where nitrogen is an issue, are proportionate to the level of improvement required and the impact of the discharge. Highest dischargers should be targeted first and consideration should be given to the economic implications of reducing and the timeframe for making reductions.</p> <p>AND AMEND the rules to ensure low contaminant loss land uses are a permitted activity.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that high nitrogen dischargers, except horticulture, are required to reduce over time, starting immediately, and achieving 10 per cent reductions every year for the life of PPC1.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure horticultural nitrogen losses are managed in a manner that recognises the value of the industry to the community.</p>
<p>Gleeson, Graeme B Submitter ID: 73800</p>	<p>PC1-12418</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>Schedule 1 - WITHDRAW PPC1 until the scientific data around which contaminants are causing water quality decline is available for each sub-catchment.</p> <p>AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach to focus on contaminants important to each farm and sub-catchment.</p> <p>AND DEVELOP greater understanding about spatial location of natural resources so this knowledge can be applied to better inform and manage contaminant loss.</p>
<p>Gleeson, Graeme B Submitter ID: 73800</p>	<p>PC1-6407</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that Farm Environment Plans are as uncomplicated as possible, including plans that are hand-written.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure supporting documents outlining Good Management Practices, as recognised by industry, are readily available to all land users.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that Farm Environment Plans include who will be responsible for and when and how mitigation will occur. There may be financial limitations for the farm business for mitigation.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens are managed through Farm Environment Plans and Good Management Practice.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure strong and clear communication high sediment losses and the options available to mitigate them</p> <p>AND AMEND the rules so that farms with a low risk of contaminant loss are enabled to operate for the next 10 years as a permitted activity, subject to conditions relating to stocking rate and the preparation of a Beef and Lamb New Zealand Land and Environment Plan Level 1 and 2 or equivalent, and adopting industry-supported Good Management Practice.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that low risk land users are able to continue as a permitted activity without the need to prepare a Farm Environment Plan.</p> <p>AND AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 to be a Permitted Activity where a Farm Environment Plan is provided AND REMOVE the requirement to be under a Certified Industry Scheme.</p> <p>AND AMEND to extend the timeframes required for Farm Environment Plans.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that Farm Environment Plans adopt a tailored individual approach.</p> <p>AND AMEND Farm Environment Plans to ensure they assess appropriate land use options for each farm, and encourage better science to determine which contaminants are of concern for each farm and sub-catchment.</p>
<p>Goddard, Allan and Mary-Anne Submitter ID: 73061</p>	<p>PC1-2343</p>	<p>Oppose the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that Waikato Regional Council will be responsible for certifying Farm Environment Plans.</p>
<p>Goddard, Allan and Mary-Anne Submitter ID: 73061</p>	<p>PC1-12419</p>	<p>Oppose the provision with amendments</p>	<p>DELETE reference to the 5-year rolling average annual nitrogen loss from Schedule 1(5)(a).</p>
<p>Godley, Steve Submitter ID: 72962</p>	<p>PC1-1719</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>DELETE Schedule 1.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Gooding, Penny Submitter ID: 74137	PC1-3648	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Gow, David John and Philippa Jewell Submitter ID: 73981	PC1-8795	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Greenlea Premier Meats Ltd Submitter ID: 72144	PC1-7540	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE requirements for land use activities to not exceed their historic nitrogen discharge levels when below 20kgN/ha/yr. AND AMEND to enable low leaching and land uses (below 20kgN/ha/yr) to increase discharges up to 20kgN/ha/yr. AND AMEND by basing the nitrogen allocation system on the natural capital of soils taking into consideration the assimilative capacity of freshwater.
Guy, Denise and John Submitter ID: 73945	PC1-3830	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 with clear notification as to what land use is required on a property going forward so farm use can be changed to meet both environmental mitigation and financial stability. AND AMEND the contaminant loss from farm provisions of Schedule 1 to account for the contribution that Koi Carp make and include rules to remove Koi Carp from waterways.
Guy, Denise and John Submitter ID: 73945	PC1-12420	Oppose the provision	Schedule 1 - DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions AND REPLACE with a provision that the highest nitrate level (that the 'high users' must adhere to) be the upper level for everyone no matter where/what farming type.
Guy, Denise and John Submitter ID: 73945	PC1-12421	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions of Schedule 1 so that a 'catchment level' plan is adopted.
Haerepo Trust Submitter ID: 72661	PC1-7386	Not stated	AMEND Farm Environment Plan provisions in PPC1, to include an industry wide capability assessment for completing Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to demonstrate how Farm Environment Plans are to be constructed and how the gains are to be quantified AND CLARIFY how monitoring of Farm Environment Plans will be undertaken and who will pay.
Hahn, Jacqueline Marie Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11473	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that is within Council's powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Hale, Timothy John Submitter ID: 72688	PC1-1611	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1, Farm Environment Plan requirements to align with nationally recommended stock exclusion requirements and mitigation requirements should be on a farm basis to manage critical source areas AND AMEND to focus on reducing impacts from intensive practices rather than penalising extensive operations further AND RETAIN Farm Environment Plan submission timeframes and AMEND to provide for implementation timeframes to be agreed between Waikato Regional Council and landowners depending on likelihood of measureable improvements and with consideration to financial impacts AND AMEND to ensure each Farm Environment Plan aligns to achieve sub-catchment objectives.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Hamilton, Jean Submitter ID: 73694	PC1-8368	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to enable landowners to produce part of the Farm Environment Plan AND CLARIFY who will be acceptable to produce Farm Environment Plans AND CLARIFY the definition of certified personnel.
Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5789	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 by replacing reliance on Farm Environment Plans with a Best Practicable Options approach to be implemented immediately. OR AMEND, if Farm Environment Plans are retained, by expanding Schedule 1 to include specific and straight forward actions that are known to be viable and reduce contaminant loss.
Hannon, Richard Garland Submitter ID: 71445	PC1-8240	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1.
Hansen, Michael Submitter ID: 73151	PC1-10358	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 Farm Environment Plan requirements to align the thresholds for mandatory stock exclusion to nationally recommended standards (Clean Water Report February 2017), so that stock exclusion only applies up to a slope of 15 degrees for deer and cattle and only applies to waterbodies 1 metre or wider for deer and cattle on land between 3 and 15 degrees slope AND AMEND the Farm Environment Plan requirements so that stock exclusion fencing is required above the 15 degree threshold for intensive farming operations (>18su/ha) such as winter cropping and strip grazing of dairy cows on hill country AND REPLACE the [Farm Environment Plan and relevant provisions] input standards (such as riparian setbacks and limitations on cultivation) with mitigations set on a farm by farm basis and focused on management of critical source areas AND AMEND to focus the rules on reducing impacts from intensive agriculture >18su/ha rather than on extensive agriculture AND AMEND so that Farm Environment Plans are produced by the landowner with Council guidance and support AND DELETE Schedule 1(A)(5)(a) and enable flexibility in nitrogen leaching from hill country sheep and beef farming, and land uses which are low impact (at or below 20kgN/ha/yr) or apply natural capital allocation AND REMOVE the timeframes, and instead set them through consultation with the farmer taking into account their financial constraints and the sensitivity of the waterbody to any impact.
Hansen, Robin Arthur and Gillian Joy Submitter ID: 73275	PC1-7211	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 provisions to allow a greater time span to comply, AND AMEND so farmers can do more themselves to produce a Farm Environment Plan.
Hansen, Robin Arthur and Gillian Joy Submitter ID: 73275	PC1-12422	Oppose the provision	Schedule 1 - DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point provisions.
Hansen, Robin Arthur and Gillian Joy Submitter ID: 73275	PC1-12423	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so that it is effects based, allowing the use of better parts of the farm more intensively.
Harding, Malcolm Garland Submitter ID: 73451	PC1-11291	Support the provision	RETAIN the Farm Environment Plans of Schedule 1 AND AMEND to revise Farm Environment Plans at 10 yearly intervals, or when there is a change in ownership.
Harre, Raymond and Janet Submitter ID: 73708	PC1-2712	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for tailored farm plans taking into account soil types, stocking rates, Nitrogen Reference Points, contour and sub-catchment areas. AND AMEND to provide guidelines for stocking rates based on land contours.
Harris, Mark Beaven Submitter ID: 72017	PC1-12424	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule 1 5 a) and Schedule 1 5 b).

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Harris, Mark Beaven Submitter ID: 72017	PC1-6363	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 2. b. ii to read: "(ii) Where practicable the provision of minimum grazing setbacks from water bodies for stock exclusion of 1 metre for land with a slope of less than 15° of and 3 metres for land with a slope between 15° and 25 °; and" AND AMEND Schedule 1 2 (b) (iii) to read: "(iii) The provision of minimum cultivation setbacks of 5 2 metres." AND AMEND Schedule 2 (d) (v) to read: "(d) An assessment of appropriate land use (iv) the appropriate location and management of winter forage crops; and (v) suitable management practices for strip grazing." AND AMEND Schedule 1 2 (f) (i) to read "(i) The identification of slopes over 15° and how cultivation on them will be avoided; unless contaminant discharges to water bodies from that cultivation can be avoided; and..." AND AMEND Schedule 1 2 (f) (ii) to read: "(ii) How the adverse effects of cultivation on slopes of less than 15° will be mitigated through appropriate erosion and sediment controls for each paddock that will be cultivated including by: Document the typical cropping and re-grassing practices used on your farm. Describe the different practices used on the farm as required to manage slope, soil type and grazing/harvest management. This should cover:" AND AMEND Schedule 1 2 (f) (ii) (d) to read: "(d) maintaining appropriate buffers between cultivated areas and water bodies (minimum 5 metre2 metre setback)." AND AMEND Schedule 1 2 (f) (ii) (e) and (f). Renumber to have own section within 2.
Harris, Peter John Submitter ID: 72425	PC1-3910	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1 and the requirement for Farm Environment Plans. OR DELETE Schedule 1 (A) (5). AND ENCOURAGE industry groups to promote best practice farming.
Hart, John Henry and Susan Graham Submitter ID: 71246	PC1-563	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to replace the Nitrogen Reference Point with an effects-based approach.
Hart, John Henry and Susan Graham Submitter ID: 71246	PC1-12426	Oppose the provision	Schedule 1 - AMEND to remove the need for Certified Farm Environment Planners.
Hart, Patrick Submitter ID: 73519	PC1-8232	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Harvey, Brett and Amanda Submitter ID: 71192	PC1-237	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1.
Hathaway, Bruce Submitter ID: 73868	PC1-3802	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Hathaway, John Submitter ID: 71390	PC1-11307	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Hawkes, Irwin Lawrence and Yvonne Jean Submitter ID: 73890	PC1-4949	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to change the years 2014/15 and 2015/16 or the Nitrogen Reference Point to a 5 year rolling average. AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure the Nitrogen Reference Point is more flexible to cover variations in weather conditions and seasonal challenges AND AMEND to allow the use of modified grass varieties and stock feeds that are proven to lower emissions and nitrogen levels.
Hawkes, Irwin Lawrence and Yvonne Jean Submitter ID: 73890	PC1-12427	Support the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - AMEND Farm Environment Plans to focus on addressing the actual risk, targeting critical source areas. AND AMEND to ensure OVERSEER is a more dependable reference and provides more clarity in the way it is managed. AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure Farm Environment Plans are written to allow flexibility such as Nitrogen discharges and application of management practices such as appropriate good management practices at point in time. AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans are tailored to the individual property and focus and critical source management rather than applying blanket regulatory standards. AND AMEND to provide for an independent panel to be available to allow contested points between staff and farmers as Farm Environment Plans should be able to be settled without the expensive need to appeal to the Environment Court.
Hawkes, Irwin Lawrence and Yvonne Jean Submitter ID: 73890	PC1-12428	Support the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - REMOVE the 25 degree slope provision.
Henderson, David and Sue Submitter ID: 72613	PC1-8646	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to give subsidies for fencing and planting up drains AND AMEND to allow winter forage crops to be grazed in situ.
Henderson, David and Sue Submitter ID: 72613	PC1-12429	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to have Farm Environment Plans cover a maximum of 10 years, but with the flexibility to be reviewed more often if circumstances change.
Henderson, Neville James Submitter ID: 72016	PC1-7434	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to require a smaller setback margin.
Hickey, Kevin Patrick Submitter ID: 73947	PC1-3205	Oppose the provision	No specific relief sought for Schedule 1, however provisions should be realistic, sensible, apply credible science and cost effective.
Hicks, A D and R L Submitter ID: 72387	PC1-8412	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to allow farmers to write their own Farm Environment Plan.
Hill Country Farmers Group Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-7932	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 stock exclusion requirement to align with the Align National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management. AND AMEND to provide clear and consistent guidance on stock crossing requirements and slope interpretation for mandatory fencing. AND REMOVE from PPC1 the requirement for fencing to 25 degrees AND REPLACE with mitigation actions to target critical source areas above 15 degrees.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND Schedule 1 to read "...A Farm Environment Plan shall be prepared in accordance with the requirements of A below. The Farm Environment Plan shall be certified as meeting the requirements of A by a Certified Farm Environment Planner <u>or an approved landowner</u></p> <p>2. An assessment of the risk ... ; and (ii) for areas with a slope exceeding 25 and where stream fencing is impracticable, the provision of alternative mitigation measures.</p> <p>(b) A description of setbacks and riparian management, including: (i) The management of water body margins including how damage to the bed and margins of water bodies, and the direct input of contaminants will be avoided, and how riparian margin settling and filtering will be provided for; and (ii) Where practicable the provision of minimum grazing setbacks from water bodies for stock exclusion of 1 metre for land with a slope of less than 15° and 3 metres for land between 15 °and 15° <u>where break feeding occurs</u>; and (iii) The provision of minimum cultivation setbacks of 5 metres <u>unless effects of diffuse discharges can be mitigated.</u> ...</p> <p>(e) A description of nutrient management practices including a nutrient budget for the farm enterprise calculated using the model OVERSEER® in accordance with the OVERSEER® use protocols, or using any other model or method approved by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council.</p> <p>(f) A description of cultivation management, including:...</p> <p>(i) The identification of slopes over ... that cultivation can be avoided <u>mitigated</u>; and</p> <p>3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows: (a) The boundaries of the property; and (b) The locations of the main land uses that occur on the property; and (c) The locations of existing and future mitigation actions to manage contaminant diffuse discharges; and (d) Any relevant internal property boundaries that relate to risks and mitigation actions described in this plan; and (e) The location of continually flowing rivers, streams, and drains <u>that exceed 1m wide and 30cm deep on average</u> and permanent lakes, ponds and wetlands; and (f) The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies; and (g) The location of critical source areas for contaminants, as identified in 2 (c) above....</p> <p>5. A description of the following: (a) Actions, timeframes and other measures to ensure that the diffuse discharge of nitrogen from the property or enterprise, as measured by the five year rolling average annual nitrogen loss as determined by the use of the current version of OVERSEER®, does not increase beyond the property or enterprise's Nitrogen Reference Point, unless other suitable mitigations are specified; or (b) Where the Nitrogen Reference Point exceeds the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value, actions, timeframes and other measures to ensure the diffuse discharge of nitrogen is reduced so that it does not exceed the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value by 1 July 2026, except in the case of Rule 3.11.5.5."</p>
<p>H N Kloeten Ltd Submitter ID: 72664</p>	<p>PC1-7920</p>	<p>Oppose the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to CLARIFY who is responsible for riparian corridors AND research how weed species should be managed and the impact of these proposed management practices on water quality.</p>
<p>Homestead Oaks Ltd Submitter ID: 73718</p>	<p>PC1-7279</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to define how slope will be measured in PPC1 AND DELETE fencing requirement for slopes over 15 degrees AND AMEND cultivation limits in Schedule 1 so at risk areas are identified in Farm Environment Plans with appropriate setbacks identified which take into account factors such as slope, depth of cultivation, soil porosity, and fertiliser inputs</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND DELETE the 5 metre setback in Schedule 1.
Hooker, Geoff C Submitter ID: 73517	PC1-9570	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to establish a regular review programme for Farm Environment Plans.
Hooker, Peter George Submitter ID: 73975	PC1-4217	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1 (2)(f)(i) AND AMEND Schedule 1 (2)(f)(ii) to read "How the adverse effects of cultivation on slopes of less than 15 degrees will be mitigated through appropriate erosion and sediment controls for each paddock that will be cultivated including by..." AND DELETE Schedule 1 (2)(f)(ii) clauses (e) and (f) AND AMEND Schedule 1 (2)(b)(iii) to read: "The provision of minimum cultivation setbacks of 5 metres <u>is designed to mitigate the environmental risk of contaminant losses.</u> " AND AMEND Schedule 1 (2)(f)(ii)(d) to read: "maintaining appropriate buffers between cultivated areas and water bodies (minimum 5m setback)."
Hooker, Peter George Submitter ID: 73975	PC1-12430	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1 (2)(f)(i) AND AMEND Schedule 1 (2)(f)(ii) to read "How the adverse effects of cultivation on slopes of less than 15 degrees will be mitigated through appropriate erosion and sediment controls for each paddock that will be cultivated including by..." AND DELETE Schedule 1 (2)(f)(ii) clauses (e) and (f) AND AMEND Schedule 1 (2)(b)(iii) to read: "The provision of minimum cultivation setbacks of 5 metres <u>is designed to mitigate the environmental risk of contaminant losses.</u> " AND AMEND Schedule 1 (2)(f)(ii)(d) to read: "maintaining appropriate buffers between cultivated areas and water bodies (minimum 5m setback)."
Horner, Bruce (EB & JC Horner) Submitter ID: 71253	PC1-277	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that a farmer is responsible for developing their own Farm Environment Plan.
Horner, Bruce (EB & JC Horner) Submitter ID: 71253	PC1-12431	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 (2)(b)(iii) to read: "The provision of minimum cultivation setbacks of 5 metres <u>is designed to mitigate the environmental risk of contaminant losses.</u> " AND AMEND (2)(f) to read: "(f) A description of cultivation management, including: <u>How the adverse effects of cultivation will be mitigated through appropriate erosion and sediment controls for each paddock that will be cultivated, including by:</u> (i) the identification of slopes over 15 degrees and how cultivation on them is avoided; unless contaminant discharges to water bodies from that cultivation can be avoided; and (ii) How the adverse effects of cultivation on slopes of less than 15 degrees will be mitigated through appropriate erosion and sediment controls for each paddock that will be cultivated including by:- (a) assessing where overland flows enters... (b) identifying appropriate measures to divert... (c) identifying measures to trap... (d) maintaining appropriate buffers between cultivated areas and water bodies (minimum 5m setback)." AND AMEND to re-number (f)(e) and (f) as they do not apply to the risks associated with cultivation.
Horsley, Cam, Bridget, Rob and Tennille Submitter ID: 73412	PC1-12432	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that the timeframe for the development of a Farm Environment Plan is extended.
Horsley, Cam, Bridget, Rob and Tennille Submitter ID: 73412	PC1-12433	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions of Schedule 1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Horsley, Cam, Bridget, Rob and Tennille Submitter ID: 73412	PC1-12434	Oppose the provision	AMEND and RENOTIFY PPC1 when there are clear indications of what land use is required on the submitters farm including any consequential amendments.
Horsley, Cam, Bridget, Rob and Tennille Submitter ID: 73412	PC1-6041	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp including any consequential amendments.
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10215	Support the provision with amendments	<p>ADD a NEW Schedule 1C to provide for sub-catchment scale solutions AND ADD a NEW Schedule 1C to read as follows:</p> <p><u>"Schedule 1C - Requirements for a sub-catchment scale management plan applying to Rule 3.11.5.X iv - Restricted Discretionary Activity Rule - The management of contaminants from farming activities by a catchment collective. A sub-catchment scale management plan (SSMP) shall be prepared in accordance with the requirements below.</u></p> <p><u>1) The (SSMP) must be approved by the Regional Council Chief Executive before an application under Rule 3.11.5.X can be granted by the Council.</u></p> <p><u>2) The SSMP must meet or exceed the expected reduction in discharges to freshwater that would be achieved through completing and implementing a farm or enterprise scale farm environment plan in accordance with Schedule 1 and Schedule 1b. The achievement in reduction of discharges must be comparable when considered over all of the properties and enterprises managed by the SSMP.</u></p> <p><u>3) The SSMP must be the responsibility of a legal entity that is accountable for achieving compliance with the conditions of a resource consent issued under Rule 3.11.5.X.</u></p> <p><u>4) The SSMP must be supported by a decision support tool that is able to be utilised as the accounting framework for the relevant sub-catchment. The decision support tool must:</u></p> <p><u>a) Calibrate discharges and hydrological flows to observed monitoring sites within the catchment. The calibration must achieve at least achieve a 'Satisfactory' criteria for a daily model with NSE - 0.6, % bias- +/- 25% and the decision support tool must be capable of continuous upgrade and improvement.</u></p> <p><u>b) Be capable of integrating with other sub-catchment, freshwater management unit and catchment scale accounting systems.</u></p> <p><u>c) Be able to measure mitigations for microbial, sediment, nitrogen and phosphorus discharges at all scales within the domain of the decision support tool to a standard approved by peer review agent approved by the Chief Executive of the Regional Council.</u></p> <p><u>d) Be made available to the Council for use in assessing compliance with the load limit targets for the relevant sub-catchment listed in Schedule 1C Table XX.</u></p> <p><u>5) The SSMP must clearly identify how any specified consent condition will be complied with.</u></p> <p><u>6) The SSMP shall contain as a minimum:</u></p> <p><u>a) The name of the legal entity registered with the Waikato Regional Council. Information provided by the Council from registration between 1 Sep 2018 and 31 March 2019.</u></p> <p><u>b) A legal description of all properties and enterprises the legal entity described in Schedule 1C 3) above have legal authority to act on behalf of.</u></p> <p><u>c) A description of the nature of enterprises, farms and properties and the domain of the SSMP.</u></p> <p><u>d) An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge of sediment, nitrogen, phosphorus and microbial pathogens associated with the activities within the SSMP domain, and the priority of those identified risks, having regard to sub-catchment</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p><u>load targets in Schedule 1C Table XX below.</u> <u>e) A schedule of approved mitigation actions and target completion dates."</u> AND ADD Schedule 1C Table XX referred to in the submission.</p>
<p>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801</p>	<p>PC1-12435</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to split commercial vegetable cropping farm plans into a new Schedule 1B AND DELETE the vegetable growing minimum standards from Schedule 1 AND ADD a NEW Schedule 1B to read as follows: <u>"Schedule 1B - Requirements for Farm Environment Plans for commercial vegetable production enterprises</u> <u>1. A Farm Environment Plan shall be prepared in accordance with the requirements of A below. The Farm Environment Plan shall be certified as meeting the requirements of A by a Certified Farm Environment Planner (commercial vegetable production).</u> <u>2. The construction of a farm plan does not require duplication of material within existing farm environment plans that are considered sufficient for purpose by a Certified Farm Environment Planner (commercial vegetable production).</u> <u>3. Farm plans are not required to duplicate material provided to Waikato Regional Council for the purpose of complying with other rules in the plan.</u> <u>4. Farm Plans will not be incorporated into consent conditions as a whole; but matters of control or discretion will include relevant actions committed to by the consent holder.</u> <u>5. The Farm Environment Plan shall identify key risk areas for the discharge of sediment, nitrogen, phosphorus and microbial pathogens, and identify actions, and timeframes for those actions to be completed, in order to reduce the diffuse discharges of these contaminants where practicable.</u> The Farm Environment Plan must clearly identify how any specified consent condition will be complied with. A Farm Environment Plans shall contain as a minimum: <u>1. The name of the legal entity registered with the Waikato Regional Council.</u> <u>2. Information provided by the Council from registration between 1 Sep 2018 and 31 March 2019.</u> <u>3. A description of the enterprise, detailing the general rotational cropping system, properties owned, leased and otherwise farmed on over time. This will include the legal description for each parcel of land.</u> <u>4. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge of sediment, nitrogen, phosphorus and microbial pathogens associated with the farming activities on the property, and the priority of those identified risks, having regard to sub-catchment targets in Table 3.11-1 and the priority of lakes within the sub-catchment. As a minimum, the risk assessment shall include:</u> <u>a. A risk assessment for nutrient discharges that is approved by a Certified Farm Environment Planner (commercial vegetable crops). The risk assessment should be equivalent to the process outlined in Section 4 of the Horticulture New Zealand Code of Practice for Nutrient Management Version 1.0 August 2014.</u> <u>b. A risk assessment for soil conservation purposes, that is approved by a Certified Farm Environment Planner (commercial vegetable crops). The risk assessment should be equivalent to the process outlined in Section 1 of the Horticulture New Zealand Erosion & Sediment Control Guidelines for Vegetable Production Version 1.1 June 2014.</u> <u>c. If manures are used, undertake a microbiological discharge risk assessment.</u> <u>5. If stock are present on land managed within the enterprise, provisions of Schedule 1 relating to the farming of animals apply. If stock are present a risk assessment for stock related discharges must be undertaken .</u> <u>6. A schedule of mitigation actions and target completion dates derived from the risk assessments undertaken in 4 and 5 above.</u> <u>7. Vegetable Growing Minimum Standards</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p><u>Farm environment plans required under Rule 3.11.5.5, 3.11.5.6b, or 3.11.5.X shall, in addition to the matters set out above, ensure the following matters are addressed."</u> AND INCLUDE the table for Vegetable Growing Minimum Standards as laid out in the submission.</p>
<p>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801</p>	<p>PC1-12436</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A Farm Environment Plan shall be prepared in accordance with the requirements of A below. The Farm Environment Plan shall be certified as meeting the requirements of A by a Certified Farm Environment Planner. The Farm Environment Plan shall identify all sources of sediment, nitrogen, phosphorus and microbial pathogens, and identify actions, and timeframes for those actions to be completed, in order to reduce the diffuse discharges of these contaminants. The Farm Environment Plan must clearly identify how specified minimum standards will be complied with. The requirements set out in A apply to all Farm Environment Plans, including those prepared within a Certified Industry Scheme. <u>A separate schedule has been prepared for commercial vegetable cropping systems and plans prepared by catchment collectives.</u> This Schedule 1 applies to all farming activities <u>other than commercial vegetable cropping systems</u>, but it is acknowledged that some provisions will not be relevant to every farming activity. ... 2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge of sediment, nitrogen, phosphorus and microbial pathogens associated with the farming activities on the property, and the priority of those identified risks, having regard to sub-catchment targets in Table 3.11-1 and the priority of lakes within the sub-catchment. As a minimum, the risk assessment shall include (where relevant to the particular land use): ... (b) A description of setbacks and riparian management, including: ... (iii). The provision of minimum cultivation setbacks of 5 metres <u>and/or any other practicable measures considered necessary in an erosion and sediment control plan.</u> (c) A description of the critical source areas from which sediment, nitrogen, phosphorus and microbial pathogens are lost, including: (i) the identification of intermittent waterways, overland flow paths, <u>cultivated land</u> and areas prone to flooding and ponding, and an assessment of opportunities to minimise losses from these areas through appropriate stocking policy, stock exclusion and/or measures to detain floodwaters and settle out or otherwise remove sediment, nitrogen, phosphorus and microbial pathogens (e.g. detention bunds, sediment traps, natural and constructed wetlands); and ... (iii) an assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge of sediment, nitrogen, phosphorus and microbial pathogens from <u>cultivated land</u>, tracks and races and livestock crossing structures to waterways, and the identification of appropriate measures to minimise these discharges (e.g. cut-off drains, and shaping); and ... 3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows: (a) The boundaries of the property; and (b) The locations of the main relevant land uses <u>activities</u> that occur on the property; ... 5. A description of the following: (b) Where the Nitrogen Reference Point exceeds the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value, actions, timeframes and other measures to ensure the diffuse discharge of nitrogen is reduced so that it does not exceed the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value by 1 July 2026, <u>except in the case of Rule 3.11.5.5."</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Howlett, Roger and Gloria Dawn Submitter ID: 72483	PC1-9918	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for Schedule 1.
Huirimu Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-12437	Support the provision with amendments	Farm Environment Plans AMEND Schedule 1 so that Farm Environment Plans become the most important method of ensuring a farms compliance.
Huirimu Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-12438	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 by removing Clause 5 AND AMEND Schedule 1 by ensuring that every sub-catchment documents the most important issues with their catchment AND AMEND Schedule 1 by ensuring farmers within each sub-catchment develop their plans following the sub-catchment issues.
Huirimu Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-6082	Support the provision with amendments	Stock Exclusion AMEND Schedule 1 to take into account the different requirements and different grazing patterns of each farm. AND REMOVE the fencing requirement of land over 15 degrees. AND AMEND to make the setback consistent between Rule 3.11.5.2, Schedule C and Schedule 1.
Hunter, Paul John Submitter ID: 72014	PC1-7458	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to allow the requirements of Schedule A to be met by a certified Farm Environment Plan AND AMEND so that farmers can develop their own Farm Environment Plans, either on their own or as participants in workshops, with plan certification through review by a Certified Farm Environment Planner, with the review including a farm visit and an assessment of the identified risks and mitigations.
Hunter, Paul John Submitter ID: 72014	PC1-12439	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule 1 (2)(f)(i). AND AMEND (2)(f) to read: " <u>A description of cultivation management, including how the adverse effects of cultivation will be mitigated through appropriate erosion and sediment controls for each paddock that will be cultivated including by:</u> " AND DELETE Schedule 1 (2)(f)(ii)(e) and (f) from this cultivation clause and insert elsewhere. AMEND Schedule 1 (2)(b)(iii) to read: " <u>The provision of minimum cultivation setbacks of 5 metres is designed to mitigate the environmental risk of contaminant losses.</u> " AND AMEND Schedule 1 (2)(f)(ii)(d) to read: " <u>maintaining appropriate buffers between cultivated areas and water bodies (minimum 5m setback)</u> ".
Hurley, Carl Submitter ID: 71347	PC1-5877	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 OR PUT ON HOLD PPC1 until withdrawn area is incorporated AND AMEND PPC1 so it only applies to small local areas where there is a noticeable problem.
Hurley, Peter James Submitter ID: 71391	PC1-1119	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Stock Exclusion: (Schedule 1) Farm Environment Plans to focus on addressing actual risk, targeting critical source areas rather than requiring blanket stock exclusion through permanent fencing. AND DELETE provisions that relate to excluding cattle from water bodies through permanent fencing in their entirety. OR, if not deleted in their entirety AMEND so that the requirement to exclude cattle through permanent fencing is tailored on a farm by farm basis where there is a proven water quality issue in relation to stock access to water bodies. Allow flexibility to provide for alternative approaches to achieve the same outcome. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Hurley, Peter James Submitter ID: 71391	PC1-12440	Oppose the provision with amendments	Farm Environment Plans: AMEND to require Farm Environment Plans only in sub-catchments where science indicates that improvements are required.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND Farm Environment Plans to allow flexible such as with nitrogen discharges and the application of good management practices. Farm Environment Plans should be tailored to the individual property and focus on critical source management rather than a blanket approach. AND ADD provision for an independent panel that decides on points of contention between farmers and staff. Therefore Farm Environment Plans can be settled without the expense of an Environment Court appeal process. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
IB and IB Fyers Submitter ID: 73210	PC1-8995	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1. OR AMEND Schedule 1 so that the length of the resource consent is long enough to ensure the financial costs of complying can be spread over a sustainable period of time to provide certainty for the capital investment required and to maintain land values through the confidence that the farming activity is able to continue long term. AND AMEND to determine the need for resource consents and the cost they will incur before putting this forward to farmers.
IB and IB Fyers Submitter ID: 73210	PC1-12441	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to set a stock rate limit to reduce the intensity of stocking around waterways or reduce the angle of fencing as the current 25 degrees.
J A Dekker Ltd Submitter ID: 73423	PC1-10074	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Jeffries, Gary and Joy Submitter ID: 71214	PC1-8135	Oppose the provision	Conversion from Farming to Forestry AMEND Schedule 1 to plan for the next 80 years, not 10. AND AMEND to a science/scientifically based approach. AND AMEND by providing realistic goals.
Jeffries, Gary and Joy Submitter ID: 71214	PC1-12442	Oppose the provision with amendments	Farm Environment Plans AMEND Schedule 1 by providing LEP's with good farm management. AND AMEND to allow community buying into Wetland/Riparian areas.
Jellie, Hugh Submitter ID: 73318	PC1-6163	Oppose the provision	Schedule 1: Undertake research to validate the predictions made by the OVERSEER Model before it is relied upon as the basis of PPC1/Schedule 1.
Johns, Brian and Paulette Submitter ID: 72756	PC1-7372	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as per Federated Farmers submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Johnson, Richard Allen and Elizabeth Anne Submitter ID: 72728	PC1-11481	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that is within Council's powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Johnstone, Allen and Jo Submitter ID: 73901	PC1-3589	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Johnstone, Roger Kenneth Submitter ID: 73614	PC1-7894	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for Farm Environment Plans to focus on addressing actual and reasonable risk.
Jolly, Andrew Submitter ID: 71349	PC1-970	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that the recommended timeframes are deleted and set through the Farm Environment Plans.
Jolly, Andrew Submitter ID: 71349	PC1-12443	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to reflect the following principles: like land should be treated the same; flexible use of land; the feasibility, simplicity and understandability of the allocation system and; the natural capital of soils should be the primary consideration when establishing an allocation mechanism for nutrient loss; appropriate timeframes must be set AND MAKE any consequential amendments. Schedule 1: Release and consult on an implementation plan. AND any consequential amendments arising.
Jolly, Andrew Submitter ID: 71349	PC1-12444	Oppose the provision	Schedule 1: DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point/Schedule B from PPC1 in its entirety, or alternatively treat like land equally within each sub-catchment and to use Farm Environment Plans to determine what a reference point should be. This should be backed up by science and modelling.
Jolly, Andrew Submitter ID: 71349	PC1-12445	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure stock exclusion standards reflect the February 2017 nationally recommended standards.
Juno, Anne and Allen Submitter ID: 71200	PC1-814	Support the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 so that funding from Waikato Regional Council is provided.
Keeling, Peter Submitter ID: 73765	PC1-5505	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to a stepped approach which identifies high risk areas and considers the amount of detail accordingly AND AMEND to enable farmers to prepare their own Farm Environment Plans and utilise a stepped approach.
Keighley, Albie John Hirst Submitter ID: 73944	PC1-5113	Oppose the provision	No specific relief sought for Schedule 1.
Kelton, Simon Douglas and Adrienne Judith Submitter ID: 73042	PC1-7855	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for farm owners to be able to develop Farm Environment Plans themselves and that they be subject to audit.
Kelton, Simon Douglas and Adrienne Judith Submitter ID: 73042	PC1-12446	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to change the rules for stock exclusion to the National Water Accord. AND AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for a longer timeframe to achieve stock exclusion provisions. AND AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for flexibility with respect to distance fences are set back from waterbodies in accordance with individual Farm Environment Plans.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Kent and Gilbert, Elliot and Heather Submitter ID: 72891	PC1-12447	Support the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - WITHDRAW AND NOTIFY once the scientific data around which contaminants are causing water quality decline is available for each sub-catchment.
Kent and Gilbert, Elliot and Heather Submitter ID: 72891	PC1-6217	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 Farm Environment Plan timeframes to enable compliance. AND AMEND so that Farm Environment Plans should allow for mitigation against contaminants.
Kidd, Peter Arthur and Marilyn May Submitter ID: 72710	PC1-5722	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 provisions relating to Farm Environment Plans to provide certainty around what will be required.
Kiely, Stephen Arthur Submitter ID: 73498	PC1-8616	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1927	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to reduce the level of detail and complexity.
Kjestrup, Michael Bruce Submitter ID: 71692	PC1-6963	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1.
Kjestrup, Stephen Bruce and Victoria Ann Submitter ID: 73918	PC1-5227	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1 in its entirety.
Koch Farms Limited Submitter ID: 73778	PC1-4086	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to only apply to farms with high nitrogen and phosphorus use, sediment movement across the farm and runoff of Microbial pathogens.
Koster and Birdsall, Linda Jannet and Anthony Mackenzie Submitter ID: 72707	PC1-6604	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that properties only require resource consent if their Farm Environment Plan shows improvements are needed to their discharge levels.
Lacewood Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 72589	PC1-8980	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions so they are flexible and developed on a farm-by-farm/catchment area basis.
Langlands, Neil Submitter ID: 74128	PC1-8388	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide a more realistic tailored, balanced, and case by case approach to Farm Environment Plans.
Laurich, David Anthony and Valda Joy Benner Submitter ID: 60681	PC1-7975	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Laurich, Peter Submitter ID: 73338	PC1-8691	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Lea, Helen Submitter ID: 73363	PC1-9240	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 provisions relating to the Nitrogen Reference Point to provide for rules based on land classification, natural capital, tools such as the OVERSEER Model and mitigation measures to manage the land to comply with PPC1.
Lee, Malcolm and Sally Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-8872	Oppose the provision	DELETE provisions relating to the Nitrogen Reference Point from Schedule 1 in its entirety. If not deleted then AMEND to provide for a Land Use Capability Approach OR AMEND to provide for a sub-catchment approach to allow catchments to monitor its own land use and nitrogen loading to maintain levels at current levels AND AMEND to provide for water quality improvements required by farmers, be linked to sub-catchments to link the effects caused by farmers either individually or collectively and consider the impacts out of farmers control.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Lee, Malcolm and Sally Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-12448	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to a sub-catchment approach with communities working together AND RENOTIFY and allow submissions on this sub-catchment approach AND AMEND to provide for the adjustment to PPC1 to contain rules for the elimination of Koi Carp and ensure the cost of this is not put onto farmers AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Lee, Malcolm and Sally Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-12449	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure Farm Environment Plans are not bound to title and if they are bound to title to ensure that personal goals are not included AND AMEND to provide for a template to allow farmers to work and prepare their own Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to focus on mitigation rather than rules AND AMEND to reconsider the level of impact on smaller areas of adverse events OR AMEND to provide for more flexibility in Farm Environment Plans for small local adverse events.
Leigh Family Submitter ID: 73352	PC1-8785	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 such that the requirement to produce a Farm Environment Plan only applies where suitable scientific data has been gathered and targets have been included in Table 3.11-1.
Leineweber, Jonathan William Submitter ID: 74149	PC1-9201	Oppose the provision	AMEND to review PPC1 Farm Environment Plan requirements, after better research about their benefits and the process for their construction.
Leslie, David Wayne Submitter ID: 67807	PC1-6445	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Leslie, Paul Submitter ID: 74086	PC1-3789	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Leveson and Gower, Alexander and Vicki Submitter ID: 71353	PC1-1855	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Lichtwark, Quintin Owen Submitter ID: 72535	PC1-1871	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for an approach that uses a sub-catchment average of the Nitrogen Reference Point.
Liefting, John Submitter ID: 72753	PC1-11439	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that is within Council's powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Litchfield, John Submitter ID: 74112	PC1-4847	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so Farm Environment plans are tailored to a specific region. AND ENSURE Farm Environment Planners have farming experience and practical knowledge. AND ADOPT management boards made up of local farmers to act as intermediaries between Council and farmers.
Livingston, Adrienne Submitter ID: 74041	PC1-8600	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 as requested in the Waikato Environment Centre submission
Lloyd, Matt Submitter ID: 74074	PC1-10081	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Loft, Patricia Submitter ID: 73495	PC1-9844	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide robust training for consultants who are preparing the Farm Environment Plans. AND AMEND to include robust monitoring and revision mechanisms. AND AMEND so priorities in Farm Environment Plan for the most needed changes.
Lumsden, Malcolm John Submitter ID: 73454	PC1-2500	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1 Part 4 and 2(c)(i).
Lumsden, Malcolm John Submitter ID: 73454	PC1-12450	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule 1 Part 2(a), (b) and (d) AND AMEND to change 2(c) (iv) to read: " <u>Manage areas where effluent accumulates to ensure effluent does not contaminate surface or groundwater.</u> " AND DELETE 2(c)(iii) and REPLACE with a general farm management requirement to manage runoff of effluent from stock handling facilities.
Lumsden, Malcolm John Submitter ID: 73454	PC1-12451	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 Part 5 to deal with Olsen Phosphate rather than Nitrogen.
Lumsden, Malcolm John Submitter ID: 73454	PC1-12452	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 as follows: RETAIN Part 1 (a),(b), (c)(ii) and (e), (d) and (f) only AND AMEND the extent of what is required to be in the Farm Environment Plan along with a time length.
MacDonald, Deborah Submitter ID: 73431	PC1-2421	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Macdonald, Hamish Stuart Submitter ID: 71433	PC1-2826	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to use a natural capital approach of what the land can actually handle, OR use a sub-catchment approach and work within communities, OR combine a natural capital approach and a sub-catchment approach.
Macdonald, Hamish Stuart Submitter ID: 71433	PC1-12453	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for individual plans through Farm Environment Plans for each farm where the combination of fencing waterways or other mitigation measures are taken into consideration, to work out a balance that benefits both the environment and the farmer. AND AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure Farm Environment Plans are used to see what has been done already and to recognise that and to see what is planned in the future.
Macdonald, Hamish Stuart Submitter ID: 71433	PC1-12454	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to use more precise tests to work out where the pathogens are originating from, cattle, sheep, birds or humans etc.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
MacLachlan, Ian Gibson and Susan Molly Submitter ID: 71243	PC1-325	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to use Farm Environment Plans (focussed on mitigating contaminations), the National Water Accord rules and sub-catchment consultation and communication.
Macnab, Rob and Tina Submitter ID: 74150	PC1-8383	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that Farm Environment Plans are only required when 50% of the farm is in the catchment.
Mandeno, Mark Submitter ID: 72718	PC1-5263	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1.
Mangakotukutuku Stream Care Group Incorporated Submitter ID: 72412	PC1-4471	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to protect remaining wetlands and gully seeps and create new incentives to encourage the creation or reinstatement of wetland areas. AND RETAIN Schedule 1
Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9366	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plan shall contain... 1.c. A list of land parcels which... i. the physical street address and ownership of each parcel of land (if different from the person responsible for the property or enterprise) and any relevant farm identifiers such as the dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference; and ... iii. <u>The relevant identifiers such as the rapid number, dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference.</u> 2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge.. farming activities on the property <u>or enterprise</u> , and the priority of... a. A description of where and how... i. the <u>location and</u> provision of fencing and livestock... ii. for areas with a slope... is impracticable, the <u>location and</u> provision of alternative... c. A description of the critical source areas... i. the identification of intermittent waterways, <u>wetlands</u> , overland flow paths... to minimise losses from <u>to</u> these areas through... e. A description of nutrient management practices... i. a nutrient budget...Waikato Regional Council; <u>and</u> <u>ii. an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences.</u> f. A description of cultivation management... d. <u>Establishing and</u> maintaining appropriate buffers... 3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows: a. The boundaries of the property <u>or enterprise (if different)</u> ; and... 4. A <u>detailed</u> description of the <u>following</u> : <u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other measures to reduce the diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be undertaken in response to the risks identified in the risk assessment in 2 above (having regard to their relative priority) as well as where the mandatory time-bound actions will be undertaken, and when and to what standard they will be completed.</u> 5. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following: a. <u>Mitigation</u> actions, timeframes and other... <u>6. A programme of works that sets out:</u> a. The timeframe for putting in place and implementing the mitigation actions identified in (4) and (5) including:

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p><u>i. Record of inspection by Waikato Regional Council staff or;</u> <u>ii. Record of inspection by Certified Industry Scheme staff; and</u> <u>iii. Record of audit by independent third party accredited auditor.</u> <u>7. A version control table that sets out the date of any amendment to the Farm Environment Plan and the content of the amendment to the Farm Environment Plan.</u> <u>8. A declaration from the Certified Farm Environment Planner confirming the best available and most accurate information was use for the promulgation and design of mitigation actions."</u></p>
Maraekowhai Ltd Submitter ID: 73776	PC1-9037	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure farmers will not be penalised if there is a lack of capacity or competency to provide the required expertise to prepare Farm Environment Plans. AMEND Schedule 1 to provide flexibility to grant time extensions if it becomes known that it will not be possible to meet specified Farm Environment Plan submission deadlines.</p>
Martelli, John Charles Submitter ID: 71694	PC1-6983	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1.
Martin, Peter Submitter ID: 73022	PC1-5806	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in its submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]</p>
Matahuru Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73768	PC1-12455	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND in Schedule 1 the threshold for mandatory stock exclusion fencing to the nationally recommended standard of 15 degree slopes AND AMEND to establish clear guidelines for what percentage (suggest 90 percent) of the length of stream section must be under 15 degrees slope to qualify for mandatory fencing AND AMEND so that fencing of stock from waterways is required above the 15 degrees slope threshold for intensive farming operations only (>18 stock units/hectare).</p>
Matahuru Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73768	PC1-6991	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 so that farmers can prepare their own Farm Environment Plan with Waikato Regional Council providing support, education and auditing AND AMEND so that mitigations are focused on critical source areas as identified in Farm Environment Plans.</p>
Matamata-Piako District Council Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3689	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND to provide evidence with respect to Schedule 1, that the section 32 evaluation confirms this is the preferred approach to adopt regarding the efficiency and effectiveness of this method and rule AND ENSURE collaboration with landowners, sector groups and communities to provide alternative practicable measures to achieve the same environmental outcomes AND AMEND to review the extent, complexity and information requirements for Farm Environment Plans to reduce potential consultancy, compliance and audit costs to the landowner and regulator AND AMEND to simplify the extent, complexity and information requirements AND AMEND to justify the current approach in terms of the effectiveness and efficiency tests under section 32.</p>
Matham Trust Submitter ID: 72837	PC1-5621	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Mathis, Mary-Ann Submitter ID: 72833	PC1-5698	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Matira Sub Catchment Group Submitter ID: 74148	PC1-9299	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1 in its entirety OR AMEND to provide a land use suitability and a sub-catchment method that would work better.
Maungatautari Marae Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11767	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plan shall contain... 1.c. A list of land parcels which... i. the physical street address and ownership of each parcel of land (if different from the person responsible for the property or enterprise) and any relevant farm identifiers such as the dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference; and ... iii. <u>The relevant identifiers such as the rapid number, dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference.</u> 2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge.. farming activities on the property <u>or enterprise</u> , and the priority of... a. A description of where and how... i. the <u>location and</u> provision of fencing and livestock... ii. for areas with a slope... is impracticable, the <u>location and</u> provision of alternative... c. A description of the critical source areas... i. the identification of intermittent waterways, <u>wetlands</u> , overland flow paths... to minimise losses from <u>to</u> these areas through... e. A description of nutrient management practices... i. a nutrient budget...Waikato Regional Council; <u>and</u> <u>ii. an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences.</u> f. A description of cultivation management... d. <u>Establishing and</u> maintaining appropriate buffers... 3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows: a. The boundaries of the property <u>or enterprise (if different)</u> ; and... 4. A <u>detailed</u> description of the <u>following</u> : <u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other measures to reduce the diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be undertaken in response to the risks identified in the risk assessment in 2 above (having regard to their relative priority) as well as where the mandatory time-bound actions will be undertaken, and when and to what standard they will be completed.</u> 5. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following: a. <u>Mitigation</u> actions, timeframes and other... <u>6. A programme of works that sets out:</u> a. The timeframe for putting in place and implementing the mitigation actions identified in (4) and (5) including:

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>i. Record of inspection by Waikato Regional Council staff or;</p> <p>ii. Record of inspection by Certified Industry Scheme staff; and</p> <p>iii. Record of audit by independent third party accredited auditor.</p> <p>7. A version control table that sets out the date of any amendment to the Farm Environment Plan and the content of the amendment to the Farm Environment Plan.</p> <p>8. A declaration from the Certified Farm Environment Planner confirming the best available and most accurate information was use for the promulgation and design of mitigation actions."</p>
Mayne, Anna Submitter ID: 72881	PC1-8993	Support the provision	ENSURE that Waikato Regional Council considers working collaboratively with Ngati Rangi O Te Oro and the holistic environmental accounting/monitoring tool that whanau/hapu have developed. Schedule 1.
McAlister, James and Maeve Submitter ID: 72921	PC1-5856	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1.
McCaughan, Lance Submitter ID: 73457	PC1-12456	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so smaller waterways are assessed for their ecological importance AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure crop dusting is done by land based means except for locations without large (wider than 0.5 metres in width) waterways.
McCaughan, Lance Submitter ID: 73457	PC1-7023	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure all farmers fence off waterways on their farm, with a setback distance of 5-20 metres where public use and planting of suitable plants will be encouraged and assisted AND ENSURE wetland plants are planted closest to the water, working back to shrubs and the native trees, ensuring there is a 1-2 metre gap between the fence and the nearest tree.
McClunie, Joseph and Margaret Submitter ID: 71175	PC1-65	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1 provision about Farm Environment Plans and consider that people pay regional rates over and above general rates for many years.
McClunie, Joseph and Margaret Submitter ID: 71175	PC1-12457	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to set aside the Nitrogen Reference Point and replace with an actual measurement on each farm.
McCormick, Peter and Kirsty Submitter ID: 72759	PC1-6625	Support the provision with amendments	REMOVE from Schedule 1 the requirement of a qualified Farm Environment Planner to prepare the Farm Environment Plan. AND AMEND so that property owners can complete and submit a correctly competed Farm Environment Plan template with supporting documentation.
McDonald, Iain and Jackie Submitter ID: 74032	PC1-4709	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to exclude stock up to 15 degree slope and only exclude stock over 15 degrees if break feeding is done.
McDonald, Iain and Jackie Submitter ID: 74032	PC1-12458	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 so that Farmers/Occupier can compile the Farm Environment Plan.
McDonald, Kevin and Jane Submitter ID: 72145	PC1-8560	Oppose the provision	DELETE the 15 degree slope limitation from Schedule 1.
McDonald, Kevin and Jane Submitter ID: 72145	PC1-12459	Oppose the provision	Schedule 1: The Waikato Regional Council to employ sufficient experienced people to develop and implement Farm Environment Plans.
McFadden, Gifford Patrick and Robin Submitter ID: 73122	PC1-10994	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by the Federated Farmers submission. [ENSURE that the purpose for which information in Schedule 1 is being sought is clearly stated in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
McGahan, Michael Submitter ID: 74160	PC1-7354	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as per Federated Farmers submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8331	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to require less detail and complexity in the information requirements AND AMEND to reduce the subjectivity in the information provided.
McGrath, Colin and Karen Submitter ID: 74204	PC1-9061	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the requirement for Farm Environment Plans for properties with no waterways from Schedule 1.
McGrath, Colin and Karen Submitter ID: 74204	PC1-12460	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 (A)(2)(f) to read "A description of cultivation management <u>of properties with waterways</u> , including: ..." or "A description of cultivation management <u>for cultivation within 50 metres of a waterway</u> , including: ..."
McGrath, Jenene Submitter ID: 71428	PC1-9743	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the requirement for Farm Environment Plans for properties with no waterways from Schedule 1.
McGrath, Jenene Submitter ID: 71428	PC1-12461	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 (A)(2)(f) to read "A description of cultivation management <u>of properties with waterways</u> , including: ..." OR "A description of cultivation management <u>for cultivation within 50 metres of a waterway</u> , including: ..."
McGrath, Judith Muriel Submitter ID: 72010	PC1-8243	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 so farmers do not require a farm advisor for their Farm Environment Plan.
McGregor, Colin Grant Submitter ID: 73534	PC1-6658	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so Farm Environment Plans are only required in sub-catchments where science shows improvements are required AND AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions to allow flexibility, such as with nitrogen discharges and application of good management practices, and are tailored to the individual property and focus on critical source management rather than applying blanket regulatory standards AND AMEND PPC1 so an independent panel is convened to allow contested points between staff and farmers in Farm Environment Plans to be addressed without the need to appeal to the Environment Court.
McKie, Craig John Submitter ID: 73381	PC1-2043	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
McKie, David Robert and Carmel Ann Submitter ID: 73377	PC1-2057	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
McKnight, Euan and Sarah Submitter ID: 72698	PC1-10983	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by the Federated Farmers submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<p>McLaughlin, Kate Submitter ID: 72498</p>	<p>PC1-6015</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 so that Farm Environment Plans be implemented in sub-catchments that have scientific need for improvement. AND AMEND so Farm Environment Plans must be flexible to accommodate market and environmental changes. AND REMOVE the time constraints for preparation of Farm Environment Plans and allow farmers to set a plan based on their financial restrictions and apply it to the scientific, sub-catchment specific data.</p>
<p>McLean, Parekawhia Submitter ID: 73359</p>	<p>PC1-11917</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plan shall contain...</p> <p>1.c. A list of land parcels which...</p> <p>i. the physical street address and ownership of each parcel of land (if different from the person responsible for the property or enterprise) and any relevant farm identifiers such as the dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference; and ...</p> <p>iii. <u>The relevant identifiers such as the rapid number, dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference.</u></p> <p>2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge.. farming activities on the property <u>or enterprise</u>, and the priority of...</p> <p>a. A description of where and how...</p> <p>i. the <u>location and</u> provision of fencing and livestock...</p> <p>ii. for areas with a slope... is impracticable, the <u>location and</u> provision of alternative...</p> <p>c. A description of the critical source areas...</p> <p>i. the identification of intermittent waterways, <u>wetlands</u> , overland flow paths... to minimise losses from <u>to</u> these areas through...</p> <p>e. A description of nutrient management practices...</p> <p>i. a nutrient budget...Waikato Regional Council; <u>and</u></p> <p><u>ii. an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences.</u></p> <p>f. A description of cultivation management...</p> <p>d. <u>Establishing and</u> maintaining appropriate buffers...</p> <p>3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows:</p> <p>a. The boundaries of the property <u>or enterprise (if different)</u>; and...</p> <p>4. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following: <u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other measures to reduce the diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be undertaken in response to the risks identified in the risk assessment in 2 above (having regard to their relative priority) as well as where the mandatory time-bound actions will be undertaken, and when and to what standard they will be completed.</u></p> <p>5. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following:</p> <p>a. <u>Mitigation</u> actions, timeframes and other...</p> <p><u>6. A programme of works that sets out:</u></p> <p><u>a. The timeframe for putting in place and implementing the mitigation actions identified in (4) and (5) including:</u></p> <p><u>i. Record of inspection by Waikato Regional Council staff or;</u></p> <p><u>ii. Record of inspection by Certified Industry Scheme staff; and</u></p> <p><u>iii. Record of audit by independent third party accredited auditor.</u></p> <p><u>7. A version control table that sets out the date of any amendment to the Farm Environment Plan and the content of the amendment to the Farm Environment Plan.</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>8. A declaration from the Certified Farm Environment Planner confirming the best available and most accurate information was use for the promulgation and design of mitigation actions."</u>
MD & CA Camp Submitter ID: 73799	PC1-5441	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to allow Farm Environment Plans to be written with flexibility, such as for nitrogen discharges and application of management practices AND AMEND so that Farm Environment Plans are tailored to the individual property, focus on addressing actual risk and target critical source areas AND AMEND to make an independent panel available to allow contested points in Farm Environment Plans between staff and farmers to be settled.
MD & CA Camp Submitter ID: 73799	PC1-12462	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to make the Nitrogen Reference Point more flexible to cover variations in weather conditions and seasonal challenges.
MD & CA Camp Submitter ID: 73799	PC1-12463	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to allow the use of modified grass varieties and stock feeds that are proven to lower emissions and nitrogen levels.
MD & CA Camp Submitter ID: 73799	PC1-12464	Oppose the provision	(Schedule 1) AMEND to clarify the definition of waterbodies in Schedule C (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) AND REMOVE the 25 degree slope provision from Rule 3.11.5.4.
Meads, Glynn Colin and Joanne Leigh Submitter ID: 73392	PC1-6344	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to consider the cost of Farm Environment Plans for small landholders.
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9665	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 4th paragraph, to read: "...The requirements set out in A apply to all Farm Environment Plans, including those prepared within a Certified Industry Sector Scheme..."
Miller, Michelle Beatrice Submitter ID: 72605	PC1-9135	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for farmers to complete their own Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to ensure all Farm Environment Plans are applied consistently.
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8898	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule 1(5)(b) AND ADD a new method where the Nitrogen Reference Point is considered relative to productivity.
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-12465	Support the provision with amendments	ADD to Schedule 1 a new clause to the effect that Waikato Regional Council will provide Best Management Practice guidelines for actions or measures to mitigate contaminant discharge in relation to a range of land uses, stock policies, land types and other biophysical factors, and that such mitigating actions or measures are to be included in Farm Environment Plans and implemented on all properties and enterprises across the region.
Moerangi Trust Submitter ID: 73111	PC1-4283	Oppose the provision	DELETE (Schedule 1) the Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1 AND DELETE the use of OVERSEER from PPC1.
Moerangi Trust Submitter ID: 73111	PC1-12466	Oppose the provision	(Schedule 1) ADD a sub-catchment approach which focuses on the contaminants of each particular sub-catchment and is based on science AND AMEND the rules so they are effects and science based.
Moerangi Trust Submitter ID: 73111	PC1-12467	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to use Farm Environment Plans to determine the best scenario for each farm and allow them to address the potential or present contaminants.
Monk, Graeme Submitter ID: 73120	PC1-10952	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by the Federated Farmers submission [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.] AND GIVE reductions in the OVERSEER Model results for farmers who are actively using mitigations.
Moss, George Wilder Submitter ID: 74078	PC1-11078	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for consideration to be given for more practical proxy for setbacks than degrees slope.
Moss, George Wilder Submitter ID: 74078	PC1-12468	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for consideration to be given for more practical proxy for setbacks than degrees slope AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans record both mitigations already in place or done as well as those actions needing to be completed.
Moss, George Wilder Submitter ID: 74078	PC1-12469	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 - to ensure that clear guidance is given on those contaminants mitigated, but not necessarily captured by the OVERSEER Model, particularly Nitrogen.
Moss, George Wilder Submitter ID: 74078	PC1-12470	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for a heat map for all four contaminants to be produced for both before and after required mitigations AND AMEND to ensure the heat maps produced form part of a data base to inform that land suitability question in the future.
Murchie, Trevor Samuel Submitter ID: 72750	PC1-9994	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to extend the timeframes for Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to ensure some reimbursement for the cost of preparing Farm Environment Plans.
Murphy, William S Submitter ID: 72105	PC1-6502	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so Farm Environment Plans are only required in sub-catchments where science shows improvements are required AND AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions to allow flexibility, such as with nitrogen discharges and application of good management practices, and are tailored to the individual property and focus on critical source management rather than applying blanket regulatory standards AND AMEND PPC1 to convene an independent panel to address contested points between staff and farmers in Farm Environment Plans without the need to appeal to the Environment Court.
Narsha Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73461	PC1-9619	Oppose the provision	REMOVE OR AMEND Schedule 1 restrictions on the use of land based on slope degrees.
Neal, Craig Andrew Lamont and Tracey Anne Submitter ID: 73466	PC1-9036	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for an inexpensive, independent panel to be available to allow contested points between staff and farmers AND AMEND Schedule 1 to focus on reducing the environmental impacts from intensive agriculture (>18 su/ha) especially that of dairy factory farming AND ANY consequential amendments arising from these submission points.
Neal, Craig Andrew Lamont and Tracey Anne Submitter ID: 73466	PC1-12471	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for an inexpensive, independent panel to be available to allow contested points between staff and farmers AND AMEND Schedule 1 to focus on reducing the environmental impacts from intensive agriculture (>18 su/ha) especially that of dairy factory farming AND ANY consequential amendments arising from these submission points.
Neal, Phillip John and Kristin Marie Submitter ID: 73802	PC1-2944	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to require greater sub-catchment monitoring.
Nelson Farms Partnership Submitter ID: 73054	PC1-8764	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Schedule 1 Farm Environment Plan requirements to reduce the thresholds for mandatory stock exclusion to nationally recommended standards (Clean Water Report, February 2017, with stock exclusion only applying to slopes of

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			up to 15 degrees for deer and cattle, and only applying to waterbodies 1m or wider for cattle and deer on land between 3 and 15 degrees slope AND AMEND to allow landowners to produce their own Farm Environment Plans with guidance and support from Waikato Regional Council AND DELETE the timeframes and set individual timeframes based on consultation with landowners and the sensitivity of the waterbody.
Nelson Farms Partnership Submitter ID: 73054	PC1-12472	Support the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 RETAIN the requirement for fencing on land above 15 degrees for intensive farming operations (>18su/ha) AND REPLACE input standards such as riparian setbacks and limitation on cultivation with mitigations that are set on a farm by farm basis and focused on management of critical source areas.
Nelson Farms Partnership Submitter ID: 73054	PC1-12473	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to focus on reducing impacts from intensive agriculture (>18su/ha) rather than applying inappropriate rules to extensive agriculture.
Nelson Farms Partnership Submitter ID: 73054	PC1-12474	Oppose the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point discharge restrictions and enable flexibility in nitrogen leaching from hill country sheep and beef farming and low impact land uses (< 20kgN/ha/yr) or apply a natural capital allocation.
New Zealand Association of Resource Management Submitter ID: 71702	PC1-7991	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that Certified Farm Environment Planners provide certified evidence of having undertaken and completed specialist training in land use capability mapping, and also submit at least two land use capability farm maps they have prepared, for peer review by expert Catchment Management staff of Waikato Regional Council. AND AMEND to ensure that suitable training courses are offered to staff and consultants for upskilling on land use capability mapping at farm scale level.
New Zealand Grain and Seed Trade Association Submitter ID: 71229	PC1-1676	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to replace the proposed 5 metres setback distance with the current industry agreed cultivation setback distance of 2 metres from permanent waterways. AND AMEND to further clarify AND OR define how the 15 degree slope restriction will be interpreted (i.e. part of the paddock and apply to all the paddock, the paddock average, how and who calculated) and what are accepted mitigations above 15 degrees slope. AND CLARIFY the science to support the 15 degree slope gradient being the cut off measurement.
New Zealand Pork Industry Board Submitter ID: 73780	PC1-4648	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule 1.
Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11616	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plan shall contain... 1.c. A list of land parcels which... i. the physical street address and ownership of each parcel of land (if different from the person responsible for the property or enterprise) and any relevant farm identifiers such as the dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference; and ... iii. <u>The relevant identifiers such as the rapid number, dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference.</u> 2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge.. farming activities on the property <u>or enterprise</u> , and the priority of... a. A description of where and how... i. the <u>location and</u> provision of fencing and livestock... ii. for areas with a slope... is impracticable, the <u>location and</u> provision of alternative... c. A description of the critical source areas... i. the identification of intermittent waterways, <u>wetlands</u> , overland flow paths... to minimise losses from <u>to</u> these areas through... e. A description of nutrient management practices...

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>i. a nutrient budget...Waikato Regional Council; and <u>ii. an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences.</u></p> <p>f. A description of cultivation management...</p> <p>d. <u>Establishing and</u> maintaining appropriate buffers...</p> <p>3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows: a. The boundaries of the property <u>or enterprise (if different); and...</u></p> <p>4. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following: <u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other measures to reduce the diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be undertaken in response to the risks identified in the risk assessment in 2 above (having regard to their relative priority) as well as where the mandatory time-bound actions will be undertaken, and when and to what standard they will be completed.</u></p> <p>5. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following: a. <u>Mitigation</u> actions, timeframes and other...</p> <p><u>6. A programme of works that sets out:</u> <u>a. The timeframe for putting in place and implementing the mitigation actions identified in (4) and (5) including:</u> i. <u>Record of inspection by Waikato Regional Council staff or;</u> ii. <u>Record of inspection by Certified Industry Scheme staff; and</u> iii. <u>Record of audit by independent third party accredited auditor.</u></p> <p><u>7. A version control table that sets out the date of any amendment to the Farm Environment Plan and the content of the amendment to the Farm Environment Plan.</u></p> <p><u>8. A declaration from the Certified Farm Environment Planner confirming the best available and most accurate information was use for the promulgation and design of mitigation actions."</u></p>
<p>Ngati Haua Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73515</p>	<p>PC1-11867</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plan shall contain...</p> <p>1.c. A list of land parcels which...</p> <p>i. the physical street address and ownership of each parcel of land (if different from the person responsible for the property or enterprise) and any relevant farm identifiers such as the dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference; and ...</p> <p>iii. <u>The relevant identifiers such as the rapid number, dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference.</u></p> <p>2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge.. farming activities on the property <u>or enterprise</u>, and the priority of...</p> <p>a. A description of where and how...</p> <p>i. the <u>location and</u> provision of fencing and livestock...</p> <p>ii. for areas with a slope... is impracticable, the <u>location and</u> provision of alternative...</p> <p>c. A description of the critical source areas...</p> <p>i. the identification of intermittent waterways, <u>wetlands</u> , overland flow paths... to minimise losses from<u>to</u> these areas through...</p> <p>e. A description of nutrient management practices...</p> <p>i. a nutrient budget...Waikato Regional Council; and <u>ii. an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences.</u></p> <p>f. A description of cultivation management...</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>d. <u>Establishing and maintaining appropriate buffers...</u></p> <p>3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows:</p> <p>a. The boundaries of the property <u>or enterprise (if different)</u>; and...</p> <p>4. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following: <u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other measures to reduce the diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be undertaken in response to the risks identified in the risk assessment in 2 above (having regard to their relative priority) as well as where the mandatory time-bound actions will be undertaken, and when and to what standard they will be completed.</u></p> <p>5. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following:</p> <p>a. <u>Mitigation</u> actions, timeframes and other...</p> <p>6. A <u>programme of works that sets out:</u></p> <p>a. The timeframe for putting in place and implementing the mitigation actions identified in (4) and (5) including:</p> <p>i. <u>Record of inspection by Waikato Regional Council staff or;</u></p> <p>ii. <u>Record of inspection by Certified Industry Scheme staff; and</u></p> <p>iii. <u>Record of audit by independent third party accredited auditor.</u></p> <p>7. <u>A version control table that sets out the date of any amendment to the Farm Environment Plan and the content of the amendment to the Farm Environment Plan.</u></p> <p>8. <u>A declaration from the Certified Farm Environment Planner confirming the best available and most accurate information was use for the promulgation and design of mitigation actions."</u></p>
Nichol, Peter Submitter ID: 71207	PC1-514	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to a simplified Farm Environment Plan that is not so costly.
Nicholas, Michael George, Raewyn Joan and Jonathon George Submitter ID: 73891	PC1-3385	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1
Noakes, Anna Submitter ID: 73693	PC1-9556	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 Farm Environment Plans so that farmers are enabled to write at least part of the Plans AND clarify the definition of certified personnel to enable this.
North Waikato Federated Farmers Submitter ID: 73705	PC1-2351	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for the establishment of an independent panel to deal with contestable points in a resource consent or Environment plan. AND MAKE any consequential amendments necessary.
O'Kane, Clare Submitter ID: 74110	PC1-11245	Oppose the provision	No specific relief sought for Schedule 1.
O'Leary, Leslie John Submitter ID: 73114	PC1-4669	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that is within Council's powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Oatway, Hugh Robert Submitter ID: 72758	PC1-6548	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to ensure that landowners are enabled to produce Farm Environment Plans with guidance and support from Waikato Regional Council.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8806	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to reflect the reasons for the submission and the proposed alternative approach including to set out the information requirement aspects of the Farm Environment Plan as part of the rules. If Schedule 1 is retained then AMEND so that Schedule 1 is only for the purpose of providing information to support applications under Rule 3.11.5.6 AND AMEND to clarify the practical application of the Farm Environment Plan including what actions are mandatory, how sub-catchment targets in Table 3-11.1 will be translated into Farm Environment Plan, how and who Farm Environment Plan will apply AND AMEND to specify Farm Environment Plan minimum standards to be complied with AND AMEND so that if a Farm Environment Plan is to be used to manage farming discharges it should cover all discharges regardless of whether they are diffuse or point source discharges AND AMEND to clarify the process that Farm Environment Plans can be amended.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-12475	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to clarify the stock exclusion and setbacks requirements and amend wording is also too open in interpretation.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-12476	Oppose the provision	DELETE Clause (5) under Schedule 1.
Oliver, Duncan Submitter ID: 71870	PC1-10892	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 by increasing the slopes in which cultivation can take place.
Oliver, John Rutherford Submitter ID: 74037	PC1-9902	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule 1.
Oliver, William and Karen Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-9546	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Olsen, David Edward Submitter ID: 74003	PC1-6118	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1.
Open Country Dairy Submitter ID: 74182	PC1-5976	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that initially farmers prepare their own Farm Environment Plans which may be replaced by certified plans at a later date.
Orlando-Reep, Tim Submitter ID: 72967	PC1-4437	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that is within Council's powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9494	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that that where sub-catchment targets are not included in Table 3.11-1, the requirement to produce a Farm Environment Plan does not apply until suitable scientific data has been gathered and targets have been included in Table 3.11-1.
Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-12477	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for Schedule 1 5(a).
Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-12478	Support the provision with amendments	AND AMEND Schedule 1 2(a)ii to read: " For areas with a slope exceeding 25 degrees and where stream fencing is impracticable <u>and/or would cause environmental damage</u> , the provision of alternative mitigation measures."

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Osborne, Gary Submitter ID: 74034	PC1-4085	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Osborne, John and Margaret Submitter ID: 74190	PC1-8245	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Paihere Farms Group Submitter ID: 73401	PC1-6276	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 so that an initial assessment is undertaken on individual farms before any changes are made to the property, halting any unnecessary changes and focussing on critical points. AND AMEND Schedule 1 so that Farm Environment Plans are written from initial assessment and reflect individual farms on a case by case basis. AND AMEND to provide support to the agricultural industry (e.g. farm advisors) to implement Farm Environment Plans and allow best farming practice to be acknowledged. AND MAKE any consequential amendments from these submission points.
Pamu Farms of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74000	PC1-5753	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide funding and transparency for Certified Farm Environment Planners AND AMEND so that Farm Environment Plans are bonded to ensure that the attainment of targets is maximised. OR AMEND to list and prohibit bad on-farm practice in the areas that the Farm Environment Plan would seek to address.
Pamu Farms of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74000	PC1-12479	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to clarify the intent and capture of clause 5(a) and (b) if they are retained.
Parker, Michael David Submitter ID: 73181	PC1-9278	Oppose the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Schedule 1 requirement that Farm Environment Plans be certified AND AMEND Schedule 1 to allow farmers to develop their own Farm Environment Plans, either on their own or as participants in Farm Environment Plan development workshops AND AMEND to provide for certification of Farm Environment Plans through review by a Certified Farm Environment Planner which includes a farm visit, an assessment of environmental risks and the mitigation plan.
Parker, Michael David Submitter ID: 73181	PC1-12480	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE (2)(f)(i) from Schedule 1 AND AMEND (2)(f)(ii) to read: "A description of cultivation management, including how the adverse effects of cultivation on slopes of less than 15 degrees will be mitigated through appropriate erosion and sediment controls for each paddock that will be cultivated including by: (a) assessing ; and (d) maintaining appropriate buffers between cultivated areas and water bodies (minimum 5m setback)" AND REMOVE (2)(f)(ii)(e) and (f) from this cultivation clause and renumber AND AMEND Schedule 1 (2)(b)(iii) to read: " <u>The provision of cultivation setbacks of 5 metres is designed to mitigate the environmental risk of contaminant losses</u> ". AND AMEND Schedule 1 (2)(f)(ii)(d) to read: "maintaining appropriate buffers between cultivated areas and water bodies (minimum 5m setback)".
Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-4977	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule 1 in its entirety. AMEND PPC1 where a re-notification process is begun when there are clear indications of what land use if required on every farm in the Waikato Region with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND by developing a fair system of nutrient allocation for the Waikato Region that allows communities and catchments to develop solutions that work towards the outcomes required. The plan could be amended and re-notified once an effective system is devised with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-12481	Oppose the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1 - Nitrogen Reference Point.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-12482	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule 1 in its entirety OR AMEND by extending the timeframe.
Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-12483	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND by re-notifying PPC1 only when there is a better system for measuring contaminant losses from farms, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-12484	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND to ensure that PPC1 is adjusted to contain rules for the elimination of Koi Carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Parrott, Steven, Sandra, Alexander & Ulrika Submitter ID: 73750	PC1-5298	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1 in its entirety AND REPLACE with an amended plan to provide for clear indications of what land use is required on a farm with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Parrott, Steven, Sandra, Alexander & Ulrika Submitter ID: 73750	PC1-12485	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point requirement from Schedule 1 in its entirety.
Parrott, Steven, Sandra, Alexander & Ulrika Submitter ID: 73750	PC1-12486	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Parry, Bruce Bregmen Submitter ID: 73453	PC1-10403	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Paterson, Chris and Amy Submitter ID: 73368	PC1-2309	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 by changing the 5 metre setback zone on flat land to 0.6 metres.
Peacocke, Matthew Anthony Submitter ID: 73058	PC1-1890	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Schedule 1 (2.b.(iii)). AND DELETE Schedule 1 (2. f (i) and 2 f (ii)d).
Peers-Adams, Samuel, Laura and Bronwyn Submitter ID: 71290	PC1-1021	Support the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 so that rather than consultants input have more land owner input into Farm Environment Plan development. AND AMEND to consider financial subsidies for Farm Environment Plans.
Pemberton, Russell James Submitter ID: 71335	PC1-1670	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for Schedule 1.
Peterson and Carswell, Lance Colin and Sarah Submitter ID: 73899	PC1-5107	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to include more work on sub-catchment approach and tailored Farm Environment Plans for each farm.
Peterson and Carswell, Lance Colin and Sarah Submitter ID: 73899	PC1-12487	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that the whole cost of what has been proposed should not all go on farming AND AMEND so more subsidies are available or more realistic and flexible provisions included AND AMEND so that a more balanced a tailored approach is taken AND AMEND to ensure more science and measureable approaches around water quality utilised.
PG & KF West Ltd Submitter ID: 73777	PC1-4572	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to give more specific clarification on fencing setback and how slope will be measured.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Phillips, Neal Submitter ID: 71231	PC1-612	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to specify that if more than 50% of the slope is greater than 25 degrees along its length then no stock exclusion fence is required AND AMEND Schedule 1 to specify the size of the waterways to be fenced for stock exclusion e.g. 1 metre wide and 300mm deep or farms to specify sheep only areas of grazing to manage stock exclusion.
Phillips, Neal Submitter ID: 71231	PC1-12488	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 approach to nutrient management to remove OVERSEER as a measurement tool on medium to low stocking rate farms e.g. farms with lower than 12 stock units per hectare are exempt from the nitrogen measurement and maintain soil phosphorus levels at or below optimum levels as per regular soil tests.
Pickens and Tanneau, Craig and Julie Submitter ID: 74138	PC1-6609	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule 1.
Pinnell, Graham Submitter ID: 74007	PC1-4379	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to recognise the value of experiential learning and adaptive management AND ENSURE focus is on monitoring, including trend monitoring of E.coli, phosphorus, ammonia and clarity during summer low flow AND AMEND to place an obligation to undertake staged riparian fencing prior to Farm Environment Plan deadlines AND AMEND to allow a reasonable time between submitting a Farm Environment Plan and completion of stock exclusion works AND AMEND to consider the transaction costs of Farm Environment Plans in terms of farmer input time, Certified Farm Environment Planners, independent auditors and Council staff.
Pinnell, Graham Submitter ID: 74007	PC1-12489	Support the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - DELETE the minimum setback requirements AND DELETE from 2(a)(ii) the 25 degree slope criteria and REPLACE so that fencing is required where practicable and elsewhere require the adoption of 'best practicable option' AND AMEND to require stock exclusion for continually flowing water.
Pinnell, Graham Submitter ID: 74007	PC1-12490	Oppose the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - DELETE Nitrogen Reference Points and cap nitrogen discharges AND REPLACE with the requirement to adopt Best Management Practice for nitrogen leaching.
Pinnell, Graham Submitter ID: 74007	PC1-12491	Support the provision with amendments	AND CONSIDER the unaffordability of the proposals for hard hill country farmers AND ADOPT solutions that are affordable, including: a targeted approach to water quality standards to recognise both farm specific costs of mitigation and the site specific value to society of those mitigations, and where net benefits can be demonstrated, identify where subsidy is required to avoid substantial financial dislocation of individuals, with consequent economic, social and property value impacts AND AMEND to require farmers to submit an annual return under 3.11.5.2(5) to enable Council to monitor trends in land use intensity.
Pitts-Brown, Brian Submitter ID: 71216	PC1-1471	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for Schedule 1.
Poohara Marae Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-12043	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plan shall contain... 1.c. A list of land parcels which... i. the physical street address and ownership of each parcel of land (if different from the person responsible for the property or enterprise) and any relevant farm identifiers such as the dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference; and ... iii. <u>The relevant identifiers such as the rapid number, dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference.</u> 2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge.. farming activities on the property <u>or enterprise</u> , and the priority of... a. A description of where and how...

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>i. the <u>location and</u> provision of fencing and livestock...</p> <p>ii. for areas with a slope... is impracticable, the <u>location and</u> provision of alternative...</p> <p>c. A description of the critical source areas...</p> <p>i. the identification of intermittent waterways, <u>wetlands</u> , overland flow paths... to minimise losses from<u>to</u> these areas through...</p> <p>e. A description of nutrient management practices...</p> <p>i. a nutrient budget...Waikato Regional Council; <u>and</u></p> <p><u>ii. an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences.</u></p> <p>f. A description of cultivation management...</p> <p>d. <u>Establishing and</u> maintaining appropriate buffers...</p> <p>3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows:</p> <p>a. The boundaries of the property <u>or enterprise (if different)</u>; and...</p> <p>4. A <u>detailed</u> description of the <u>following</u>:</p> <p><u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other measures to reduce the diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be undertaken in response to the risks identified in the risk assessment in 2 above (having regard to their relative priority) as well as where the mandatory time-bound actions will be undertaken, and when and to what standard they will be completed.</u></p> <p>5. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following:</p> <p>a. <u>Mitigation</u> actions, timeframes and other...</p> <p><u>6. A programme of works that sets out:</u></p> <p>a. The timeframe for putting in place and implementing the mitigation actions identified in (4) and (5) including:</p> <p><u>i. Record of inspection by Waikato Regional Council staff or;</u></p> <p><u>ii. Record of inspection by Certified Industry Scheme staff; and</u></p> <p><u>iii. Record of audit by independent third party accredited auditor.</u></p> <p><u>7. A version control table that sets out the date of any amendment to the Farm Environment Plan and the content of the amendment to the Farm Environment Plan.</u></p> <p><u>8. A declaration from the Certified Farm Environment Planner confirming the best available and most accurate information was use for the promulgation and design of mitigation actions."</u></p>
<p>Potter, Antony Simon Submitter ID: 73940</p>	<p>PC1-4913</p>	<p>Oppose the provision with amendments</p>	<p>Schedule 1 - REMOVE the requirement to fence off waterways AND AMEND to increase the use of troughs for preferential stock drinking OR AMEND so fencing off is only required for waterways that exceed 1 metre wide and 30 cm deep AND AMEND so fencing off waterways is only required on farms with high stock rates (>18 stock units per ha) AND AMEND so fencing off of waterways is only required for areas with a slope of less than 15 degrees.</p>
<p>Potter, Antony Simon Submitter ID: 73940</p>	<p>PC1-12492</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>DELETE PPC1 provisions relating to a Nitrogen Reference Point.</p>
<p>Potter, Antony Simon Submitter ID: 73940</p>	<p>PC1-12493</p>	<p>Oppose the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to test waterways to identify where contaminants are and focus on cleaning up those areas AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach, addressing all four contaminant equally.</p>
<p>Potter, Arthur Graham Submitter ID: 71236</p>	<p>PC1-466</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>No particular decision sought for Schedule 1.</p>
<p>Potter, Neil and Helen Submitter ID: 72823</p>	<p>PC1-4826</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND PPC1 (Schedule 1), science based testing is needed to prove what are best practices to create on accurate Farm Environment Plan.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND so that Farm Environment Plan includes an estimated timeframe for the work to be done, along with the provision to change this as situation changes with seasonal and market influences. AND MAKE any consequential amendments including objectives, policies and rule or restructuring of PPC1 to give effect to the relief sought.
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-12494	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule 1 clause 5 b. AND AMEND to develop a method whereby the Nitrogen Reference Point is related to productivity. AND AMEND to provide for any reduction in Nitrogen Reference Point approach to be applied at the sub-catchment scale.
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-12495	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Schedule 1 AND AMEND to define Freshwater Management Units at the sub-catchment scale.
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6328	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to add a clause to the effect that the Waikato Regional Council will provide for Best Practice Management guidelines for actions or measures to mitigate contaminant discharges in relation to stock policies, land types and other biophysical factors AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans include Best Management Practices and to be implemented, with regulation and enforcement as required across all properties and enterprises irrespective of priority sub-catchments and Nitrogen Reference Points.
Prendergast, Nick and Cathy Submitter ID: 72960	PC1-1815	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Primary Land Users Group Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11182	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "...2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge of sediment, nitrogen, phosphorus and microbial pathogens... (a) A description of where and how stock shall be excluded from water bodies for stock exclusion including: ...(ii) for areas with a with a slope exceeding 25-15 degrees and where stream fencing is impracticable, the provision of alternative mitigation measures. (b) A description of setbacks and riparian management, including: ...(ii) Where practicable the provision of minimum grazing setbacks from water bodies for stock exclusion of 1 metre for land with a slope of less than 15 degrees and 3 metres for land with a slope between 15 degrees and 25 degrees <u>where break feeding occurs</u> ; and (iii) The provision of minimum cultivation setbacks of 5 metres <u>unless effects of diffuse discharges can be mitigated</u>(f) A description of cultivation management including: (i) The identification of slopes over 15 degrees and how cultivation on them will be avoided; unless contaminant discharges to water bodies from that cultivation can be avoided mitigated ; and (ii) How the adverse effects of cultivation on slopes of less than 15 degrees will be mitigated through appropriate erosion and sediment controls for each paddock that will be cultivated including by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>assessing where overland flows enters and exits the paddock in rainfall events; and</u> • <u>identifying appropriate measures to divert overland flows from entering the cultivated paddock; and</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>identifying measures to trap sediment leaving the cultivated paddock in overland flows; and</u> • <u>maintaining appropriate buffers between cultivated areas and water bodies (minimum 5m setback).</u> • <u>A description of collected animal effluent management including how the risks associated with the operation of effluent systems will be managed to minimise contaminant discharges to groundwater or surface water.</u> • <u>A description of freshwater irrigation management including how contaminant loss arising from the irrigation system to groundwater or surface water will be minimised.</u> <p>3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows: ... (e) The location of continually flowing rivers, streams, and drains <u>that exceed 1m wide and 30cm deep on average</u> and permanent lakes, ponds and wetlands; and ... 5. A description of the following: (a) Actions, timeframes and other measures to ensure that the diffuse discharge of nitrogen from the property or enterprise, as measured by the five year rolling average annual nitrogen loss as determined by the use of the current version of OVERSEER, does not increase beyond the property or enterprise's Nitrogen Reference Point, unless other suitable mitigations are specified; or (b) Where the Nitrogen Reference Point exceeds the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value, actions, timeframes and other measures to ensure the diffuse discharge of nitrogen is reduced so that it does not exceed the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value by 1 July 2026, except in the case of Rule 3.11.5.5." AND AMEND to provide guidance to clarify slope interpretation, fencing and stock watering and crossing requirements.</p>
Pukerimu Farms Limited Submitter ID: 73073	PC1-4811	Oppose the provision	REPLACE (Schedule 1) reliance on Farm Environment Plans with a Best Management Practice approach, to be implemented immediately. AND AMEND Schedule 1 to include specific and straightforward actions that reduce contaminant loss, if Farm Environment Plans are retained.
Pukeroa Farms Submitter ID: 73789	PC1-12496	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include more publically available information on the problem areas.
Pukeroa Farms Submitter ID: 73789	PC1-6920	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 provisions relating to nitrogen management so that all farms have independent watershed tests for what and if contaminants (nitrogen, phosphorous, pathogens and sediment) are detected, and then farm can make changes where and if necessary AND AMEND so that all properties within the region are in PPC1 AND AMEND so that the nutrient loss is based on science and actual results on a farm by farm basis AND AMEND following review of the way a Nitrogen Reference Point is completed.
Purdie, Les and Helen Submitter ID: 71291	PC1-4938	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 2. b (ii) to a site dependent setback distance. AND CONSIDER Schedule 1 that the Farm Environment Planner is more money and availability of enough planners.
Purdie, Les and Helen Submitter ID: 71291	PC1-12497	Oppose the provision	CONSIDER Schedule 1 that the Farm Environment Planner is more money and availability of enough planners.
R.P O'Connor and Sons Ltd Submitter ID: 71651	PC1-6962	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Farm Environment Plan provisions of PPC1. AND AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions so it is a simple, workable document similar to a farm business plan with future focussed goals rather than being regulated by one rule.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ramsay Baker, Mark and Cathy Submitter ID: 73608	PC1-8523	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to extend the time frames and give more flexibility and allow farmers to do more themselves to lower costs.
Ramsay Baker, Mark and Cathy Submitter ID: 73608	PC1-12498	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to set aside the Nitrogen Reference Point and deal with problems such as sediment.
Randell, John Ellisden Submitter ID: 73366	PC1-9670	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1 with regards to Farm Environment Plans OR AMEND Schedule 1 by reconsidering the level of control required through resource consents, permits and plans AND AMEND compliance costs to individuals so they are no longer impractical and unfair.
Randell, John Ellisden Submitter ID: 73366	PC1-12499	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to include use of valid science to support PPC1 assumptions.
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10584	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plan shall contain... 1.c. A list of land parcels which... i. the physical street address and ownership of each parcel of land (if different from the person responsible for the property or enterprise) and any relevant farm identifiers such as the dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference; and ... iii. <u>The relevant identifiers such as the rapid number, dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference.</u> 2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge.. farming activities on the property or <u>enterprise</u> , and the priority of... a. A description of where and how... i. the <u>location and</u> provision of fencing and livestock... ii. for areas with a slope... is impracticable, the <u>location and</u> provision of alternative... c. A description of the critical source areas... i. the identification of intermittent waterways, <u>wetlands</u> , overland flow paths... to minimise losses from <u>to</u> these areas through... e. A description of nutrient management practices... i. a nutrient budget...Waikato Regional Council; <u>and</u> <u>ii. an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences.</u> f. A description of cultivation management... d. <u>Establishing and</u> maintaining appropriate buffers... 3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows: a. The boundaries of the property or <u>enterprise (if different)</u> ; and... 4. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following: <u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other measures to reduce the diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be undertaken in response to the risks identified in the risk assessment in 2 above (having regard to their relative priority) as well as where the mandatory time-bound actions will be undertaken, and when and to what standard they will be completed.</u> 5. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following: a. <u>Mitigation</u> actions, timeframes and other... <u>6. A programme of works that sets out:</u> <u>a. The timeframe for putting in place and implementing the mitigation actions identified in (4) and (5) including:</u> i. <u>Record of inspection by Waikato Regional Council staff or;</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>ii. Record of inspection by Certified Industry Scheme staff; and iii. Record of audit by independent third party accredited auditor. <u>7. A version control table that sets out the date of any amendment to the Farm Environment Plan and the content of the amendment to the Farm Environment Plan.</u> <u>8. A declaration from the Certified Farm Environment Planner confirming the best available and most accurate information was used for the promulgation and design of mitigation actions."</u></p>
<p>Ravenscroft, Michael and Clare Submitter ID: 71223</p>	<p>PC1-1595</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 so Farm Environment Plans only require minimal data for sub-catchments where data indicates that there are no improvements needed. AND DELETE the Schedule 1 requirement that a Farm Environment Plan must be certified by a Farm Environment Planner and allow land users to prepare their own Farm Environment Plans if they so choose. AND MAKE any consequential amendments resulting from changes to Schedule 1.</p>
<p>Ravenscroft, Michael and Clare Submitter ID: 71223</p>	<p>PC1-12500</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>Schedule 1 - DELETE the 25 degree slope provision and REPLACE with a stock unit farming intensity that considers stock management practices and environmental impact on a farm by farm basis. AND MAKE any consequential amendments resulting from changes to Schedule 1.</p>
<p>Ravenscroft, Michael and Clare Submitter ID: 71223</p>	<p>PC1-12501</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>AND AMEND Schedule 1 to make a low cost appeal process available to avoid varying interpretation of rules AND MAKE any consequential amendments resulting from changes to Schedule 1.</p>
<p>Ravensdown Limited Submitter ID: 74058</p>	<p>PC1-10174</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>RETAIN the intent of Schedule 1. AND AMEND as follows: "2. (e) A description of nutrient management practices including a nutrient budget <u>prepared by a Certified Nutrient Management Advisor</u> for the farm enterprise calculated using the OVERSEER Model in accordance with the OVERSEER use protocols <u>Data Input Standards 2016, with the exceptions and inclusions set out in Schedule B, Table 1</u>, or using any other model or method approved by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council. <u>The nutrient budget is to be valid for three years, unless there is a change in the farm system that requires the nutrient budget to be reviewed earlier."</u></p>
<p>Ravensdown Limited Submitter ID: 74058</p>	<p>PC1-12502</p>	<p>Oppose the provision with amendments</p>	<p>RETAIN the intent of Schedule 1. AND AMEND as follows: "5. (b) Where the Nitrogen Reference Point exceeds the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value, actions, timeframes and other measures to ensure the diffuse loss discharge of nitrogen is reduced <u>using best practicable options in keeping with industry agreed good management practice, prior to a nitrogen loss allocation system being decided and introduced so that it does not exceed the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value by 1 July 2026, except in the case of Rule 3.11.5.5."</u> AND AMEND to address the following matters in the Schedule 1 table of vegetable growing minimum standards:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No. 2 and 3 Nitrogen, Phosphorus – fertiliser plans should be prepared by Certified Nutrient Management Advisors 2. No. 4 Nitrogen, Phosphorus – annual calibration of fertiliser delivery systems could be a problem – many growers have their own spreading equipment. 3. No. 6 Nitrogen, Phosphorus – not all commercial spreaders have the capability to document proof of fertiliser placement.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			4. No. 8 Nitrogen, Phosphorus – what constitutes ‘evidence’ needs clarification for growers who self-apply and for commercial entities who are not set up with GIS/GPS capability.
Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-10045	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ensure Schedule 1 is a farm environment planning guideline.
Reeves, John Submitter ID: 71201	PC1-882	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that Nitrogen Reference Points are required in sub-catchments where nitrogen is an issue and undertake more research and monitoring to determine nitrogen levels at a sub-catchment level.
Reeves, John Submitter ID: 71201	PC1-12503	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 Farm Environment Plans to incorporate Land Use Capability Plans in order to set discharge targets.
Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8655	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 (2)(a) to read: " <u>Except as otherwise provided for in part (ii) below</u> a description of where and how stock shall be excluded from water bodies for stock exclusion including:..." AND DELETE Schedule 1 (2)(a)(ii) AND REPLACE with: "for areas with a slope exceeding 15 degrees where stock will not be excluded from water bodies, the provision of alternative mitigation measures."
RF & CL Lansdaal Ltd Submitter ID: 73549	PC1-8409	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to give some flexibility within a Farm Environment Plan to achieve the required outcomes but with the ability of farmers to adjust the setback distance as needed on a case by case business AND AMEND to remove or minimise the requirement for setbacks if damming of drains is in place.
RF & CL Lansdaal Ltd Submitter ID: 73549	PC1-12504	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to allow the damming of all drains including board drains as part of best practice for peat soils as it reduces subsidence of peat land.
Richardson, David Submitter ID: 73395	PC1-5846	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1.
Roberts, Jessica Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-7208	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to enable land users who have adequate experience and capabilities to be accredited to develop their own Farm Environment Plan based upon a common template.
Roberts, Peter Submitter ID: 72497	PC1-8088	Oppose the provision	DELETE the requirement in Schedule 1 for a Farm Environment Plan for every individual farm. AND AMEND to provide that water testing be undertaken to determine whether a Farm Environment Plan is needed or not. AND AMEND Schedule 1 to provide that farmers themselves can prepare Farm Environment Plans and not consultants.
Robinson Williams Farm Trust Submitter ID: 72907	PC1-10486	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in its submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Robson, Angus Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-4006	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1(2)(b)(ii) to provide for an increased setback when stock intensity exceeds best management practice AND AMEND so that for 10-15 degree slope, the setback is increased to at least 3 metres.
Robson, Angus Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-12505	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Schedule 1 (5)(a) and(b) the use of OVERSEER and REPLACE with a measuring tool that is accurate, both relatively and absolutely AND do not do benchmarking or allocation.
Robson, Angus	PC1-12506	Oppose the provision	Schedule 1 - AND use and drive best management practices.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72479			
Robson, Angus Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-12507	Oppose the provision	Schedule 1 - AND prohibit and prosecute the worst practices, maintain pressure on the 'tail' as it improves AND research a series of mitigations to support efficiency and help to introduce them, in combination with pollution levies have the greatest and fastest effect on water pollution.
Rogers, Philip William Submitter ID: 73889	PC1-5233	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1 in its entirety. If not deleted, AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for a farmer generated system with monitoring by Council, which would be more cost effective.
Rollett Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 72849	PC1-5641	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Rombouts, Cornelis PM and Johanna M Submitter ID: 71199	PC1-11421	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach with targeting of rural and urban water quality hotspots AND Amend Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Rombouts, Cornelis PM and Johanna M Submitter ID: 71199	PC1-12508	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure riparian planning is replaced by spraying or ditching of drains at the base.
Ronaldson, David Submitter ID: 73585	PC1-7494	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure Farm Environment Plans are required only where science indicates there is a problem in a sub-catchment.
Rotor Work Limited Submitter ID: 73415	PC1-6024	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to set a fair nitrogen leaching loss limit of 30kg/N/ha for all farms and all farm types. AND AMEND to make provision for monitor more closely all farms above 30kg/N/ha AND AMEND provision so that farms above 30kg/N/ha incur costs if they continue above 30kg/N/ha. AND AMEND Schedule 1 to reward low end dischargers.
Rowe, Susan Helen Submitter ID: 72588	PC1-6751	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions so they are flexible and developed on a farm-by-farm/catchment area basis.
Rushala Farm Ltd Submitter ID: 73387	PC1-5918	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
Russell, Roger Michael Submitter ID: 73702	PC1-9718	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 so that Farm Environment Plans are not required in some sub-catchments (including area 16).
Russell, Roger Michael Submitter ID: 73702	PC1-12509	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide more flexibility for sub-catchment relevant responses AND AMEND PPC1 to address Koi carp.
Save Lake Karapiro Inc	PC1-5719	Oppose the provision	Nitrogen Reference Point

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72459			Schedule 1: REMOVE the use of the OVERSEER Model or any other measuring tool in PPC1 until it is accurate both relatively and absolutely. AND DELETE the use of benchmarking or allocation. AND AMEND PPC1 to use and drive best management practices to achieve the pollution reduction objectives. AND AMEND PPC1 to prohibit and strongly prosecute the worst practices maintaining pressure on the 'tail' as it improves. AND research a series of mitigations with strong data to support their efficacy and help introduce them. These will in combination with pollution levies have the greatest and fastest effect on water pollution.
Save Lake Karapiro Inc Submitter ID: 72459	PC1-12510	Oppose the provision	Farm Environment Plans AMEND Schedule 1 so where stock intensity exceeds a critical value the BMP must be to increase the fenced margin. For land 10-15 degrees increase the setbacks to at least 3m.
Saxton, David Christopher Submitter ID: 73946	PC1-3373	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Schedule 1 but Farm Environment Plans must be cost effective, simple, practicable and achievable.
Sellers, Michael David and Alison Jean Submitter ID: 72401	PC1-9166	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to define how Farm Environment Plans will be actively policed, and what enforcement measures will be used
Sellers, Michael David and Alison Jean Submitter ID: 72401	PC1-12511	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide consistency about the rules for grazing of slopes.
Shabor Ltd Submitter ID: 71400	PC1-1104	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for longer timeframes to complete Farm Environment Plans.
Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2669	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE (Schedule 1) Nitrogen Reference Point provisions.
Sherlock, Jon and Fiona Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-5046	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and RE-NOTIFY once there is clear indication of future rules.
Sherlock, Jon and Fiona Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-12512	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to make PPC1 less onerous on hill county farmers until future requirements become clear. For instance, only require stock to be excluded from slopes less than 15 degrees, as per the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.
Sherlock, Jon and Fiona Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-12513	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the grandparenting approach from PPC1 AND AMEND so that nitrogen is investigated at a sub-catchment level AND AMEND to set a band that all dischargers have to adhere to, that is equitable for all low and high dischargers.
Sherlock, Jon and Fiona Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-12514	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that farmers are able to manage or amend the Farm Environment Plan, with this being audited only.
Sherlock, Richard Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-10390	Oppose the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 and re-notify once there is a clear indication of future rules.
Sherlock, Richard Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-12515	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to remove the grandparenting approach AND AMEND to manage nitrogen emissions at a sub-catchment scale, with levels set according to the sub-catchment issue AND AMEND to set an emission range for all properties to ensure equity across all emitters.
Sherlock, Richard	PC1-12516	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to enable farmers to manage or change the Farm Environment Plan with this being audited only.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 60407			
Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-5654	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for stock exclusion through Farm Environment Plans with reference back to the sub-catchment and what has been identified as the problems for that catchment such as fencing or water systems, AND AMEND to remove the fencing requirement of land over 15 degrees.
Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-12517	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure Farm Environment Plans are used to determine where the important areas to focus on are along the lines of land use capabilities.
Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-12518	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule 1, Clause 5 AND AMEND to provide a sub-catchment approach to work out in the catchments where the important areas are to focus on.
Sieling Farms Submitter ID: 73514	PC1-5482	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for a separate section for livestock farming following consultation with landowners.
Simpson, Greg John Submitter ID: 73225	PC1-5550	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for the opportunity to use an effects based system to deal with the issues within each farm. AND AMEND to provide for higher allowances for land inputs at the start, especially in the case of low producing farms.
Simpson, Jennifer Submitter ID: 74145	PC1-9886	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE the use of a Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1 AND AMEND PPC1 so that the effects of Nitrogen are addressed on a property basis.
Simpson, Jennifer Submitter ID: 74145	PC1-12519	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions of PPC1, so that farmers can do a lot of the work themselves.
Sinclair Family Trust Submitter ID: 72029	PC1-6810	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND clause (5) of Schedule 1 to read: "5. A description of the following: (a) Actions, timeframes and other measures to ensure that <u>manage</u> the diffuse discharge of nitrogen from the property or enterprise, as measured by the five-year rolling average annual nitrogen loss as determined by the use of the current version of OVERSEER, does not increase beyond the property or enterprise's Nitrogen Reference Point, unless other suitable mitigations are specified; or constitute good management practice. <u>(c) Where the Nitrogen Reference Point falls between the 50th and 74th percentile, identify and implement actions required to work towards industry good management practice in order to reduce their nitrogen leaching to at least 10 per cent below their reference point by 1 July 2026.</u> <u>(d) Where the Nitrogen Reference Point falls between the 25th and 49th percentile, continue with use of good management practice to hold at or below the Nitrogen Reference Point over a 5-year average.</u> <u>(e) Where the Nitrogen Reference point falls under the 25th percentile continue with use of good management practice with the flexibility of 10 per cent variation from the reference point over a 5-year average."</u>
Slack, Hayden Robert Submitter ID: 73942	PC1-3329	Support the provision	No specific relief sought for Schedule 1 - Farm Environment Plans.
Slack, Hayden Robert Submitter ID: 73942	PC1-12520	Oppose the provision	No specific relief sought for Schedule 1 - Stock Exclusion.
Smyth, Mark Stewart Jonas Submitter ID: 71410	PC1-1202	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to extend the time frame for preparing and completing Farm Environment Plans.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Smyth, Mark Stewart Jonas Submitter ID: 71410	PC1-12521	Support the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - AMEND the stock exclusion requirements from 25 degrees to 15 degrees.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11196	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND and/or include further information in PPC1 in order to provide better certainty regarding Waikato Regional Council's expectations as to the content and level of detail which will be required for Farm Environment Plans. AND AMEND to clarify the relationship/interpretation of the stock exclusion requirements between Schedule C and Schedule 1 Farm Environment Plans.
South Waikato District Council Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-4171	Oppose the provision with amendments	Provide evidence that the section 32 evaluation confirms Schedule 1 is the preferred approach to adopt regarding the efficiency and effectiveness of this method and rule AND AMEND to work with landowners, sector groups and communities to provide alternative practicable measures to achieve the same environmental outcomes.
South Waikato District Council Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-12522	Oppose the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - AMEND the extent, complexity and information requirements for Farm Environment Plans to reduce potential consultancy, compliance and audit costs to the landowner and regulator.
South Waikato District Council Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-12523	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND to simplify the extent, complexity and information requirements of Schedule 1 clause 2(b). AND provide information to justify the current approach in terms of the effectiveness and efficiency tests under section 32 in relation to Schedule 1 clause 2(b).
Spectrum Dairies Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 73958	PC1-2763	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to make Farm Environment Plans more like farm business plans that embrace positive change for better business rather than being regulated.
Spectrum Dairies Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 73958	PC1-12524	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 by taking a different approach to ascertain the base line and consider the management changes required to improve AND AMEND to use planning and logic to enable changes in farming practice such as land use change that reduces contaminant discharge in sensitive areas but increases it in less sensitive areas to achieve an economic and environmental benefit.
Spectrum Dairies Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 73958	PC1-12525	Oppose the provision	Schedule 1 - DELETE the requirement to be held at or below a property's Nitrogen Reference Point.
Stark, Steven and Theresa Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5228	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 by amending Farm Environment Plan requirements to change threshold for mandatory stock exclusion to the minimum standards for stock exclusion as set out in Ministry for the Environment's Clean Water document published February 2017 publication number ME 1293.
Stark, Steven and Theresa Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-12526	Oppose the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - DELETE the use of OVERSEER as a regulatory tool. If Nitrogen Reference Points are able to be used as a limiting factor at property level, the 5 year rolling average is to be retained.
Stewart, Mark Submitter ID: 71411	PC1-956	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Council's powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Stobie, Duncan, Loraine, Donald and Craig Submitter ID: 73998	PC1-5145	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND part 2(f)(ii)(d) of Schedule 1 to be more open to allow discretion for different land slopes and soil types. The setbacks could easily be agreed to with your farm planner with the assistance of soil maps. AND AMEND to provide for a 0.6m cultivation setback on flat peat land.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Stokes, Kelvin Arnold Submitter ID: 73748	PC1-5265	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 time frames for Farm Environment Plans to take into account the overall cost.
Stokes, Olive Fay Submitter ID: 71402	PC1-12307	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Stokes Shorthorn Farm Ltd Submitter ID: 73804	PC1-4062	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 by setting aside the Nitrogen Reference Point and provide a system which is effects based.
Stokes Shorthorn Farm Ltd Submitter ID: 73804	PC1-12527	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 by allowing farmers to prepare Farm Environment Plans themselves under certain guidelines and are flexible to meet the changing rules that Council is likely to introduce in future years.
Stokes Shorthorn Farm Ltd Submitter ID: 73804	PC1-12528	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 by addressing actual farming problems rather than a blanket approach.
Stokman, Mark and Sharon Submitter ID: 73976	PC1-6695	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so Farm Environment Plans are only required in sub-catchments where science shows improvements are required AND AMEND the Farm Environment Plan rules to allow flexibility, such as with nitrogen discharges and application of good management practices, and are tailored to the individual property and focus on critical source management rather than applying blanket regulatory standards AND AMEND PPC1 so an independent panel is convened to allow contested points between staff and farmers in Farm Environment Plans to be addressed without the need to appeal to the Environment Court.
Strang and Strang Limited Submitter ID: 73851	PC1-5577	Oppose the provision	REPLACE reliance on Farm Environment Plans in PPC1 with a Best Management Practice approach, to be implemented immediately. IF Farm Environment Plans are not replaced then AMEND Schedule 1 to include specific and straight forward actions that are known to be viable and reduce contaminant loss.
T.A. Reynolds Ltd Submitter ID: 71446	PC1-7152	Not stated	Approve the New Zealand GAP scheme for vegetable production as satisfying the requirements of a Farm Environment Plan. (Schedule 1)
Tadema, John Submitter ID: 71416	PC1-10064	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Taniwha Estate Ltd Submitter ID: 72146	PC1-6517	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 in accordance with the amendments proposed by DairyNZ and Fonterra. [DairyNZ: AMEND Schedule 1 paragraph 2 to read: "The Farm Environment Plan shall identify all <u>critical source areas</u> sources of sediment, nitrogen, phosphorus..." AND AMEND Schedule 2 (b. iii) by altering the provision to focus on managing critical source areas. AND AMEND to ensure that a 5m cultivation setback from water bodies in low risk areas is not necessary if critical source areas have been identified and mitigations put in place.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND to clarify that the Farm Environment Plan allows alternative mitigations where it can be shown that these alternative mitigations achieve at least the same reduction of contaminants entering the water bodies as any standard provided for in PPC1.]</p> <p>[Fonterra: AMEND Schedule 1 paragraph 5 to read: "This schedule applies to all farming activities, but it is acknowledged that some provisions will not be relevant to every farming activity. <u>Any management plan required by a condition of any resource consent authorising industrial or other wastewater irrigation shall be deemed to be Farm Environment Plan for the purposes of this schedule, provided that the management plan addresses the relevant matters in Section A.</u>"</p> <p>AND ADD a new item 'g' to Section 2 (immediately above Section 3): <u>"g. A description of any other wastewater irrigation or fertiliser management activities on the site including the use of fertilised replacements."</u></p> <p>AND AMEND Schedule 1 part 5 to read: "...5. A description of the following: a. Actions, The property or enterprise's Nitrogen Reference Point timeframes and other measures to ensure that the diffuse discharge of nitrogen from the property or enterprise, as measured by that is not to be exceeded by the five three-year rolling average annual nitrogen loss as determined by the use of the current most recent version of OVERSEER, does not increase beyond the property or enterprise's Nitrogen Reference Point, unless other suitable mitigations are specified; or ...]</p>
Tapp, Kevin Submitter ID: 73435	PC1-7468	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure Farm Environment Plans determine what would work best on each farm based on science to determine which contaminants are an issue in each sub-catchment</p> <p>AND AMEND Farm Environment Plans to focus on addressing actual risk targeting critical source areas rather than requiring blanket stock exclusion</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plan are produced by the land owner with Waikato Regional Council guidance and support.</p>
Tapp, Kevin Submitter ID: 73435	PC1-12529	Oppose the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - DELETE blanket stock exclusion requirements and enable case by case decisions and tailored management in consultation with the land owner.
Tapp, Kevin Submitter ID: 73435	PC1-12530	Oppose the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - DELETE provisions relating to Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach to contaminants that are relevant to each farm AND DELETE provisions relating to the OVERSEER Model from Schedule 1 in its entirety.
Tapp, Warren Submitter ID: 73013	PC1-1899	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Point 5 of Schedule 1 and any other standards or clauses that hold land rates to historic nitrogen, phosphorous , sediment and pathogens discharge levels until clear/accurate measurement of these discharges can be established AND AMEND Schedule 1 to reduce the input allowance of nitrogen applied to pasture to 10-20 units.
Tapp, Warren Submitter ID: 73013	PC1-12531	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so Farm Environment Plans are used to determine what would work best on each farm and adopt a science based sub-catchment approach to determine which contaminants are relevant to each farm. AMEND Farm Environment Plans to focus on addressing actual risk targeting critical source areas rather than requiring blanket stock exclusion through permanent fencing. AMEND Schedule 1 to allow for Farm Environment Plans to be produced by the landowner with Waikato Regional Council guidance.
Tapp, Warren Submitter ID: 73013	PC1-12532	Oppose the provision	DELETE the stock exclusion provisions of Schedule 1 and allow for case-by-case decisions and tailored management in consultation with the land owner.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AMEND Farm Environment Plans to allow for the ability to muster cattle through a water body without having to develop a permitted stock crossing structure. Include regulations in the Farm Environment Plans to detail the number of head of stock crossing at a time, amount of time a week crossing allowed, etc.
Taupo Lake Care Incorporated Submitter ID: 61093	PC1-9343	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to include a stock exclusion measure that takes into account the length of waterway excluded, and/or the amount of fencing, and/or the area of planting, and /or the edge of field improvements and stock intensity. AND AMEND so that once the stock exclusion and edge of field improvements are achieved an Overseer based program could be considered. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
Taupo Lake Care Incorporated Submitter ID: 61093	PC1-12533	Support the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - RETAIN the 5 year rolling average if the Nitrogen Reference Point is retained. OR DELETE from Schedule 1 the Nitrogen Reference Point and the use of the OVERSEER Model for regulatory purposes and any consequential amendments. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
Taupo Lake Care Incorporated Submitter ID: 61093	PC1-12534	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to include a measurement system that targets E.coli and phosphorous as a precursor for the whole Farm Environment Plan. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
Taylor, Janet Submitter ID: 71081	PC1-17	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that the soil erosion mitigation factors include vegetative control measures. The submission requests scientific study quantify the economic value of restoring flax at stream and river margins to improve water quality by soils and nutrient trapping. The submission requests that the data and evidence in Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for Vegetable Production is produced before it is scientifically sound.
Taylor, Keri Anne Submitter ID: 72565	PC1-9933	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure Farm Environment Plans tailor rules on a farm-by-farm basis according to the environmental impact the farm is having on waterways.
Taylor and Mellow, Mary Jane and Carwyn David Submitter ID: 71441	PC1-1772	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to allow a low cost self-generated Farm Environmental Plan. AND support the Council to work with industry bodies to develop Certified Industry Schemes AND AMEND to require Farm Environmental Plans in sub-catchments where improvements required are determined by science AND AMEND to tailor Farm Environmental Plans to individual properties rather than applying blanket regulatory standards AND establish an independent panel to mediate contested points between farmers and Council without the expense of an Environment Court appeal AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
Te Arawa River Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11817	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plan shall contain... 1.c. A list of land parcels which... i. the physical street address and ownership of each parcel of land (if different from the person responsible for the property or enterprise) and any relevant farm identifiers such as the dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference; and ... iii. <u>The relevant identifiers such as the rapid number, dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference.</u> 2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge.. farming activities on the property or <u>enterprise</u> , and the priority of... a. A description of where and how... i. the <u>location and</u> provision of fencing and livestock... ii. for areas with a slope... is impracticable, the <u>location and</u> provision of alternative...

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>c. A description of the critical source areas...</p> <p>i. the identification of intermittent waterways, <u>wetlands</u> , overland flow paths... to minimise losses from<u>to</u> these areas through...</p> <p>e. A description of nutrient management practices...</p> <p>i. a nutrient budget...Waikato Regional Council; and</p> <p><u>ii. an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences.</u></p> <p>f. A description of cultivation management...</p> <p>d. <u>Establishing and</u> maintaining appropriate buffers...</p> <p>3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows:</p> <p>a. The boundaries of the property <u>or enterprise (if different)</u>; and...</p> <p>4. A <u>detailed</u> description of the <u>following</u>:</p> <p><u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other measures to reduce the diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be undertaken in response to the risks identified in the risk assessment in 2 above (having regard to their relative priority) as well as where the mandatory time-bound actions will be undertaken, and when and to what standard they will be completed.</u></p> <p>5. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following:</p> <p>a. <u>Mitigation</u> actions, timeframes and other...</p> <p>6. A programme of works that sets out:</p> <p>a. The timeframe for putting in place and implementing the mitigation actions identified in (4) and (5) including:</p> <p>i. <u>Record of inspection by Waikato Regional Council staff or;</u></p> <p>ii. <u>Record of inspection by Certified Industry Scheme staff; and</u></p> <p>iii. <u>Record of audit by independent third party accredited auditor.</u></p> <p><u>7. A version control table that sets out the date of any amendment to the Farm Environment Plan and the content of the amendment to the Farm Environment Plan.</u></p> <p><u>8. A declaration from the Certified Farm Environment Planner confirming the best available and most accurate information was use for the promulgation and design of mitigation actions."</u></p>
<p>Te Aroha Federated Farmers Submitter ID: 71204</p>	<p>PC1-2209</p>	<p>Support the provision</p>	<p>RETAIN Point 2(b)(ii) of Schedule 1.</p>
<p>Te Aroha Federated Farmers Submitter ID: 71204</p>	<p>PC1-12535</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>DELETE the 'Grandparenting' provisions of Point 5, Schedule 1.</p>
<p>Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees Submitter ID: 74168</p>	<p>PC1-11949</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plan shall contain...</p> <p>1.c. A list of land parcels which...</p> <p>i. the physical street address and ownership of each parcel of land (if different from the person responsible for the property or enterprise) and any relevant farm identifiers such as the dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference; and ...</p> <p>iii. <u>The relevant identifiers such as the rapid number, dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference.</u></p> <p>2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge.. farming activities on the property <u>or enterprise</u>, and the priority of...</p> <p>a. A description of where and how...</p> <p>i. the <u>location and</u> provision of fencing and livestock...</p> <p>ii. for areas with a slope... is impracticable, the <u>location and</u> provision of alternative...</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>c. A description of the critical source areas...</p> <p>i. the identification of intermittent waterways, <u>wetlands</u> , overland flow paths... to minimise losses from<u>to</u> these areas through...</p> <p>e. A description of nutrient management practices...</p> <p>i. a nutrient budget...Waikato Regional Council; and</p> <p><u>ii. an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences.</u></p> <p>f. A description of cultivation management...</p> <p>d. <u>Establishing and</u> maintaining appropriate buffers...</p> <p>3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows:</p> <p>a. The boundaries of the property <u>or enterprise (if different)</u>; and...</p> <p>4. A <u>detailed</u> description of the <u>following</u>:</p> <p><u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other measures to reduce the diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be undertaken in response to the risks identified in the risk assessment in 2 above (having regard to their relative priority) as well as where the mandatory time-bound actions will be undertaken, and when and to what standard they will be completed.</u></p> <p>5. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following:</p> <p>a. <u>Mitigation</u> actions, timeframes and other...</p> <p>6. A programme of works that sets out:</p> <p>a. The timeframe for putting in place and implementing the mitigation actions identified in (4) and (5) including:</p> <p>i. Record of inspection by Waikato Regional Council staff <u>or</u>;</p> <p>ii. Record of inspection by Certified Industry Scheme staff; and</p> <p>iii. Record of audit by independent third party accredited auditor.</p> <p><u>7. A version control table that sets out the date of any amendment to the Farm Environment Plan and the content of the amendment to the Farm Environment Plan.</u></p> <p><u>8. A declaration from the Certified Farm Environment Planner confirming the best available and most accurate information was use for the promulgation and design of mitigation actions."</u></p>
<p>Te Kauri Marae Submitter ID: 74124</p>	<p>PC1-11667</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plan shall contain...</p> <p>1.c. A list of land parcels which...</p> <p>i. the physical street address and ownership of each parcel of land (if different from the person responsible for the property or enterprise) and any relevant farm identifiers such as the dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference; and ...</p> <p>iii. <u>The relevant identifiers such as the rapid number, dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference.</u></p> <p>2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge.. farming activities on the property <u>or enterprise</u>, and the priority of...</p> <p>a. A description of where and how...</p> <p>i. the <u>location and</u> provision of fencing and livestock...</p> <p>ii. for areas with a slope... is impracticable, the <u>location and</u> provision of alternative...</p> <p>c. A description of the critical source areas...</p> <p>i. the identification of intermittent waterways, <u>wetlands</u> , overland flow paths... to minimise losses from<u>to</u> these areas through...</p> <p>e. A description of nutrient management practices...</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>i. a nutrient budget...Waikato Regional Council; and</p> <p><u>ii. an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences.</u></p> <p>f. A description of cultivation management...</p> <p>d. <u>Establishing and</u> maintaining appropriate buffers...</p> <p>3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows:</p> <p>a. The boundaries of the property <u>or enterprise (if different);</u> and...</p> <p>4. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following: <u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other measures to reduce the diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be undertaken in response to the risks identified in the risk assessment in 2 above (having regard to their relative priority) as well as where the mandatory time-bound actions will be undertaken, and when and to what standard they will be completed.</u></p> <p>5. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following:</p> <p>a. <u>Mitigation</u> actions, timeframes and other...</p> <p><u>6. A programme of works that sets out:</u> <u>a. The timeframe for putting in place and implementing the mitigation actions identified in (4) and (5) including:</u> <u>i. Record of inspection by Waikato Regional Council staff or;</u> <u>ii. Record of inspection by Certified Industry Scheme staff; and</u> <u>iii. Record of audit by independent third party accredited auditor.</u></p> <p><u>7. A version control table that sets out the date of any amendment to the Farm Environment Plan and the content of the amendment to the Farm Environment Plan.</u></p> <p><u>8. A declaration from the Certified Farm Environment Planner confirming the best available and most accurate information was use for the promulgation and design of mitigation actions."</u></p>
Te Miro Farms Partnership Submitter ID: 72893	PC1-12536	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so properties are not required to be held at or below their Nitrogen Reference Point.
Te Miro Farms Partnership Submitter ID: 72893	PC1-12537	Support the provision with amendments	PUT ON HOLD the implementation of PPC1 until the scientific data around which contaminants are causing water quality decline is available for each sub-catchment.
Te Miro Farms Partnership Submitter ID: 72893	PC1-6930	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that Farm Environment Plans allow for mitigation against contaminants and do not include prescriptive blanket measures AND AMEND PPC1 so that the timeframes are extend to enable compliance with the Farm Environment Plan provisions.
Te Paiaka Lands Trust Submitter ID: 72690	PC1-8917	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure an industry-wide capability assessment is undertaken to assess who will complete Farm Environment Plans and demonstrate how Farm Environment Plans are to be prepared and how the gains will be quantified AND CLARIFY how monitoring of Farm Environment Plans will be undertaken and who bears the cost.
TerraCare Fertilisers Limited Submitter ID: 73066	PC1-10494	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to emphasise the importance of potential mitigating factors around phosphorus contamination AND AMEND to ensure those giving advice on this topic are fully cognisant of the properties of different phosphate forms.
Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12279	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plan shall contain... 1.c. A list of land parcels which...

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>i. the physical street address and ownership of each parcel of land (if different from the person responsible for the property or enterprise) and any relevant farm identifiers such as the dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference; and ...</p> <p>iii. <u>The relevant identifiers such as the rapid number, dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference.</u></p> <p>2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge.. farming activities on the property <u>or enterprise</u>, and the priority of...</p> <p>a. A description of where and how...</p> <p>i. the <u>location and</u> provision of fencing and livestock...</p> <p>ii. for areas with a slope... is impracticable, the <u>location and</u> provision of alternative...</p> <p>c. A description of the critical source areas...</p> <p>i. the identification of intermittent waterways, <u>wetlands</u> , overland flow paths... to minimise losses from<u>to</u> these areas through...</p> <p>e. A description of nutrient management practices...</p> <p>i. a nutrient budget...Waikato Regional Council; <u>and</u></p> <p>ii. <u>an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences.</u></p> <p>f. A description of cultivation management...</p> <p>d. <u>Establishing and</u> maintaining appropriate buffers...</p> <p>3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows:</p> <p>a. The boundaries of the property <u>or enterprise (if different)</u>; and...</p> <p>4. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following: <u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other measures to reduce the diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be undertaken in response to the risks identified in the risk assessment in 2 above (having regard to their relative priority) as well as where the mandatory time-bound actions will be undertaken, and when and to what standard they will be completed.</u></p> <p>5. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following:</p> <p>a. <u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other...</u></p> <p><u>6. A programme of works that sets out:</u> <u>a. The timeframe for putting in place and implementing the mitigation actions identified in (4) and (5) including:</u> i. <u>Record of inspection by Waikato Regional Council staff or;</u> ii. <u>Record of inspection by Certified Industry Scheme staff; and</u> iii. <u>Record of audit by independent third party accredited auditor.</u></p> <p><u>7. A version control table that sets out the date of any amendment to the Farm Environment Plan and the content of the amendment to the Farm Environment Plan.</u></p> <p><u>8. A declaration from the Certified Farm Environment Planner confirming the best available and most accurate information was use for the promulgation and design of mitigation actions."</u></p>
<p>Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105</p>	<p>PC1-8147</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plan shall contain...</p> <p>1.c. A list of land parcels which...</p> <p>i. the physical street address and ownership of each parcel of land (if different from the person responsible for the property or enterprise) and any relevant farm identifiers such as the dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference; and ...</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>iii. <u>The relevant identifiers such as the rapid number, dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference.</u></p> <p>2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge.. farming activities on the property <u>or enterprise</u>, and the priority of...</p> <p>a. A description of where and how...</p> <p>i. the <u>location and</u> provision of fencing and livestock...</p> <p>ii. for areas with a slope... is impracticable, the <u>location and</u> provision of alternative...</p> <p>c. A description of the critical source areas...</p> <p>i. the identification of intermittent waterways, <u>wetlands</u> , overland flow paths... to minimise losses from <u>to</u> these areas through...</p> <p>e. A description of nutrient management practices...</p> <p>i. a nutrient budget...Waikato Regional Council; <u>and</u></p> <p><u>ii. an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences.</u></p> <p>f. A description of cultivation management...</p> <p>d. <u>Establishing and</u> maintaining appropriate buffers...</p> <p>3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows:</p> <p>a. The boundaries of the property <u>or enterprise (if different)</u>; and...</p> <p>4. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following: <u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other measures to reduce the diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be undertaken in response to the risks identified in the risk assessment in 2 above (having regard to their relative priority) as well as where the mandatory time-bound actions will be undertaken, and when and to what standard they will be completed.</u></p> <p>5. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following:</p> <p>a. <u>Mitigation</u> actions, timeframes and other...</p> <p>6. A programme of works that sets out:</p> <p>a. <u>The timeframe for putting in place and implementing the mitigation actions identified in (4) and (5) including:</u></p> <p>i. <u>Record of inspection by Waikato Regional Council staff or;</u></p> <p>ii. <u>Record of inspection by Certified Industry Scheme staff; and</u></p> <p>iii. <u>Record of audit by independent third party accredited auditor.</u></p> <p>7. <u>A version control table that sets out the date of any amendment to the Farm Environment Plan and the content of the amendment to the Farm Environment Plan.</u></p> <p>8. <u>A declaration from the Certified Farm Environment Planner confirming the best available and most accurate information was use for the promulgation and design of mitigation actions."</u></p>
<p>Te Whenua O Matata Ltd Submitter ID: 68016</p>	<p>PC1-4498</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>AMEND so that there is no duplication of what is currently being done, such as through Fonterra requirements AND AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8201	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1(5)(a) to ensure that Farm Environment Plans provide for reductions, rather than maintaining the status quo.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-12538	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to include the following: b. Clear and specific objectives for land use/ farm management that Farm Environment Plans can be assessed against; c. A clear requirement to calculate and include a Nitrogen Reference Point in the Farm Environment Plan.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-12539	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to include the following: a. Additional detail on irrigation management to identify irrigated areas on the property and/or a spatial risk map, and any soil moisture monitoring; d. Identification of any significant indigenous biodiversity, outstanding water bodies and sensitive receiving environments on or adjacent to the property.
The Worsp Family Trust Submitter ID: 73997	PC1-5083	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule 1 in its entirety, AND AMEND Schedule 1 to consider contribution of all to contaminant discharges including city storm water.
Thomas, Kerry Louise Submitter ID: 73877	PC1-3450	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc. to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Thomson, Peter Submitter ID: 71208	PC1-6096	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that Farm Environment Plan are only required in sub-catchments where science indicates that improvements are required. AND AMEND Schedule 1 so that Farm Environment Plans are tailored to the individual property and focus on critical source management rather than applying blanket regulatory standards. They need to allow flexibility such as with nitrogen discharges and application of management practices. AND AMEND to include provisions in Schedule 1 that an independent panel is available to allow contested Farm Environment Plan points to be resolved without the need to appeal to the Environment Court.
Thorburn, Matthew Charles and Susan Raewyn Submitter ID: 74043	PC1-6676	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so Farm Environment Plans are only required in sub-catchments where science shows improvements are required AND AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions to allow flexibility, such as with nitrogen discharges and application of good management practices, and are tailored to the individual property and focus on critical source management rather than applying blanket regulatory standards AND AMEND PPC1 so an independent panel is convened to allow contested points between staff and farmers in Farm Environment Plans to be addressed without the need to appeal to the Environment Court.
Tierney, Colm and Gaynor Submitter ID: 73091	PC1-7716	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1(A)(5)(a) to read "Actions, timeframes and other measures to ensure that manage the diffuse discharge of nitrogen from the property or enterprise, as measured by the five-year rolling average annual nitrogen loss as determined by the use of the current version of OVERSEER®, does not increase beyond the property or enterprise's Nitrogen Reference Point, unless other suitable mitigations are specified, or constitute good management practice." AND RETAIN Schedule 1(A)(5)(b)

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND Schedule 1(A)(5) by ADDING a NEW point (c) to read "<u>Where the Nitrogen Reference Point falls between the 50th and 75th percentile identify and implement actions required to work towards industry good management practice by 1 July 2026. Nitrogen leaching must not exceed the Nitrogen Reference Point over a 5-year average.</u>"</p> <p>AND AMEND Schedule 1(A)(5) by ADDING a NEW point (d) to read "<u>Where the Nitrogen Reference Point falls between the 25th and 50th percentile continue with the use of good management practice to hold at or below the Nitrogen Reference Point over a 5-year average.</u>"</p> <p>AND AMEND Schedule 1(A)(5) by ADDING a NEW point (e) to read "<u>Where the Nitrogen Reference Point falls under the 25th percentile continue with the use of good management practice with the flexibility of 10% variation from the Reference Point over a 5-year average.</u>"</p>
Tiroa E Trust Submitter ID: 72544	PC1-4123	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>Schedule 1: CONSIDER undertaking an industry wide capability assessment to assess who will complete the Farm Environment Plans.</p> <p>AND AMEND to clarify to landowners and industry how Farm Environment Plans will be constructed and how the gains will be quantified.</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide clarity on how the monitoring of Farm Environment Plans will be undertaken and who will pay for it.</p>
Tongariro Taupo Conservation Board Submitter ID: 74060	PC1-4870	Support the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN Schedule 1 stock exclusion from water bodies, setbacks and riparian planting.</p> <p>AND AMEND Schedule 1 (2)(a)(ii) so cattle, horses, deer and pigs are not able to be grazed on land over 25 degrees (this does not include small steep areas within a paddock) but exclude sheep, and horses that are being ridden or led.</p>
Torstonson, Shayne Kingsley Submitter ID: 73508	PC1-8658	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.
TOTI Trust Submitter ID: 74186	PC1-4779	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to establish an 'outcomes' model with remediation options based on best practice and the promotion of specific property examples</p> <p>AND continue exploring new models.</p>
Treweek, Glen Submitter ID: 72747	PC1-5800	Support the provision with amendments	<p>DELETE Schedule 1 reference to OVERSEER nutrient budgets.</p> <p>AND DELETE Schedule 1 (e) and Schedule 1 (5).</p> <p>ADD references AND MAKE amendments, where appropriate, to accommodate the Industry-agreed Good Management Practices relating to water quality (September 2015).</p>
Trustees of Highfield Deer Park Submitter ID: 73932	PC1-4038	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 2(b)(ii) by changing the word 'lass' to 'less'.
Tucker, Geoff and Kara Submitter ID: 73928	PC1-2803	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 so farm Environment Plans can be produced by the farmer or landowner, with Waikato Regional Council guidance and support</p> <p>AND AMEND Farm Environment Plan requirements to adopt nationally recommended standards around fencing and the upper limit of low intensity agriculture (18 stock units per hectare as at 30 June in any given year)</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments.</p>
Tucker, Geoff and Kara Submitter ID: 73928	PC1-12540	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to enable flexibility in nitrogen emissions from low intensity sheep and beef farming.
Turangawaewae Marae Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12223	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plan shall contain...</p> <p>1.c. A list of land parcels which...</p> <p>i. the physical street address and ownership of each parcel of land (if different from the person responsible for the property or enterprise) and any relevant farm identifiers such as the dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference; and ...</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>iii. <u>The relevant identifiers such as the rapid number, dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference.</u></p> <p>2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge.. farming activities on the property <u>or enterprise</u>, and the priority of...</p> <p>a. A description of where and how...</p> <p>i. the <u>location and</u> provision of fencing and livestock...</p> <p>ii. for areas with a slope... is impracticable, the <u>location and</u> provision of alternative...</p> <p>c. A description of the critical source areas...</p> <p>i. the identification of intermittent waterways, <u>wetlands</u> , overland flow paths... to minimise losses from <u>to</u> these areas through...</p> <p>e. A description of nutrient management practices...</p> <p>i. a nutrient budget...Waikato Regional Council; <u>and</u></p> <p>ii. <u>an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences.</u></p> <p>f. A description of cultivation management...</p> <p>d. <u>Establishing and</u> maintaining appropriate buffers...</p> <p>3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows:</p> <p>a. The boundaries of the property <u>or enterprise (if different)</u>; and...</p> <p>4. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following: <u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other measures to reduce the diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be undertaken in response to the risks identified in the risk assessment in 2 above (having regard to their relative priority) as well as where the mandatory time-bound actions will be undertaken, and when and to what standard they will be completed.</u></p> <p>5. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following:</p> <p>a. <u>Mitigation</u> actions, timeframes and other...</p> <p>6. A programme of works that sets out:</p> <p>a. <u>The timeframe for putting in place and implementing the mitigation actions identified in (4) and (5) including:</u></p> <p>i. <u>Record of inspection by Waikato Regional Council staff or;</u></p> <p>ii. <u>Record of inspection by Certified Industry Scheme staff; and</u></p> <p>iii. <u>Record of audit by independent third party accredited auditor.</u></p> <p>7. <u>A version control table that sets out the date of any amendment to the Farm Environment Plan and the content of the amendment to the Farm Environment Plan.</u></p> <p>8. <u>A declaration from the Certified Farm Environment Planner confirming the best available and most accurate information was use for the promulgation and design of mitigation actions."</u></p>
<p>Turton, Francis James Submitter ID: 73883</p>	<p>PC1-3746</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for farmers to write their own Farm Environment Plans.</p>
<p>Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356</p>	<p>PC1-10592</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plan shall contain...</p> <p>1.c. A list of land parcels which...</p> <p>i. the physical street address and ownership of each parcel of land (if different from the person responsible for the property or enterprise) and any relevant farm identifiers such as the dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference; and ...</p> <p>iii. <u>The relevant identifiers such as the rapid number, dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference.</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge.. farming activities on the property <u>or enterprise</u>, and the priority of...</p> <p>a. A description of where and how...</p> <p>i. the <u>location and</u> provision of fencing and livestock...</p> <p>ii. for areas with a slope... is impracticable, the <u>location and</u> provision of alternative...</p> <p>c. A description of the critical source areas...</p> <p>i. the identification of intermittent waterways, <u>wetlands</u> , overland flow paths... to minimise losses from<u>to</u> these areas through...</p> <p>e. A description of nutrient management practices...</p> <p>i. a nutrient budget...Waikato Regional Council; <u>and</u> <u>ii. an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences.</u></p> <p>f. A description of cultivation management...</p> <p>d. <u>Establishing and</u> maintaining appropriate buffers...</p> <p>3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows:</p> <p>a. The boundaries of the property <u>or enterprise (if different)</u>; and...</p> <p>4. A <u>detailed</u> description of the <u>following</u>: <u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other measures to reduce the diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be undertaken in response to the risks identified in the risk assessment in 2 above (having regard to their relative priority) as well as where the mandatory time-bound actions will be undertaken, and when and to what standard they will be completed.</u></p> <p>5. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following:</p> <p>a. <u>Mitigation</u> actions, timeframes and other...</p> <p><u>6. A programme of works that sets out:</u> <u>a. The timeframe for putting in place and implementing the mitigation actions identified in (4) and (5) including:</u> <u>i. Record of inspection by Waikato Regional Council staff or;</u> <u>ii. Record of inspection by Certified Industry Scheme staff; and</u> <u>iii. Record of audit by independent third party accredited auditor.</u></p> <p><u>7. A version control table that sets out the date of any amendment to the Farm Environment Plan and the content of the amendment to the Farm Environment Plan.</u></p> <p><u>8. A declaration from the Certified Farm Environment Planner confirming the best available and most accurate information was use for the promulgation and design of mitigation actions."</u></p>
<p>Upper Maire Creek Sub Catchment Submitter ID: 72970</p>	<p>PC1-4380</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to enable farmers with the necessary qualifications to develop their own Farm Environment Plans. AND AMEND so that Farm Environment Plans are only required for sub-catchments that are included in Table 3.11-1. AND AMEND so that Farm Environment Plans are only required with a stocking rate of over 18su/ha and if nitrogen is an issue.</p>
<p>van der Laan, Menso W R Submitter ID: 71906</p>	<p>PC1-7930</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule A to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule A. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule A match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule A relates.]</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Verkerk, Gwyneth Submitter ID: 60476	PC1-1283	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to include an incentive programme to support riparian planting where farmers have completed stock exclusion.
Verry, Reon and Wendy Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-3974	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to allow for the Farm Environment Plan to increase loss of some contaminants if the net gain for the environment is enhanced. AND ENSURE joint funding of the Farm Environment Plan if Waikato Regional Council want to hold all the information it contains. AND ENSURE clear guidance around who will have access to the information in Farm Environment Plans. AND AMEND to include an assessment of the ability of the business to pay for requirements to comply AND AMEND so that the Farm Environment Plan is used to prioritise the areas where most environmental benefit will be achieved taking into account the cost/benefit.
Verry, Reon and Wendy Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-12541	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND clause 2(a)(i) to ensure Schedule C and Schedule 1 are in agreement about what the rules are AND AMEND clause 2(a)(ii) to read; "for areas with a slope exceeding <u>25°-15°</u> and where stream fencing is impracticable, the provision of alternative mitigation measures may be used." AND AMEND clause 2(b)(iii) to allow the Farm Environment Plan to provide property specific guidelines based on Best Practicable Option for cultivation setback.
Verry, Reon and Wendy Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-12542	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1 clause 5(a).
Verry, Reon and Wendy Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-12543	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to allow water reticulation to be specified as a mitigation AND AMEND clause 4. by replacing mandatory timeframe with use timeframes identified within the Farm Environment Plan AND CONSIDER rates relief of compensation for the loss of productive land due to setbacks.
Volker, Peter Submitter ID: 73690	PC1-10294	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that there is a requirement for farm practice to be audited against Farm Environment Plans, to assess the effectiveness of Farm Environment Plans in making progress towards the catchment water quality targets/limits AND AMEND to make clear that Farm Environment Plan's have provisions to prevent excess fertiliser use, over stocking and over grazing, and water issues such as over irrigation, stock access to water, and river straightening.
Vos, Rene Alexander and Ereine Johanna Submitter ID: 74087	PC1-5237	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to exclude land from the provision stating that land over 15 degrees can't be cropped except for land dropping into streams and likely to cause erosion or revise provision to increase slope limit AND DELETE 5 metre setback for cropping with regard to farm drains.
Waahi Pa Marae Committee Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12186	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plan shall contain... 1.c. A list of land parcels which... i. the physical street address and ownership of each parcel of land (if different from the person responsible for the property or enterprise) and any relevant farm identifiers such as the dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference; and ... iii. <u>The relevant identifiers such as the rapid number, dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference.</u> 2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge.. farming activities on the property <u>or enterprise</u> , and the priority of... a. A description of where and how... i. the <u>location and</u> provision of fencing and livestock... ii. for areas with a slope... is impracticable, the <u>location and</u> provision of alternative... c. A description of the critical source areas...

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>i. the identification of intermittent waterways, <u>wetlands</u> , overland flow paths... to minimise losses from<u>to</u> these areas through...</p> <p>e. A description of nutrient management practices...</p> <p>i. a nutrient budget...Waikato Regional Council; <u>and</u></p> <p><u>ii. an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences.</u></p> <p>f. A description of cultivation management...</p> <p>d. <u>Establishing</u> and maintaining appropriate buffers...</p> <p>3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows:</p> <p>a. The boundaries of the property <u>or enterprise (if different)</u>; and...</p> <p>4. A <u>detailed</u> description of the <u>following</u>: <u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other measures to reduce the diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be undertaken in response to the risks identified in the risk assessment in 2 above (having regard to their relative priority) as well as where the mandatory time-bound actions will be undertaken, and when and to what standard they will be completed.</u></p> <p>5. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following:</p> <p>a. <u>Mitigation</u> actions, timeframes and other...</p> <p>6. A programme of works that sets out:</p> <p>a. The timeframe for putting in place and implementing the mitigation actions identified in (4) and (5) including:</p> <p><u>i. Record of inspection by Waikato Regional Council staff or;</u> <u>ii. Record of inspection by Certified Industry Scheme staff; and</u> <u>iii. Record of audit by independent third party accredited auditor.</u></p> <p><u>7. A version control table that sets out the date of any amendment to the Farm Environment Plan and the content of the amendment to the Farm Environment Plan.</u></p> <p><u>8. A declaration from the Certified Farm Environment Planner confirming the best available and most accurate information was use for the promulgation and design of mitigation actions."</u></p>
<p>Waahi Whaanui Trust Submitter ID: 73537</p>	<p>PC1-12103</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plan shall contain...</p> <p>1.c. A list of land parcels which...</p> <p>i. the physical street address and ownership of each parcel of land (if different from the person responsible for the property or enterprise) and any relevant farm identifiers such as the dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference; and ...</p> <p>iii. <u>The relevant identifiers such as the rapid number, dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference.</u></p> <p>2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge.. farming activities on the property <u>or enterprise</u>, and the priority of...</p> <p>a. A description of where and how...</p> <p>i. the <u>location and</u> provision of fencing and livestock...</p> <p>ii. for areas with a slope... is impracticable, the <u>location and</u> provision of alternative...</p> <p>c. A description of the critical source areas...</p> <p>i. the identification of intermittent waterways, <u>wetlands</u> , overland flow paths... to minimise losses from<u>to</u> these areas through...</p> <p>e. A description of nutrient management practices...</p> <p>i. a nutrient budget...Waikato Regional Council; <u>and</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>ii. an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences.</p> <p>f. A description of cultivation management...</p> <p>d. <u>Establishing and</u> maintaining appropriate buffers...</p> <p>3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows:</p> <p>a. The boundaries of the property <u>or enterprise (if different)</u>; and...</p> <p>4. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following: <u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other measures to reduce the diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be undertaken in response to the risks identified in the risk assessment in 2 above (having regard to their relative priority) as well as where the mandatory time-bound actions will be undertaken, and when and to what standard they will be completed.</u></p> <p>5. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following:</p> <p>a. <u>Mitigation</u> actions, timeframes and other...</p> <p>6. A <u>programme of works that sets out:</u></p> <p>a. The timeframe for putting in place and implementing the mitigation actions identified in (4) and (5) including:</p> <p>i. <u>Record of inspection by Waikato Regional Council staff or;</u> ii. <u>Record of inspection by Certified Industry Scheme staff; and</u> iii. <u>Record of audit by independent third party accredited auditor.</u></p> <p>7. A <u>version control table that sets out the date of any amendment to the Farm Environment Plan and the content of the amendment to the Farm Environment Plan.</u></p> <p>8. A <u>declaration from the Certified Farm Environment Planner confirming the best available and most accurate information was use for the promulgation and design of mitigation actions."</u></p>
Wagstaff, Nigel and Sally Submitter ID: 71188	PC1-161	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure legislation protects individual and enterprise privacy.</p> <p>AND AMEND to require Council to identify each type of enterprise with a potential contamination rating and have the enterprises prepare their farm environment plans in conjunction with the risk factor identified.</p> <p>AND AMEND to include those enterprises at the lower end of the risk should be allowed to self-report, whilst the industries at the higher end should undertake a more thorough reporting method.</p> <p>AND AMEND Schedule 1 [or Schedule A] to ensure Waikato Regional Council makes provision to exempt an enterprise from reporting without penalty should their efforts be 'obstructed' by a 3rd party such as a landowner and that Council be given power to negotiate/deal independently with the 3rd party to resolve the matter or have it heard and dealt with via Court.</p>
Waiawa Farms Submitter ID: 71346	PC1-5850	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND to replace Farm Environment Plans with a Best Management Practice approach to be implemented immediately.</p> <p>OR AMEND Schedule 1 to include specific and straight-forward actions that are known to be viable and reduce contaminant loss.</p>
Waikato and Waipa Branches of the New Zealand Deer Farmers Association Submitter ID: 74008	PC1-9602	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule 1.A.2(a)(i) to read: "the provision of fencing and livestock crossing structures to achieve compliance with Schedule C; and..."</p> <p>AND AMEND Schedule 1 to allow slope thresholds to be practically assessed on-farm as guidelines with some margin for error of interpretation to account for within paddock slope variation.</p>
Waikato and Waipa River Iwi Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3563	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule 1 to read: "A. Farm Environment Plan shall contain...</p> <p>1.c. A list of land parcels which...</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>i. the physical street address and ownership of each parcel of land (if different from the person responsible for the property or enterprise) and any relevant farm identifiers such as the dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference; and ...</p> <p>iii. <u>The relevant identifiers such as the rapid number, dairy supply number, Agribase identification number, valuation reference.</u></p> <p>2. An assessment of the risk of diffuse discharge.. farming activities on the property <u>or enterprise</u>, and the priority of...</p> <p>a. A description of where and how...</p> <p>i. the <u>location and</u> provision of fencing and livestock...</p> <p>ii. for areas with a slope... is impracticable, the <u>location and</u> provision of alternative...</p> <p>c. A description of the critical source areas...</p> <p>i. the identification of intermittent waterways, <u>wetlands</u> , overland flow paths... to minimise losses from <u>to</u> these areas through...</p> <p>e. A description of nutrient management practices...</p> <p>i. a nutrient budget...Waikato Regional Council; <u>and</u></p> <p>ii. <u>an assessment of the assumptions used in a nutrient budget for the property and an opinion on material differences.</u></p> <p>f. A description of cultivation management...</p> <p>d. <u>Establishing and</u> maintaining appropriate buffers...</p> <p>3. A spatial risk map(s) at a scale that clearly shows:</p> <p>a. The boundaries of the property <u>or enterprise (if different)</u>; and...</p> <p>4. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following: <u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other measures to reduce the diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be undertaken in response to the risks identified in the risk assessment in 2 above (having regard to their relative priority) as well as where the mandatory time-bound actions will be undertaken, and when and to what standard they will be completed.</u></p> <p>5. A <u>detailed</u> description of the following:</p> <p>a. <u>Mitigation actions, timeframes and other...</u></p> <p><u>6. A programme of works that sets out:</u> <u>a. The timeframe for putting in place and implementing the mitigation actions identified in (4) and (5) including:</u> <u>i. Record of inspection by Waikato Regional Council staff or;</u> <u>ii. Record of inspection by Certified Industry Scheme staff; and</u> <u>iii. Record of audit by independent third party accredited auditor.</u></p> <p><u>7. A version control table that sets out the date of any amendment to the Farm Environment Plan and the content of the amendment to the Farm Environment Plan.</u></p> <p><u>8. A declaration from the Certified Farm Environment Planner confirming the best available and most accurate information was use for the promulgation and design of mitigation actions."</u></p>
<p>Waikato District Council (WDC) Submitter ID: 73418</p>	<p>PC1-3122</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>REVIEW AND AMEND all relevant PPC1 provisions to: AMEND Schedule B to ensure it is explicit about how the Nitrogen Reference Point is to be used in rules applying for the first decade, and how it could be applied in future decades (additional Healthy River plan changes); AND CONSIDER the introduction of Nitrogen Emission Constraint (NEC) methodology as part of future plan changes at least, and;</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to provide greater clarity and alignment between statements concerning Nitrogen Reference Point in the rules and Schedule 1. The reason for this is the expectation is that Nitrogen Reference Point will increase in importance through time under Healthy Rivers plan changes (i.e. further reductions required), therefore it is important to get clarity now.
Waikato Environment Centre Submitter ID: 73436	PC1-6238	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for Farm Environment Plans to be a controlled activity. AND AMEND Schedule 1 to reduce the timeframes for a Farm Environment Plan to be put in place. AND AMEND to provide for monitoring of compliance to be undertaken by a truly independent party
Waikato Federated Farmers Meat & Fibre Industry Group Submitter ID: 73934	PC1-2678	Oppose the provision	DELETE Clause 5 of Schedule 1.
Waikato Focus on Peat Group Submitter ID: 72148	PC1-5523	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 (2)(b)(iii) to allow farmers to adjust setback distances as needed on a case-by-case basis as long as Farm Environment Plan outcomes are achieved AND AMEND PPC1 to recognise that managing peat soils requires different rules.
Waikato Groundspread Association Submitter ID: 67970	PC1-31	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1/PPC1 so that approved Quality Assurance schemes and best practice technology be included in the Farm Environmental Plans as mitigation tools for the application of fertiliser and plant nutrients AND AMEND so that all fertiliser and nutrients applied to farms within the catchment of the PPC1 should be applied in a manner that meets the requirements of the Fertiliser Code of Practice – Fertmark Spreadmark and be supported by the use of technology that capture the areas that fertiliser and nutrients are applied to (Proof of Placement) AND AMEND so that the standard of Quality Assurance to meet PPC1 can also be met by Quality Assurance programs or equivalent that meet the requirements of the Fertiliser Codes of Practice AND AMEND so that the requirements of the standard of Quality Assurance must be met by all participants including Groundspread operators (fertiliser application) and farmers AND AMEND so that technology is required to support the application of fertiliser and plant nutrients to land that is covered by the identified areas with PPC1.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3575	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule 1 references to the 5 year rolling average and instead measure compliance based on whether the proposed mitigation actions listed in a Farm Environment Plans are completed. AND AMEND Schedule 1 clause 2 (e) to read: "A description of nutrient management practices including... using the model OVERSEER® in accordance with the OVERSEER® <u>data input standards and Table 1: Schedule Base protocols</u> , or using any other model or method approved" AND AMEND Schedule 1: Vegetable growing minimum standards Row 5 of the table Soil/Phosphorus to read: "As a minimum by block: an approved erosion and sediment control plan constructed <u>compiled by the Certified Farm Environment Planner</u> in accordance with the Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for Vegetable Production June 2014" AND AMEND Schedule 1 clause 5(a) to read: "Actions, timeframes and other measures.... or enterprise's Nitrogen Reference Point unless other suitable mitigations are specified. " OR AMEND 3.11.5.4(iii) and Schedule 1 to provide more clarity regarding how the discretion available in this provision, should be exercised.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-12544	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to simplify the numbering AND AMEND to reflect the standards/terms/conditions in the rules OR DELETE the references to some of the minimum standards in Schedule 1 OR AMEND to reflect the standards as 'best management practice' recommendations rather than firm requirements

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to renumber Schedule 1 clause " 2(f)(ii)(e) " to clause " <u>2(g)</u> " AND AMEND to renumber clause " 2(f)(ii)(f) " to clause " <u>2(h)</u> " AND AMEND Schedule 1 clause 4 to read: "A description of the actions... (having regard to their relative priority <u>and to the need for proportionality as specified in Policy 2(d) and 3(g)</u>) as well as where the mandatory..." AND AMEND Schedule 1 clause 2(b)(ii) to read: "Where practicable the provision... for land with a slope of less less than 15°..."
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-12545	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 clause 2(f)(i) to read: "The identification of slopes over... from that cultivation can be avoided <u>minimised</u> ; and" AND AMEND Schedule 1 Clause 2(f)(ii)(d) to read: "maintaining appropriate buffers between cultivated areas and water bodies (minimum 5m setback <u>or a lesser distance greater than 1m with appropriate mitigation measures specified in the Farm Environment Plan</u>)." AND AMEND Schedule 1 clause 2(f)(ii)(f) to read: "A description of freshwater... to groundwater or surface water will be minimised. This description shall, unless otherwise authorised by a resource consent, include information that <u>demonstrates compliance with conditions (a) to (f) of rule 3.4.5.6 of the Waikato Regional Plan</u> ."
Waikato River Authority Submitter ID: 74033	PC1-11563	Not stated	AMEND Schedule 1 to include a requirement for Farm Environment Plan actions related to the four contaminants to be implemented within a specified timeframe AND AMEND to strengthen the implementation aspects of Farm Environment Plans, especially in relation to the management of contaminants other than nitrogen so that there is a transparent process that ensures accountability and compliance with such plans.
Waipa District Council Submitter ID: 67704	PC1-3243	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 requirements to remove reference to 'appropriate' and other subjective provisions and replace them with specific measurable language, OR AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions so that they inform mitigation measures that must be complied with, rather than set the standards themselves. AND REMOVE the reference to alternative nutrient budget models.
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4750	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to address points raised in submission.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11389	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1(2)(e) to read: "A description of nutrient management practices including a nutrient budget for the farm enterprise calculated using <u>either the model-current version of the OVERSEER or the APSIM or the SPASMO Model</u> in accordance with the <u>OVERSEER-relevant</u> use protocols, or using any other model or method approved by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council. " AND AMEND Schedule 1(5)(a) to read: "Actions, timeframes and other measures...as determined by the use of <u>either the current version of the OVERSEER or the APSIM or the SPASMO Model</u> , does not increase beyond the property or enterprise's Nitrogen Reference Point (<u>as calculated in accordance with paragraph (f) of Schedule B</u>), unless other suitable mitigations are specified; or"
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-12546	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to consistently refer to a 'property or enterprise' throughout AND AMEND all references to 'Certified Industry Schemes' to read " <u>any relevant</u> Certified Industry Scheme".
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-12547	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW Schedule 1(6) to read: " <u>Farm Environment Plans will be subject to review within the period of 6 months following the preparation of any relevant Sub-catchment management plan pertaining to a property or enterprise to ensure that Farm Environment Plans are not inconsistent with relevant Sub-catchment management plans.</u> "
Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2148	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE reference to the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value. AND DELETE reference to land capability from Schedule 1(2)(d).

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-12548	Support the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - ADD a clause to ensure that Waikato Regional Council will provide Best Managements Practice guidelines for actions or measures to mitigate contaminant discharge in relation to a range of land uses, stock policies, land types and other biophysical factors and that such mitigating actions or measures are to be included in Farm Environment Plans and implemented on all properties across the region.
Waitaka Farming Partnership Submitter ID: 73441	PC1-12549	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to allow more flexibility in Farm Environment Plans.
Waitaka Farming Partnership Submitter ID: 73441	PC1-12550	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 so that for land greater than 25 degrees in slope consideration is taken that the land is protected with reasonable cover (dry matter) to reduce sediment runoff.
Waitaka Farming Partnership Submitter ID: 73441	PC1-6415	Oppose the provision	AMEND to recognise in PPC1 that flexibility will allow farmers to change to meet demands AND AMEND to recognise in PPC1 that farming is the backbone of New Zealand's economy.
Waitomo Catchment Trust Board Submitter ID: 73124	PC1-7943	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that Nitrogen Reference Points are calculated as part of the Farm Environment Plan, at a property or sub-catchment level. AND if the Nitrogen Reference Point is to be calculated as a percentile, it should be based on a 5-year average.
Waitomo Catchment Trust Board Submitter ID: 73124	PC1-12551	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure collaboration between Waikato Regional Council and landowners in developing Farm Environment Plans, and that Farm Environment Plans are a free or subsidised service.
Waitomo District Council Submitter ID: 73688	PC1-10856	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 requirements to remove reference to 'appropriate' and other subjective provisions and replace them with specific measurable language OR AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions so that they inform mitigation measures that must be complied with, rather than set the standards themselves.
Waitomo District Council Submitter ID: 73688	PC1-12552	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure appropriate account has been taken in setting the E.coli limits to make allowance for peak flooding events.
Walker, Patience Anne LeSuer Submitter ID: 73458	PC1-9954	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1(2)(b)(iii) to reduce the cultivation setback on peat soils AND AMEND to ensure standoff is specific to soil type and gradient.
Wallace, Martin Lindsay Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-8405	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 Clause (5.a) to read: "Actions, timeframes and other measures to ensure that the diffuse of nitrogen from the property or enterprise, as measured by the five year rolling average annual nitrogen loss as determined by the use of the current version of OVERSEER, does not increase beyond the property or enterprise's Nitrogen Reference Point, unless other suitable mitigations are specified; or discharges do not exceed the sustainable Nitrogen Discharge Limit." AND AMEND Clause (5.b) to refer to the 50th percentile in place of the 75th percentile in lines 1 and 2 OR DELETE if the Nitrogen Discharge Limit is adopted.
Wallace, Martin Lindsay Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-12553	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Paragraph 2 to read: "The Farm Environment Plan shall identify all sources of sediment, nitrogen, phosphorus and microbial pathogens, and identify actions, timeframes for those actions to be completed, in order to reduce, <u>where required</u> , the diffuse discharges of these contaminants".
Ward, Simeon Submitter ID: 72665	PC1-10969	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by the Federated Farmers submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ward, Theodora C. Submitter ID: 61004	PC1-5992	Not stated	AMEND Farm Environment Plans to consider that levels of applied nutrients are difficult to evaluate on an annual basis due to market forces and return for produce particularly in the drystock industry. (Schedule 1)
Waterworth, Ashley Submitter ID: 73180	PC1-6555	Support the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide flexibility for cultivation and winter cropping on land irrespective of slope.
Waterworth, Ashley Submitter ID: 73176	PC1-6557	Support the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide flexibility for cultivation and winter cropping on land irrespective of slope.
Waterworth, Bruce Kenrick Submitter ID: 71442	PC1-2532	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule 1 the Nitrogen Reference Point calculation AND RETAIN the flexibility to move the Nitrogen Reference Point across enterprises in the same sub-catchment.
Waterworth, Bruce Kenrick Submitter ID: 71442	PC1-12554	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule 1 Farm Environment Plans.
Waterworth, Jenefer Fay Submitter ID: 71438	PC1-1574	Support the provision	RETAIN in Schedule 1 the use a Farm Environment Plan to allow land to be cultivated irrespective of slope.
Waterworth, Jenefer Fay Submitter ID: 71438	PC1-12555	Support the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to include the dairy sector within the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value.
Waterworth, Lewis Bruce Submitter ID: 71444	PC1-1528	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule 1 provisions relating to the Nitrogen Reference Point being calculated using the highest leaching loss over the reference period using 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 financial year AND RETAIN using the current version of OVERSEER to calculate the Nitrogen Reference Point AND RETAIN that the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value includes the dairy sector AND RETAIN the flexibility to move the Nitrogen Reference Point across enterprises in the same sub-catchment AND AMEND so that there is the ability for cultivation of land irrespective of slope using a Farm Environment Plans.
Waterworth, Lewis Bruce Submitter ID: 71444	PC1-12556	Support the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 so that there is the ability for cultivation of land irrespective of slope using a Farm Environment Plans.
Waterworth, Serena Submitter ID: 71437	PC1-8574	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule 1 AND AMEND to provide flexibility to move Nitrogen Reference Points across enterprises in the same sub-catchment.
Waterworth, Serena Submitter ID: 71437	PC1-12557	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule 1 AND AMEND to provide flexibility for cultivation and winter cropping on land irrespective of slope through Farm Environment Plans.
Watson, David and Sheona Submitter ID: 73059	PC1-4725	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to exclude any areas with slopes exceeding 15 degrees and where no break feeding occurs.
Watson, David and Sheona Submitter ID: 73059	PC1-12558	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to define a waterway as containing continually moving surface water and exceeding 1 metre wide at any point and 30 cm deep on average.
Watson, David and Sheona Submitter ID: 73059	PC1-12559	Support the provision with amendments	Schedule 1 - AMEND the process of Farm Environment Plans so Council works with the Farm Plan on each individual farm to achieve the set environmental goals AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans set mitigation for all four contaminants equally and specifically for each sub-catchment AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans can be written by the landowners provided they have support from their sector body.
Webber, Richard Mark Submitter ID: 72829	PC1-4789	Support the provision with amendments	No specific relief sought for Schedule 1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Wilding, Anthony Gordon Submitter ID: 73501	PC1-8779	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that industry work with Council to develop an industry Farm Environment Plan which can then be customised to each farm AND AMEND so that Farm Environment Plans can be industry monitored with an independent audit as a cross check and the frequency of audit lessens once compliance or an agreed standard is achieved AND develop a sound and agreed scientific reference point for Farm Environment Plan monitoring through collaboration between industry and compliance bodies AND substantiate the OVERSEER Model calculation or other measuring tools using monitoring farms in each catchment.
Wildman, Anna Mary Submitter ID: 72505	PC1-3891	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 so that Farm Environment Plans define how the farm manages environmental quality, rather than the use of blanket rules. And ensure that the cost of producing a Farm Environment Plan through a certified planner is not prohibitive and supported by the council AND AMEND the timescales for completion of Farm Environment Plans to be realistic with adequate certified planners in place.
Williams, Annette Judith Submitter ID: 72486	PC1-8550	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to modify timeframes to enable flexibility and the development of advisors AND AMEND to allow staged completion of Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to allow farmers to produce Farm Environment Plans, with managed industry support.
Williams, Ian David Submitter ID: 71432	PC1-798	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to provide for farmers being able to develop their own Farm Environment Plans either on their own accord or through Farm Environment Plan development workshops and having these plans reviewed (including a farm visit to assess the identified risks and planned mitigation measures) by a Certified Farm Environment Planner.
Williams, Ian David Submitter ID: 71432	PC1-12560	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Schedule 1 2.(f)(i) AND ADD a NEW Schedule 1 2.(f) to read: "(f) A description of cultivation management, including: (i) The identification of slopes over 15° and how cultivation on them will be avoided; unless contaminant discharges to water bodies from that cultivation can be avoided; and (ii) How the adverse effects of cultivation on slopes of less than 15° will be mitigated through appropriate erosion and sediment controls for each paddock that will be cultivated including by: (a) assessing where overland flows enters and exits the paddock in rainfall events; and (b) identifying appropriate measures to divert overland flows from entering the cultivated paddock; and (c) identifying measures to trap sediment leaving the cultivated paddock in overland flows; and (d) maintaining appropriate buffers between cultivated areas and water bodies (minimum 5m setback)." AND AMEND Schedule 1 2(b)(iii) to read: " <u>(iii) The provision of minimum cultivation setbacks of 5 metres is designed to mitigate the environmental risk of contaminant losses.</u> " AND AMEND Schedule 1 2(f)(ii)(d) to read: "maintaining appropriate buffers between cultivated areas and water bodies (minimum 5m setback)."
Williams, Ian David Submitter ID: 71432	PC1-12561	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the numbering of Schedule 1 " 2.(f)(ii)(e) " to read " <u>3 (a)</u> " and " 2.(f)(iii)(f) " to read " <u>3 (b)</u> " AND AMEND consequent numbering for Schedule 1.
Williams, Ian David Submitter ID: 71432	PC1-12562	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to a Nitrogen Reference Point that is produced according to the following timeframes: - All farms above 20 ha in Priority 1 catchments December 31, 2020 - All farms above 20 ha in Priority 2 catchments December 31, 2023 - All other properties 1 January 2026.
Williams, Janet Beverley	PC1-4479	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1(5).

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72487			
Williamson, Don and Robyn Submitter ID: 73957	PC1-2822	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE (Schedule 1) the Nitrogen Reference cap AND REPLACE with a sub-catchment plan (Land and Environment Plan).
Williamson, Jack Submitter ID: 72769	PC1-8932	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure environmental and economic sustainability.
Williamson, Stephen David Submitter ID: 73040	PC1-8679	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 1.
Williamson, Terry Submitter ID: 71228	PC1-794	Oppose the provision	The submission did not provide further detail for Schedule 1.
Wills, Alan Bryan Submitter ID: 72954	PC1-1647	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Wilson, Mark Submitter ID: 73923	PC1-5180	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for Schedule 1.
Win Dee Farms (2007) Ltd Submitter ID: 73787	PC1-9153	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Win Dee Farms (2007) Ltd Submitter ID: 73787	PC1-12563	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to allow a 3 to 5 year extended period for farmers to establish a nutrient budget that reflects an accurate account of farming practices, if the current farm owners were not the owners during the Nitrogen Reference Point reference years.
Win Dee Farms (2007) Ltd Submitter ID: 73787	PC1-12564	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 to give definite answers on the costs of a Farm Environment Plan and its requirements.
Wiremu Trust Submitter ID: 73969	PC1-8858	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to require practitioners to have appropriate experience in the area and with the soil types No specific decision sought with respect to cost of Farm Environment Plans.
Worsp, Simon Wynn & Rosemary Elizabeth Submitter ID: 71269	PC1-976	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Schedule 1 provisions relating to long term land use, Farm Environment Plans and the Nitrogen Reference Point.
Worsp, Simon Wynn & Rosemary Elizabeth Submitter ID: 71269	PC1-12565	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to consider contribution of all to contaminant discharges including city storm water.
Wright, Nathan John	PC1-3087	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1(2)(a)ii from 25 to 15 degrees.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72624			
Young, Peter Robert Orr Submitter ID: 73228	PC1-4321	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated. AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 1. AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought. AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 1 match the policies, methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 1 relates.]
Young, Ronald Ivan Submitter ID: 73362	PC1-9834	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 1.

Schedule 2 - Certification of Industry Schemes/Te Āpitiwhanga 2 – Te whakamana i ngā tohu o ngā Kaupapa Ahumahi

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Aitken, David John Submitter ID: 71238	PC1-710	Oppose the provision	AMEND to ensure that Certified Farm Environment Planners are provided with Code of Conduct training to ensure that information provided by the farmer remains confidential. Alternatively, relevant information can be obtained from NAIT or Statistics NZ.
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6244	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include better and further particulars regarding the approval and operation of Certified Industry Schemes.
Black Jack Farms Submitter ID: 72028	PC1-8059	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 2.
Brown, Peter Submitter ID: 72628	PC1-9819	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Schedule 2 requirement to have a Farm Environment Plan prepared by a Certified Farm Environment Planner.
CNI Iwi Land Management Limited Submitter ID: 74026	PC1-10808	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 2 to read: "Assessment Criteria A. Certified Industry Scheme System The application must demonstrate that the Certified Industry Scheme: 1. Is consistent with and will achieve: ... c. the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; and d. <u>the contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s where the Certified Industry Scheme operates through the coordination of Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u> 3 5. Has documented systems, processes, and procedures to ensure: <u>g. Agreed process for non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including Revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>h. Internal quality control <u>and verification</u></p> <p>i. The responsibilities <u>and accountability</u> of all parties to Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated <u>and enforced</u>.</p> <p>B. People</p> <p>The application must demonstrate that:</p> <p>1. Those <u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are Certified Farm Environment Planners</u> suitably qualified and experienced.</p> <p>2. Auditing of Farm Environment plan requirements is <u>undertaken by parties that are accredited auditors and independent of the Farm Environment Plan preparation and approval.</u>"</p>
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10648	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for Schedule 2.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10857	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule 2 to ensure that the purpose for which information is being sought is clearly stated AND ENSURE that it is within Councils powers to seek all of the information sought in Schedule 2 AND ENSURE that the information sought by Council is no more than is necessary to achieve the purposes for which it is sought AND ENSURE that the requirements set out in Schedule 2 match the Policies, Methods, definitions, etc, to which Schedule 2 relates.</p>
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-10654	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule 2(A)(1) to read: "Is consistent with <u>standards necessary for the professional consultancy services and auditing services to support:...</u>"</p> <p>AND DELETE Schedule 2(C)</p> <p>OR AMEND Schedule 2(C) to ensure the application is able to demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans can be prepared and/or assessed for their conformance with Schedule 1.</p>
Fleming, Gordon Gerald Shane Submitter ID: 74075	PC1-10463	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 2.
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10561	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule 2 to read:</p> <p>"The purpose of this schedule is to set out the criteria against which applications to approve an industry scheme will be assessed <u>standards that will apply to Certified Industry Scheme and which will be used as a basis for certification.</u> The application for <u>certification</u> shall be lodged...</p> <p>Assessment Criteria Standards</p> <p>...f. That those registered to a Certified Industry Scheme are aware of any non-compliance and, if not remedied, and non-compliance is reported to Corrective Actions will be implemented and escalated where required, including escalation to Waikato Regional Council in the approved format. If internal escalation is not successful...</p> <p>B. People</p> <p>The application must demonstrate that:</p> <p>1. Those generating and auditing <u>preparing</u> Farm Environment Plans <u>and auditing implementation of Farm Environment Plans</u> are suitably qualified and experienced.</p> <p>2. Auditing of Farm Environment plan requirements is independent of the Farm Environment Plan preparation and approval.</p> <p><u>2. The Certified Industry Scheme has access to Certified Farm Nutrient Advisors to prepare Nitrogen Reference Points and sufficient Certified Farm Environment Planners to certify Farm Environment Plans.</u></p> <p>C. Farm Environment Plans</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			The application must demonstrate that <u>how</u> Farm Environment Plans are <u>will be</u> prepared in conformance with Schedule 1. <u>An industry scheme will not be certified until the Chief Executive Officer of the Waikato Regional Council determines that the above standards have been met.</u>
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10881	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 2(A)(1)(a) to read: "a. the achievement of the water quality or targets referred to in Objective 3; and..."
Garland, Suzanne Merle and William Graham Submitter ID: 74066	PC1-11327	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 2 to be consistent with Schedule 1 AND AMEND to provide clarification of the timeframes for Farm Environment Plan actions to be implemented
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-3625	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 2 A. 1.a. as follows: "the achievement of the water quality or targets referred to in Objective 3; and"
Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5792	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 2.
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10224	Not stated	The application must demonstrate that the Certified Industry Scheme: 1. Is consistent with: a) the achievement of the water quality targets referred to in Objective 3; and b) the purposes of Policy 2 or 3; and c) the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5. 2.1. Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management. 3.2. Has documented systems, processes, and procedures to ensure: a) Competency assessment and checks for people who generate and subsequently monitor Farm Environment Plans in line with the relevant industry qualifications as agreed with Waikato Regional Council a)b) Competent and consistent performance in Farm Environment Plan preparation and audit. b)c) Effective internal monitoring of performance. c)d) Robust data management. d)e) Timely provision of suitable quality data to Waikato Regional Council. e)f) Timely and appropriate reporting. f)g) Corrective actions will be implemented and escalated where required, including escalation to Waikato Regional Council if internal escalation is not successful. g)h) Internal quality control. h)i) The responsibilities of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated. i)j) An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is maintained. j)k) Transparency and public accountability of Certified Industry Schemes k)l) The articles of the scheme are available for public viewing. B. People The application must demonstrate that: 1. Those generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are suitably qualified and experienced. 2. Auditing of Farm Environment Plan requirements is independent of the Farm Environment Plan preparation and approval. C. Farm Environment Plans The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1 or 1B."

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Huirimu Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-6089	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 2.
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1929	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND to clarify Certified Industry Schemes.
Macnab, Rob and Tina Submitter ID: 74150	PC1-8389	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule 2.
Mangakotukutuku Stream Care Group Incorporated Submitter ID: 72412	PC1-4473	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to protect remaining wetlands and gully seeps and create new incentives to encourage the creation or reinstatement of wetland areas AND RETAIN Schedule 2.
Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9367	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System The application must <u>clearly</u> demonstrate that the... a. the achievement of the water quality targets referred to... c. the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; and d. <u>the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u> 2. Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management <u>(including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u> 3. <u>Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u> 4. <u>Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</u> 35. <u>Has documented systems, processes, and...</u> a. <u>Competent and consistent performance in preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u> b. <u>Effective internal monitoring of performance, including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u> c. <u>Robust data management (both spatial and temporal)...</u> d. ... e. <u>Timely and appropriate detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u> <u>i. progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u> <u>ii. current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u> f. <u>Corrective actions will be implemented where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u> <u>g. Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u> h. <u>Internal quality control and verification.</u> i. <u>The responsibilities and accountability of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated and enforced...</u> j. <u>An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is established and maintained ...</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>k. ...</p> <p>l. The articles of the scheme, <u>including its register of membership</u> are available for public viewing.</p> <p>B. People</p> <p>The application must demonstrate...</p> <p>1. Those <u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are Certified Farm Environment Planners suitably qualified and experienced.</u></p> <p>2. <u>Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors and independent of the Farm...</u></p> <p>c. Farm Environment Plans</p> <p>The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1."</p> <p>Or</p> <p>AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.</p>
<p>Maungatautari Marae Submitter ID: 73990</p>	<p>PC1-11768</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System</p> <p>The application must <u>clearly demonstrate</u> that the...</p> <p>a. the achievement of <u>the water quality targets referred to...</u></p> <p>c. <u>the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; and</u></p> <p>d. <u>the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>2. <u>Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management (including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u></p> <p>3. <u>Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u></p> <p>4. <u>Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</u></p> <p>35. <u>Has documented systems, processes, and...</u></p> <p>a. <u>Competent and consistent performance in preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u></p> <p>b. <u>Effective internal monitoring of performance, including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u></p> <p>c. <u>Robust data management (both spatial and temporal)...</u></p> <p>d. ...</p> <p>e. <u>Timely and appropriate detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u></p> <p><u>i. progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u></p> <p><u>ii. current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u></p> <p>f. <u>Corrective actions will be implemented where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u></p> <p><u>g. Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>h. Internal quality control <u>and verification</u>.</p> <p>i. The responsibilities <u>and accountability</u> of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated <u>and enforced</u>...</p> <p>j. An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is <u>established and maintained</u> ...</p> <p>k. ...</p> <p>l. The articles of the scheme, <u>including its register of membership</u> are available for public viewing.</p> <p>B. People</p> <p>The application must demonstrate...</p> <p>1. These <u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are Certified Farm Environment Planners, suitably qualified and experienced.</u></p> <p>2. Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - <u>prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors</u> and independent of the Farm...</p> <p>c. Farm Environment Plans</p> <p>The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1."</p> <p>Or</p> <p>AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.</p>
<p>McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969</p>	<p>PC1-8317</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2 to outline the intent and purpose of a Certified Industry Scheme, rather than just listing criteria which must be met to become certified</p> <p>AND AMEND general typographical and grammatical errors</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure there is transparency about what constitutes a Certified Industry Scheme.</p>
<p>McLean, Parekawhia Submitter ID: 73359</p>	<p>PC1-11918</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System</p> <p>The application must <u>clearly demonstrate</u> that the...</p> <p>a. the achievement of <u>the water quality targets referred to...</u></p> <p>c. <u>the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; and</u></p> <p>d. <u>the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>2. Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management <u>(including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u></p> <p><u>3. Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u></p> <p><u>4. Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</u></p> <p>3<u>5. Has documented systems, processes, and...</u></p> <p>a. <u>Competent and consistent performance in preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u></p> <p>b. <u>Effective internal monitoring of performance, including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u></p> <p>c. <u>Robust data management (both spatial and temporal)...</u></p> <p>d. ...</p> <p>e. <u>Timely and appropriate detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p><u>i. progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u> <u>ii. current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u> f. Corrective actions will be implemented <u>where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u> <u>g. Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u> h. Internal quality control <u>and verification.</u> i. The responsibilities <u>and accountability</u> of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated <u>and enforced...</u> j. An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is <u>established and maintained ...</u> k. ... l. The articles of the scheme, <u>including its register of membership</u> are available for public viewing.</p> <p>B. People The application must demonstrate...</p> <p>1. These <u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are Certified Farm Environment Planners suitably qualified and experienced.</u> 2. Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - <u>prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors and independent of the Farm...</u></p> <p>c. Farm Environment Plans The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1." Or AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.</p>
<p>Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182</p>	<p>PC1-9678</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2 to read: "Schedule 2 - Certification of <u>Industry Sector</u> Schemes The purpose of this schedule is to set out the criteria against which applications to approve an industry sector scheme will be assessed. Assessment Criteria A. Certified <u>Industry Sector</u> Scheme System The application must demonstrate that the Certified <u>Industry Sector</u> Scheme: 3. Has documented systems, processes, and procedures to ensure: h. The responsibilities of all parties to the Certified <u>Industry Sector</u> Scheme are clearly stated. j. Transparency and public accountability of Certified <u>Industry Sector</u> Schemes."</p>
<p>Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492</p>	<p>PC1-8899</p>	<p>Support the provision</p>	<p>RETAIN Schedule 2.</p>
<p>Neal, Phillip John and Kristin Marie Submitter ID: 73802</p>	<p>PC1-2945</p>	<p>Support the provision</p>	<p>No specific decision sought for Schedule 2.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<p>New Zealand Pork Industry Board Submitter ID: 73780</p>	<p>PC1-4671</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2 to read: "3(a) Competent and consistent performance in Farm Environment Plan preparation and audit... (g) Internal quality control <u>based on the principles of the current version of ISO 17065...</u> <u>(l) Conflict of interest is identified prior to Farm Environment Plan audits and mitigated as guided by ISO 17065.</u> <u>(m) Audits are conducted following the principles outlined in the current version of ISO 19011."</u></p>
<p>Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu Submitter ID: 74088</p>	<p>PC1-11617</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System The application must <u>clearly demonstrate</u> that the... a. the achievement ofthe water quality targets referred to... c. the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; and d. <u>the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u> 2. Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management <u>(including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u> 3. <u>Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u> 4. <u>Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</u> 3.5. Has documented systems, processes, and... a. Competent and consistent performance in <u>preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u> b. Effective internal monitoring of performance, <u>including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u> c. Robust data management <u>(both spatial and temporal)</u>... d. ... e. Timely and appropriate <u>detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u> i. <u>progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u> ii. <u>current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u> f. Corrective actions will be implemented <u>where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u> g. <u>Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u> h. Internal quality control <u>and verification.</u> i. The responsibilities <u>and accountability</u> of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated <u>and enforced</u>... j. An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is <u>established and maintained</u> ... k. ... l. The articles of the scheme, <u>including its register of membership</u> are available for public viewing. B. People The application must demonstrate...</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>1. ThoseThe nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are <u>Certified Farm Environment Planners</u> suitably qualified and experienced.</p> <p>2. Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - <u>prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors and independent of the Farm...</u></p> <p>c. Farm Environment Plans</p> <p>The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1."</p> <p>Or</p> <p>AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.</p>
<p>Ngati Haua Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73515</p>	<p>PC1-11868</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System</p> <p>The application must <u>clearly</u> demonstrate that the...</p> <p>a. the achievement ofthe water quality targets referred to...</p> <p>c. the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; and</p> <p>d. <u>the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>2. Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management <u>(including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u></p> <p>3. <u>Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u></p> <p>4. Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</p> <p>35. Has documented systems, processes, and...</p> <p>a. Competent and consistent performance in <u>preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u></p> <p>b. Effective internal monitoring of performance, <u>including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u></p> <p>c. Robust data management <u>(both spatial and temporal)...</u></p> <p>d. ...</p> <p>e. Timely and appropriate <u>detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u></p> <p><u>i. progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u></p> <p><u>ii. current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u></p> <p>f. Corrective actions will be implemented <u>where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u></p> <p><u>g. Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>h. Internal quality control <u>and verification.</u></p> <p>i. The responsibilities <u>and accountability</u> of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated <u>and enforced...</u></p> <p>j. An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is <u>established and maintained ...</u></p> <p>k. ...</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>I. The articles of the scheme, <u>including its register of membership</u> are available for public viewing.</p> <p>B. People The application must demonstrate...</p> <p>1. These<u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are <u>Certified Farm Environment Planners</u> suitably qualified and experienced.</u></p> <p>2. Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - <u>prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors and independent of the Farm...</u></p> <p>c. Farm Environment Plans The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1." Or AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.</p>
<p>Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725</p>	<p>PC1-8826</p>	<p>Oppose the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2 by incorporating it into the proposed New Restricted discretionary Activity - approval of certified scheme [Rule 8 in Table 2 of the submission]. AND DELETE the following sentence from Schedule 2: "Approval will be at the discretion of the Chief Executive Officer of the Waikato Regional Council subject to the Chief Executive Officer being satisfied that the scheme will effectively deliver on the assessment criteria." AND OR AMEND to make such changes as appropriate to reflect the reasons for the submission including: ENSURE that Certified Industry Schemes are functional by 2019. AND AMEND to approval a Certified Industry Scheme approved by the CEO so that Schedule 2 is not ultra vires, there is transparency that the scheme will be comprehensive and robust. AND AMEND to remove the reliance on the OVERSEER Model is as the basis for certification. AND OR AMEND to the alternative approach proposed in the submission.</p>
<p>Oliver, William and Karen Submitter ID: 73021</p>	<p>PC1-9547</p>	<p>Support the provision</p>	<p>Schedule 2: AMEND PPC1 so that compliance efficiency is considered and that Council costs funded by all ratepayers AND AMEND to ensure monitoring and standardisation across schemes is reviewed constantly.</p>
<p>Open Country Dairy Submitter ID: 74182</p>	<p>PC1-5978</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2 so that Waikato Regional Council ensures a default Certification Scheme is established by a suitable organisation for the preparation and auditing of Farm Environment Plans.</p>
<p>Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette Submitter ID: 73249</p>	<p>PC1-9507</p>	<p>Support the provision</p>	<p>RETAIN Schedule 2.</p>
<p>Poohara Marae Submitter ID: 73545</p>	<p>PC1-12044</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System The application must <u>clearly</u> demonstrate that the... a. the achievement ofthe water quality targets referred to... c. the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; <u>and</u> d. <u>the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u> 2. Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management <u>(including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u> <u>3. Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u> <u>4. Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>25. Has documented systems, processes, and...</p> <p>a. Competent and consistent performance in <u>preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u></p> <p>b. Effective internal monitoring of performance, <u>including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u></p> <p>c. Robust data management <u>(both spatial and temporal)</u>...</p> <p>d. ...</p> <p>e. Timely and appropriate <u>detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u></p> <p><u>i. progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u></p> <p><u>ii. current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u></p> <p>f. Corrective actions will be implemented <u>where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u></p> <p><u>g. Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>h. Internal quality control <u>and verification.</u></p> <p>i. The responsibilities <u>and accountability</u> of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated <u>and enforced</u>...</p> <p>j. An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is <u>established and maintained</u> ...</p> <p>k. ...</p> <p>I. The articles of the scheme, <u>including its register of membership</u> are available for public viewing.</p> <p>B. People</p> <p>The application must demonstrate...</p> <p>1. Those <u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are Certified Farm Environment Planners suitably qualified and experienced.</u></p> <p>2. Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - <u>prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors and independent of the Farm...</u></p> <p>c. Farm Environment Plans</p> <p>The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1." Or</p> <p>AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.</p>
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6329	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule 2.
Pukerimu Farms Limited Submitter ID: 73073	PC1-4812	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 2.
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10606	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System</p> <p>The application must <u>clearly</u> demonstrate that the...</p> <p>a. the achievement of <u>the water quality targets referred to...</u></p> <p>c. <u>the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; and</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>d. <u>the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>2. <u>Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management (including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u></p> <p>3. <u>Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u></p> <p>4. <u>Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</u></p> <p>35. <u>Has documented systems, processes, and...</u></p> <p>a. <u>Competent and consistent performance in preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u></p> <p>b. <u>Effective internal monitoring of performance, including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u></p> <p>c. <u>Robust data management (both spatial and temporal)...</u></p> <p>d. ...</p> <p>e. <u>Timely and appropriate detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u></p> <p>i. <u>progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u></p> <p>ii. <u>current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u></p> <p>f. <u>Corrective actions will be implemented where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u></p> <p>g. <u>Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>h. <u>Internal quality control and verification.</u></p> <p>i. <u>The responsibilities and accountability of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated and enforced...</u></p> <p>j. <u>An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is established and maintained ...</u></p> <p>k. ...</p> <p>I. <u>The articles of the scheme, including its register of membership are available for public viewing.</u></p> <p>B. People</p> <p>The application must demonstrate...</p> <p>1. Those <u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are Certified Farm Environment Planners suitably qualified and experienced.</u></p> <p>2. <u>Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors and independent of the Farm...</u></p> <p>c. Farm Environment Plans</p> <p>The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1."</p> <p>Or</p> <p>AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ravensdown Limited Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10183	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Schedule 2. AND AMEND as follows: "Assessment Criteria A. Certified Industry Scheme System The application must demonstrate that the Certified Industry Scheme: 1. Is consistent with <u>standards necessary for the professional consultancy services and auditing services to support:</u> " AND AMEND as follows: "C. Farm Environment Plans The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1."
Robson, Angus Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-4023	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Schedule 2 industry self-management.
Save Lake Karapiro Inc Submitter ID: 72459	PC1-5745	Oppose the provision	Schedule 2: DELETE the use of industry self-management schemes.
Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-6388	Support the provision	RETAIN Schedule 2.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11197	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include better and further particulars regarding the approval and operation of Certified Industry Schemes.
South Waikato District Council Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-9735	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Schedule 2.
Stark, Steven and Theresa Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5236	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 2 by replacing all water quality targets with the minimum standards for stock exclusion as set out in the Ministry for the Environment's Clean Water document published February 2017, publication number ME 1293; and within the National Objectives Framework in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. AND AMEND by allowing movement of water quality within a band. AND AMEND Schedule 2 to read: "1.b) the purposes of Policy 2 or 3; <u>Measure and monitor for the first 10 years.</u> "
Strang and Strang Limited Submitter ID: 73851	PC1-5578	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 2.
Taupo Lake Care Incorporated Submitter ID: 61093	PC1-9339	Support the provision with amendments	ADD to Schedule 2 a qualification to the Certified Farm Environment Planner and Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor requirements that allows the operator of an enterprise or property to take the role of the Certified Farm Environment Planner and Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor for that enterprise or property.
Te Arawa River Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11818	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System The application must <u>clearly</u> demonstrate that the... a. the achievement of the water quality targets referred to... c. the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; <u>and</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>d. <u>the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>2. <u>Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management (including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u></p> <p>3. <u>Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u></p> <p>4. <u>Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</u></p> <p>35. <u>Has documented systems, processes, and...</u></p> <p>a. <u>Competent and consistent performance in preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u></p> <p>b. <u>Effective internal monitoring of performance, including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u></p> <p>c. <u>Robust data management (both spatial and temporal)...</u></p> <p>d. ...</p> <p>e. <u>Timely and appropriate detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u></p> <p>i. <u>progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u></p> <p>ii. <u>current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u></p> <p>f. <u>Corrective actions will be implemented where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u></p> <p>g. <u>Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>h. <u>Internal quality control and verification.</u></p> <p>i. <u>The responsibilities and accountability of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated and enforced...</u></p> <p>j. <u>An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is established and maintained ...</u></p> <p>k. ...</p> <p>I. <u>The articles of the scheme, including its register of membership are available for public viewing.</u></p> <p>B. People</p> <p>The application must demonstrate...</p> <p>1. Those <u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are Certified Farm Environment Planners suitably qualified and experienced.</u></p> <p>2. <u>Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors and independent of the Farm...</u></p> <p>c. Farm Environment Plans</p> <p>The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1."</p> <p>Or</p> <p>AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<p>Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees Submitter ID: 74168</p>	<p>PC1-11950</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System The application must <u>clearly</u> demonstrate that the...</p> <p>a. the achievement of the water quality targets referred to...</p> <p>c. the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; and</p> <p>d. <u>the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>2. Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management <u>(including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u></p> <p><u>3. Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u></p> <p><u>4. Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</u></p> <p>3<u>5.</u> Has documented systems, processes, and...</p> <p>a. Competent and consistent performance in <u>preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u></p> <p>b. Effective internal monitoring of performance, <u>including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u></p> <p>c. Robust data management <u>(both spatial and temporal)...</u></p> <p>d. ...</p> <p>e. Timely and appropriate <u>detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u></p> <p><u>i. progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u></p> <p><u>ii. current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u></p> <p>f. Corrective actions will be implemented <u>where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u></p> <p><u>g. Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>h. Internal quality control <u>and verification.</u></p> <p>i. The responsibilities <u>and accountability</u> of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated <u>and enforced...</u></p> <p>j. An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is <u>established and maintained ...</u></p> <p>k. ...</p> <p>l. The articles of the scheme, <u>including its register of membership</u> are available for public viewing.</p> <p>B. People The application must demonstrate...</p> <p>1. Those<u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are Certified Farm Environment Planners suitably qualified and experienced.</u></p> <p>2. Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors and independent of the Farm...</p> <p>c. Farm Environment Plans The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1."</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>Or AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.</p>
<p>Te Kauri Marae Submitter ID: 74124</p>	<p>PC1-11668</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System The application must <u>clearly</u> demonstrate that the... a. the achievement of the water quality targets referred to... c. the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; and d. the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme. <u>2. Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management (including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u> <u>3. Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u> <u>4. Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</u> 3.5. <u>Has documented systems, processes, and...</u> a. Competent and consistent performance in <u>preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u> b. Effective internal monitoring of performance, <u>including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u> c. Robust data management <u>(both spatial and temporal)...</u> d. ... e. Timely and appropriate <u>detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u> <u>i. progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u> <u>ii. current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u> f. Corrective actions will be implemented <u>where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u> <u>g. Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u> h. Internal quality control <u>and verification.</u> i. The responsibilities and <u>accountability</u> of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated <u>and enforced...</u> j. An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is <u>established and maintained ...</u> k. ... l. The articles of the scheme, <u>including its register of membership</u> are available for public viewing. B. People The application must demonstrate... 1. Those <u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are Certified Farm Environment Planners suitably qualified and experienced.</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>2. Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - <u>prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors</u> and independent of the Farm...</p> <p>c. Farm Environment Plans The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1." Or AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.</p>
<p>Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust Submitter ID: 73543</p>	<p>PC1-12280</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System The application must clearly demonstrate that the...</p> <p>a. the achievement of the water quality targets referred to...</p> <p>c. the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; <u>and</u></p> <p>d. <u>the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>2. Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management <u>(including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u></p> <p><u>3. Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u></p> <p><u>4. Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</u></p> <p>3<u>5.</u> Has documented systems, processes, and...</p> <p>a. Competent and consistent performance in <u>preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u></p> <p>b. Effective internal monitoring of performance, <u>including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u></p> <p>c. Robust data management <u>(both spatial and temporal)...</u></p> <p>d. ...</p> <p>e. Timely and appropriate <u>detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u></p> <p><u>i. progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u></p> <p><u>ii. current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u></p> <p>f. Corrective actions will be implemented <u>where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u></p> <p><u>g. Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>h. Internal quality control <u>and verification.</u></p> <p>i. The responsibilities <u>and accountability</u> of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated <u>and enforced...</u></p> <p>j. An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is <u>established and maintained ...</u></p> <p>k. ...</p> <p>l. The articles of the scheme, <u>including its register of membership</u> are available for public viewing.</p> <p>B. People</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>The application must demonstrate...</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. These <u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are <u>Certified Farm Environment Planners</u> suitably qualified and experienced.</u> 2. <u>Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors and independent of the Farm...</u> c. Farm Environment Plans <p>The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1." Or AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.</p>
<p>Te Taniwha o Waikato Submitter ID: 73361</p>	<p>PC1-12147</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System The application must <u>clearly</u> demonstrate that the...</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. the achievement of <u>the water quality targets referred to...</u> c. <u>the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; and</u> d. <u>the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u> 2. <u>Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management (including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u> 3. <u>Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u> 4. <u>Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</u> 5. <u>Has documented systems, processes, and...</u> a. <u>Competent and consistent performance in preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u> b. <u>Effective internal monitoring of performance, including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u> c. <u>Robust data management (both spatial and temporal)...</u> d. ... e. <u>Timely and appropriate detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. <u>progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u> ii. <u>current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u> f. <u>Corrective actions will be implemented where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u> g. <u>Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u> h. <u>Internal quality control and verification.</u> i. <u>The responsibilities and accountability of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated and enforced...</u> j. <u>An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is established and maintained ...</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>k. ...</p> <p>l. The articles of the scheme, <u>including its register of membership</u> are available for public viewing.</p> <p>B. People</p> <p>The application must demonstrate...</p> <p>1. Those <u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are Certified Farm Environment Planners suitably qualified and experienced.</u></p> <p>2. <u>Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors and independent of the Farm...</u></p> <p>c. Farm Environment Plans</p> <p>The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1."</p> <p>Or</p> <p>AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.</p>
<p>Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105</p>	<p>PC1-8165</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System</p> <p>The application must <u>clearly demonstrate that the...</u></p> <p>a. the achievement of <u>the water quality targets referred to...</u></p> <p>c. <u>the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; and</u></p> <p>d. <u>the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>2. <u>Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management (including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u></p> <p><u>3. Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u></p> <p><u>4. Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</u></p> <p>3<u>5. Has documented systems, processes, and...</u></p> <p>a. <u>Competent and consistent performance in preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u></p> <p>b. <u>Effective internal monitoring of performance, including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u></p> <p>c. <u>Robust data management (both spatial and temporal)...</u></p> <p>d. ...</p> <p>e. <u>Timely and appropriate detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u></p> <p><u>i. progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u></p> <p><u>ii. current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u></p> <p>f. <u>Corrective actions will be implemented where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u></p> <p><u>g. Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>h. Internal quality control <u>and verification</u>.</p> <p>i. The responsibilities <u>and accountability</u> of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated <u>and enforced</u>...</p> <p>j. An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is <u>established and maintained</u> ...</p> <p>k. ...</p> <p>l. The articles of the scheme, <u>including its register of membership</u> are available for public viewing.</p> <p>B. People</p> <p>The application must demonstrate...</p> <p>1. These <u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are Certified Farm Environment Planners suitably qualified and experienced.</u></p> <p>2. Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - <u>prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors</u> and independent of the Farm...</p> <p>c. Farm Environment Plans</p> <p>The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1."</p> <p>Or</p> <p>AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.</p>
<p>The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122</p>	PC1-8205	Oppose the provision	DELETE all reference to Certified Industry Schemes from PPC1.
<p>Timberlands Limited Submitter ID: 73036</p>	PC1-3467	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 2 to clearly identify the compliance action pathway, in the event that a land user of a Certified Industry Scheme does not follow or meet the deadlines of the Farm Environment Plan.
<p>Treweek, Glen Submitter ID: 72747</p>	PC1-5805	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 2 to remove the requirement for Farm Environment Plans to be completed by a suitably qualified person. AND ADD provision for Farm Environment Plan Auditor certification.
<p>Turangawaewae Marae Submitter ID: 74173</p>	PC1-12224	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System</p> <p>The application must <u>clearly</u> demonstrate that the...</p> <p>a. the achievement of the water quality targets referred to...</p> <p>c. the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; <u>and</u></p> <p>d. <u>the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>2. Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management <u>(including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u></p> <p>3. <u>Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u></p> <p>4. <u>Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</u></p> <p>3.5. Has documented systems, processes, and...</p> <p>a. <u>Competent and consistent performance in preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>b. <u>Effective internal monitoring of performance, including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u></p> <p>c. <u>Robust data management (both spatial and temporal)...</u></p> <p>d. ...</p> <p>e. <u>Timely and appropriate detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u></p> <p>i. <u>progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u></p> <p>ii. <u>current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u></p> <p>f. <u>Corrective actions will be implemented where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u></p> <p>g. <u>Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>h. <u>Internal quality control and verification.</u></p> <p>i. <u>The responsibilities and accountability of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated and enforced...</u></p> <p>j. <u>An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is established and maintained ...</u></p> <p>k. ...</p> <p>l. <u>The articles of the scheme, including its register of membership are available for public viewing.</u></p> <p>B. People</p> <p>The application must demonstrate...</p> <p>1. These <u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are Certified Farm Environment Planners, suitably qualified and experienced.</u></p> <p>2. <u>Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors and independent of the Farm...</u></p> <p>c. <u>Farm Environment Plans</u></p> <p>The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1."</p> <p>Or</p> <p>AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.</p>
<p>Tuwaharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356</p>	<p>PC1-10620</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System</p> <p>The application must <u>clearly</u> demonstrate that the...</p> <p>a. the achievement of <u>the water quality targets referred to...</u></p> <p>c. <u>the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; and</u></p> <p>d. <u>the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>2. <u>Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management (including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u></p> <p>3. <u>Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u></p> <p>4. <u>Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>25. Has documented systems, processes, and...</p> <p>a. Competent and consistent performance in <u>preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u></p> <p>b. Effective internal monitoring of performance, <u>including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u></p> <p>c. Robust data management <u>(both spatial and temporal)...</u></p> <p>d. ...</p> <p>e. Timely and appropriate <u>detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u></p> <p>i. <u>progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u></p> <p>ii. <u>current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u></p> <p>f. Corrective actions will be implemented <u>where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u></p> <p>g. <u>Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>h. Internal quality control <u>and verification.</u></p> <p>i. The responsibilities <u>and accountability</u> of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated <u>and enforced...</u></p> <p>j. An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is <u>established and maintained ...</u></p> <p>k. ...</p> <p>I. The articles of the scheme, <u>including its register of membership</u> are available for public viewing.</p> <p>B. People The application must demonstrate...</p> <p>1. Those <u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are Certified Farm Environment Planners suitably qualified and experienced.</u></p> <p>2. <u>Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors and independent of the Farm...</u></p> <p>c. Farm Environment Plans The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1." Or AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.</p>
<p>van der Voorden, Vera and Nora Submitter ID: 74109</p>	<p>PC1-7454</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>No specific decision sought Schedule 2.</p>
<p>Waahi Pa Marae Committee Submitter ID: 73751</p>	<p>PC1-12187</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System The application must <u>clearly demonstrate that the...</u></p> <p>a. the achievement of <u>the water quality targets referred to...</u></p> <p>c. <u>the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; and</u></p> <p>d. <u>the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>2. Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management <u>(including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u></p> <p><u>3. Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u></p> <p><u>4. Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</u></p> <p><u>5. Has documented systems, processes, and...</u></p> <p>a. Competent and consistent performance in <u>preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u></p> <p>b. Effective internal monitoring of performance, <u>including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u></p> <p>c. Robust data management <u>(both spatial and temporal)...</u></p> <p>d. ...</p> <p>e. Timely and appropriate <u>detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u></p> <p><u>i. progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u></p> <p><u>ii. current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u></p> <p>f. Corrective actions will be implemented <u>where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u></p> <p><u>g. Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>h. Internal quality control <u>and verification.</u></p> <p>i. The responsibilities <u>and accountability</u> of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated <u>and enforced...</u></p> <p>j. An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is <u>established and maintained ...</u></p> <p>k. ...</p> <p>I. The articles of the scheme, <u>including its register of membership</u> are available for public viewing.</p> <p>B. People The application must demonstrate...</p> <p>Those <u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are Certified Farm Environment Planners suitably qualified and experienced.</u></p> <p>2. Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - <u>prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors and independent of the Farm...</u></p> <p>c. Farm Environment Plans The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1." Or AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.</p>
<p>Waahi Whaanui Trust Submitter ID: 73537</p>	<p>PC1-12104</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System The application must <u>clearly demonstrate</u> that the... a. the achievement of the water quality targets referred to...</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>c. the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; and</p> <p>d. <u>the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>2. Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management <u>(including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u></p> <p>3. <u>Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u></p> <p>4. <u>Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</u></p> <p>35. <u>Has documented systems, processes, and...</u></p> <p>a. <u>Competent and consistent performance in preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u></p> <p>b. <u>Effective internal monitoring of performance, including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u></p> <p>c. <u>Robust data management (both spatial and temporal)...</u></p> <p>d. ...</p> <p>e. <u>Timely and appropriate detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u></p> <p>i. <u>progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u></p> <p>ii. <u>current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u></p> <p>f. <u>Corrective actions will be implemented where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u></p> <p>g. <u>Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u></p> <p>h. <u>Internal quality control and verification.</u></p> <p>i. <u>The responsibilities and accountability of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated and enforced...</u></p> <p>j. <u>An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is established and maintained ...</u></p> <p>k. ...</p> <p>l. <u>The articles of the scheme, including its register of membership are available for public viewing.</u></p> <p>B. People</p> <p>The application must demonstrate...</p> <p>1. These <u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are Certified Farm Environment Planners suitably qualified and experienced.</u></p> <p>2. <u>Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors and independent of the Farm...</u></p> <p>c. Farm Environment Plans</p> <p>The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1."</p> <p>Or</p> <p>AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Waiawa Farms Submitter ID: 71346	PC1-5851	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 2
Waikato and Waipa River Iwi Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3668	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 2, Assessment Criteria to read: "A. Certified Industry Scheme System The application must <u>clearly demonstrate</u> that the... a. the achievement of the water quality targets referred to... c. the requirements of Rules 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.5; <u>and</u> d. <u>the magnitude of contaminant reductions that are required for the sub-catchment/s - where the Certified Industry Scheme operates - through the coordination of Farm Management plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme.</u> 2. Has an appropriate ownership structure, governance arrangements and management <u>(including capacity and capability to undertake the coordinated management of Farm Management Plans.</u> 3. <u>Has the in-house capability to coordinate the collective mitigation measures identified in the Farm Management Plans managed by the Certified Industry Scheme and to communication with external stakeholders.</u> 4. <u>Has the appropriate resources to achieve its function and responsibilities under 1(a), including monitoring, auditing and reporting.</u> 35. Has documented systems, processes, and... a. Competent and consistent performance in <u>preparing robust Farm Environment Plans preparation, including implementation, and auditing and monitoring.</u> b. Effective internal monitoring of performance, <u>including procedures for the review and random sampling of Farm Environment Plans to target farming operations identified as being a higher risk to water quality, or as required by the Waikato Regional Council.</u> c. Robust data management <u>(both spatial and temporal)...</u> d. ... e. Timely and <u>appropriate detailed reporting, including (but not limited to):</u> i. <u>progress with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions from Farm Environment Plans within the Certified Industry Scheme; and</u> ii. <u>current versus modelled or expected outcomes from the Certified Industry Scheme consistent with (1)(a).</u> f. Corrective actions will be implemented <u>where auditing reveals non-compliance with putting in place and implementing mitigation actions identified in Farm Environment Plans.</u> g. <u>Agreed process for escalating continued and deliberate inaction or non-compliance of a member of the Certified Industry Scheme to Waikato Regional Council, including (but not limited to) revocation of the member from the Certified Industry Scheme.</u> h. Internal quality control <u>and verification.</u> i. The responsibilities <u>and accountability</u> of all parties to the Certified Industry Scheme are clearly stated <u>and enforced...</u> j. An accurate and up to date register of scheme membership is <u>established and maintained ...</u> k. ... l. The articles of the scheme, <u>including its register of membership</u> are available for public viewing. B. People The application must demonstrate... 1. These <u>The nominated parties responsible for generating and auditing Farm Environment Plans are Certified Farm Environment Planners suitably qualified and experienced.</u> 2. Auditing of Farm Environment Plans - <u>prepared under the Certified Industry Scheme - requirements will be undertaken by the parties that are accredited auditors and independent of the Farm...</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			c. Farm Environment Plans The application must demonstrate that Farm Environment Plans are prepared in conformance with Schedule 1." Or AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 so that farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme are a Controlled Activity subject to the assessment criteria in Schedule 2.
Waikato District Council (WDC) Submitter ID: 73418	PC1-3127	Not stated	No specific decision sought for Schedule 2.
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4704	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule 2 to ensure that the intent and purpose of a Certified Industry Scheme is outlined, rather than just listing criteria which must be met to become certified AND AMEND general typographical and grammatical errors AND AMEND to ensure there is transparency about what constitutes a Certified Industry Scheme System.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11390	Oppose the provision	DELETE Schedule 2.
Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2154	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to ensure Waikato Regional Council have a robust audit system for on-going quality control of schemes.
Wildman, Anna Mary Submitter ID: 72505	PC1-3892	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 2 so that Farm Environment Plans define how the farm manages environmental quality, rather than the use of blanket rules. And ensure that the cost of producing a Farm Environment Plan through a certified planner is not prohibitive and supported by the council AND AMEND the timescales for completion of Farm Environment Plans to be realistic with adequate certified planners in place.

3.11.6 List of Tables and Maps/Te Rārangī o ngā Ripanga me ngā Mahere

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-11061	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the target in PPC1 for E.coli to ' <u>260/100ml</u> ' rather than the proposed 540/100ml. AND FURTHER INVESTIGATE the water quality effects (such as nitrate toxicity) on ecosystem health, particularly with respect to native fish and macroinvertebrates, and make changes to targets as appropriate. Refer to the submitter's Appendix B "Changes sought to trophic state and toxicity attributes by Proposed Plan Change 1" for more detail AND AMEND the 10-year and 80-year limits/targets to be more ambitious and have a stronger emphasis on ecosystem health to ensure that the Vision and Strategy is given effect to. AND AMEND PPC1 to include interim 20-year targets to ensure future targets beyond the initial 10-year period that continues to work toward the longer term 80-year targets.
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10882	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Chapter 3.11.6 (paragraph 1) to read: "Table 3.11-1: short term and long term <u>desired</u> numerical water quality <u>states</u> targets for the Waikato and Waipā River Catchments...". AND AMEND Chapter 3.11.6 (paragraph 2) to read: "Within the Waikato and Waipā River catchments, these targets <u>desired water quality states</u> are used in decision-making...". AND AMEND Chapter 3.11.6 (paragraph 2) to read: "...pathogens, it is not intended, nor is it the nature of water quality targets, that they be...".

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND Chapter 3.11.6, Explanatory note to Table 3.11-1, to read: "The tables set out the concentrations (all attributes except clarity) or visibility distance (clarity attribute) to be <u>maintained or</u> achieved by actions taken in the short term and at <u>over</u> 80 years for rivers and tributaries, and at 80 years for lakes FMUs. Where water quality is currently high (based on 2010-2014 monitoring data), <u>the desired water quality state short term and 80-year targets</u> will be the same as the current state...".</p> <p>AND AMEND Chapter 3.11.6, Explanatory note to Table 3.11-1 (last sentence), to read: "Where water quality needs to improve, <u>the water quality states values</u> to be achieved at a..."</p> <p>AND AMEND bullet point 1 to read: "...The short term and 80-year targets <u>desired water quality state</u> are set at..."</p> <p>AND AMEND bullet point 2 to read: "... The 80-year <u>desired water quality state-targets</u> is 540 <i>E.coli</i> / 100ml and the short term target is set at 10% of the difference between the current state value and the 80 year <u>state-target</u>."</p> <p>AND AMEND paragraph 5 to read: "The achievement of the attribute-targets <u>desired water quality states</u> in Table 3.11-1 will be...".</p> <p>AND AMEND paragraph 5 (second sentence), to read: "...mitigations may mean that the targets <u>desired states</u> are not observed for every...".</p>
Hannon, Richard Garland Submitter ID: 71445	PC1-6993	Not stated	No specific decision sought for Section 3.11.6.
Hathaway, Bruce Submitter ID: 73868	PC1-3758	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for 3.11.6 List of Tables and Maps.
Hathaway, John Submitter ID: 71390	PC1-1052	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for 3.11.6 List of Tables and Maps, Exploratory note to Table 3.11-1.
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1930	Oppose the provision with amendments	RETAIN the acknowledgement that the effect of some contaminants (particularly) nitrogen discharged from land has not yet been seen in the water and that there is a lag. AND AMEND provisions of PPC1 to reflect this.
Lakes and Waterways Action Group Trust (LWAG) Submitter ID: 53342	PC1-4073	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11.6 Table and Maps so that freshwater objectives (broad numerical) are included from the catchment (or Freshwater Management Unit) to sub-catchment level that are not set lower than current water quality. AND AMEND to include the following parameters as freshwater state objectives: dissolved oxygen, deposited and suspended sediment; Freshwater Macroinvertebrate Health; Cyanobacteria and benthic cyanobacteria; Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen and Total Nitrogen in the tributaries/sub-catchments; Temperature, pH; Water flows and levels.
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8333	Oppose the provision	RETAIN the 3.11.6 Maps acknowledgement that the effect of some contaminants (particularly nitrogen) discharged from land has not yet been seen in the water and there is a lag AND AMEND PPC1 provisions to reflect this.
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8900	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to provide attribute information at the sub-catchment scale.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8828	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 and the Objective of PPC1 to ensure consistency with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. AND AMEND to clarify that the targets are aspirational goals. AND if the targets in Table 3.11-1 are retained then AMEND by clarifying how targets will be applied to the implementation of PPC1.
Pamu Farms of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74000	PC1-5818	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11.6 to clarify how Table 3.11-1 it will be applied. AND ADD to the Objectives the narrative from 3.11.6.

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6330	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to provide attribute information at the sub-catchment scale.
Russell, Jill Adrienne Submitter ID: 71348	PC1-7215	Oppose the provision	AMEND paragraph 3 of the Explanatory Note to Table 3.11-1 to read as follows: "... The variability in water quality (such as due to seasonal and climatic events <u>or natural events</u> and the variable response times..."
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-2978	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Map 3.11-1 and Map 3.11-2 so that the north-eastern area of the Waikato and Waipā River catchment that has been withdrawn is not included in the maps.
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4752	Oppose the provision with amendments	RETAIN the acknowledgement that the effect of some contaminants (particularly nitrogen) discharged from land has not yet been seen in the water and there is a lag. AMEND provisions of PPC1 to reflect this.
Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2155	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to provide attribute information at sub-catchment scale. AND REPLACE Map 3.11-1 with a new Map 3.11-2 AND REPLACE Map 3.11-2 with new sub-catchments that align with the physical attributes of the land.
Waitomo District Council Submitter ID: 73688	PC1-10859	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to ensure appropriate account has been taken in setting the E.coli limits to make allowance for peak flooding events.

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Alcock, Carl and Jo Submitter ID: 73376	PC1-2094	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that the water quality targets are achievable. AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from this submission point.
Alcock and Easton, Jo and John Submitter ID: 73374	PC1-9215	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to ensure the water quality targets are achievable AND AMEND to ensure that full achievement of Objective 1 and Table 3.11-1 does not result in underachievement of the objectives relating to social, cultural and economic health and well-being of people and communities.
Allan, Eric Submitter ID: 73438	PC1-6112	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to strengthen the requirements to provide for economic well-being, including vibrancy and resilience of farming within the region and certainty for the future. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 water quality targets to ensure that while achieving the Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River targets are also achievable given current land uses and technology.
Aston, Lucy Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-6995	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so the water quality targets are achievable and that farmers and communities remain prosperous currently as well as during the 80 year period. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so the numerical targets do not apply during flood events.
Aston, Penelope Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-5311	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to include achievable water quality targets which will ensure that farmers and farming communities remain prosperous currently as well as during the intended 80 year period.

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to record that the numerical targets do not apply during storm and flood events.
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6249	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND numeric water quality targets in table 3.11-1 to align with Scenario 2. AND AMEND in accordance with relief sought regarding land use change, land use flexibility and off-set mitigation.
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-11004	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that 80-year attributes and targets are consistent with ecosystem health measures and achieving healthy freshwater ecosystems AND AMEND the numerical 80-year targets to give effect to the water quality objectives of the Vision and Strategy AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to include a range of attribute targets for all sites and sub-catchments that provide a clear linkage and assessment and measurement chain from the desired freshwater outcome to required reductions in nutrient loss from land by way of an allocation system AND AMEND to include attribute targets that enable an accurate characterisation of water quality and ecosystem health AND that ensure freshwater resources are sustainably managed, provide for the habitat of trout and indigenous fish and the significant values of wetlands, AND that measure progress toward outcomes and enable reviews to assess the effectiveness of PPC1 AND AMEND to include appropriate sites for every sub-catchment AND AMEND to define and refine short-term attribute targets for all sites AND AMEND the explanatory narrative to read: " <u>Actions put in place and implemented by 2036 to reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorous, sediment and microbial pathogens, have achieved thirty percent of the required change between current water quality and the 80 year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1 once this Plan has been operative for 20 years.</u> " AND AMEND to ensure clear linkages between Tables 3.11-1 and Table 3.11-2 AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to adopt the amendments sought for rivers and streams, and add appropriate indicators for lakes and wetlands, as detailed in the table in Appendix 1 of the submission (pages 66 to 69).
Awaroa Lands Ltd Submitter ID: 73627	PC1-6597	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so targets do not apply during flooding.
Babington, Kelvin and Katherine Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-6645	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include rules for the elimination of koi carp. AND AMEND PPC1 to include any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Bailey, James Submitter ID: 73926	PC1-4787	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to give effect to the Vision and Strategy including all 13 of its objectives with endorsement from all five river iwi AND AMEND to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management AND AMEND to provide for healthy and vibrant communities AND AMEND to give effect to water quality outcomes that are actually achievable. AND MAKE consequential amendments. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to make stock exclusion consistent with central Government's recommendations being proposed through the advice of the Land and Water Forum AND AMEND to place a strong emphasis on identifying and addressing critical source areas through the farm planning process AND AMEND to use the sub-catchment approach by incentivising the development of catchment groups to work alongside Council to identify and target contaminant hot spots.
Bain, Richard Alexander Submitter ID: 73936	PC1-3059	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 by providing a realistic target around the 80 percentile.

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Balle, Patricia Katherine Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-4440	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to remove flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data.
Barron, Daniel and Sarah Submitter ID: 73943	PC1-5128	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to provide for all main water bodies to be fenced and a grading system to be applied in which river, creeks, streams and swamps could be given a priority rating for fencing/stock exclusion.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11158	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that the numerical outcomes recognise and provide for the values under Section 3.11.1 Objective 1A. AND AMEND numerical outcomes (limits/targets, including interim targets) at levels which give effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management (2014) and in particular Policies CA2 and CA3. AND AMEND to consider the provision of economic well-being, including economic opportunities. AND AMEND to provide for water quality below national bottom lines which results from natural processes and/or from the impacts of national and regionally significant infrastructure. AND AMEND to adopt numerical limits that are appropriate to achieving desired outcomes and are applied at appropriate levels of flow that match the values. AND AMEND numerical water quality targets to Freshwater Objectives as appropriate (i.e. chlorophyll a, clarity, E.coli) AND REMOVE these parameters from Table 3.11-1. AND AMEND as follows: <u>"E.coli 260/100 ml < 50th percentile applies 1 November to 30 April when the river is below medium flow: E.coli 550/100 ml < 20th percentile the concentration of E.coli must not exceed 550 per 100 ml year round when flow is at or below the 20th flow exceedance percentile (i.e. not in the top 20 percent of flows) The visual clarity of the water measured as the horizontal sighting range of a black disc must equal or exceed [Table 3.11-1 numerical parameter given in meters] when the river is at or below medium flow (the 50th flow exceedance percentile)."</u> AND AMEND so that the interim targets and timeframes recognise and provide for the Economic and Social well-being of people and communities including implications for actions, investments, ongoing management changes and any social, cultural or economic implications. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 interim targets so that they apply at a longer time frame such as 30 years, for those parameters which are significantly over allocated now AND AMEND the interim targets so that they progressively reduce over allocation at a rate and scale which provides for people and community resilience including economic well-being. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that PPC1 provides a pathway for individuals and communities to work together to achieve the Vision and Strategy over the long term. AND AMEND Policy 1 and Table 3.11-1 OR ADD a NEW Policy which sets out the: a) Current Nitrogen load (footnote: Current Nitrogen load includes both the Allowable in-stream nitrate load to achieve current in-stream Nitrogen concentration and the Maximum Allowable Zone Load (MAZL) which accounts for attenuation and provides the load that can be allocated to land) b) Desired Nitrogen load (footnote: Desired Nitrogen load includes both the Allowable in stream nitrate load to achieve the desired in-stream Nitrogen concentration, and the Maximum Allowable Zone Load (MAZL) which accounts for attenuation and provides the load that can be allocated to land) c) Nitrogen discharge rate/ha/year to achieve current Nitrogen load d) Nitrogen discharge rate/ha/year to achieve the desired Nitrogen load

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 and PPC1 Objectives to make a clear distinction between what are Freshwater Objectives, Attributes, limits and targets. Freshwater Objectives would include values of freshwater such as cultural, ecological, primary production, commercial, and recreational and may include numerical parameters for periphyton, chlorophyll a, macroinvertebrate community indices (MCI) and sediment and clarity.</p> <p>AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to include the allowable instream load and maximum allowable zone load (MAZL) for Nitrogen for all sub-catchments and Freshwater Management Units. Nitrogen loads should be provided which relate to: current instream Nitrogen concentrations and desired instream Nitrogen concentrations. The instream loads should form the basis of an allocation framework for Nitrogen, if allocation frameworks are deemed necessary, to assist with achievement of the Objectives of PPC1.</p> <p>AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that numerical parameters provide for the values of freshwater, including safeguarding the life supporting capacity of freshwater, cultural and primary production values, and meet the Objectives of PPC1. In particular, the E.coli and clarity numerical parameters (Freshwater Objectives) are to be amended so that they can take into account flow and background contaminant levels, natural events and regional and nationally significant infrastructure, and are commensurate with the level of pathogenic risk for contact recreation and cultural values. E.coli and clarity numerical parameters (Freshwater Objectives) should not apply during higher flow events (i.e. above 2x the medium flow), or during the flow recession curve, The approach adopted in the Horizons region is supported (see suggested wording for E.coli and visual clarity above).</p> <p>AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 following implementation of Policy CA2 such that it gives the effect to Policy CA2 (f) (iv) and (v) and Policy CA3 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014.</p>
Brodie, Philip Donald Submitter ID: 67406	PC1-2967	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND provisions to ensure account has been taken in the E.coli and clarity limits to make allowance for peak flood events.
Brooks, Hayden Gregory and Susan Jennifer Submitter ID: 71174	PC1-128	Support the provision	AMEND to include rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Buckley, Peter Ross Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-1368	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data.</p> <p>AND AMEND to take a holistic approach and include all source influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, including koi carp, point source discharges and hydro-dams.</p> <p>AND AMEND to address contaminants on sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments.</p>
Bulmer, Alice Submitter ID: 74187	PC1-6613	Not stated	No specific decision requested for Table 3.11-1.
Charion Investment Trust Submitter ID: 71344	PC1-7800	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to ensure the attributes are consistent with objectively determined world standards, not subjectively determined local standards.
CNI Iwi Land Management Limited Submitter ID: 74026	PC1-10772	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND 80 year numerical attribute targets for nitrogen so that they are expressed as a single set of Total Nitrogen numerical attribute targets measured in the main stem of the Waikato River at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.</p> <p>AND AMEND the 10 year numerical nitrogen attribute targets to show greater consistency between sub-catchment load, recognising that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge (those discharging more nitrogen are expected to make greater reductions).</p>

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 for all the nitrogen targets to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. REMOVE the 80 year numerical nitrogen attribute targets for from each sub-catchment; and 2. Ensure that the 10-year numerical nitrogen attribute targets reflect a reduction framework based on necessary reductions, not on a reaction to current loads. <p>AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 in respect of E.coli and Chlorophyll a to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. ADD 80 year numerical attribute targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments; and 2. ADD 80 year numerical attribute targets for Chlorophyll a for the Waikato River main stem; <p>AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 for Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorus to retain the 10 year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorus numerical attribute targets for the Waikato River main stem.</p> <p>AND AMEND the 80 year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorus numerical attribute targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.</p>
Coles, Donald Percy Submitter ID: 71337	PC1-3071	Oppose the provision	AMEND Table 3.11-1 95th percentile E.coli to DELETE the number " 540 " AND REPLACE with the medium average " <u>1000</u> ".
Cox, Ian Graeme and Beverley Mae Submitter ID: 73023	PC1-6483	Oppose the provision	REMOVE from Table 3.11-1 the 80 year water quality targets OR RECONSIDER the achievability of the 80 year targets.
Croft, Shane Lowell Mark Submitter ID: 74056	PC1-10913	Oppose the provision	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that it is clear the target is for E.coli from farm animals. AND AMEND PPC1 to exclude any E.coli that is not from farm animals.
DairyNZ Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-10188	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the 4th paragraph of the explanation of table 3.11-1 (page 56) to read: "The achievement of the attribute targets in Table 3.11-1 will be determined through analysis of 5-yearly monitoring data. <u>Table 3.11-1 lists existing water quality monitoring sites. There is a monitoring site within each sub-catchment. However, the site does not necessarily represent all the surface water flowing from that sub-catchment, as monitoring sites are not all at the downstream confluence of the tributary and the main stem of the Waikato or Waipā River. The variability in water... in the short term. Therefore, Waikato Regional Council will rely on collating and reporting actions put in place, as set out in Policy 1(d), and Methods 3.11.4.10 and 11.</u> "
Darke, Anthony and Adana Submitter ID: 72666	PC1-6460	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 by providing more achievable targets that are less detrimental to social and economic factors. AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from this submission point.
Dean, David Submitter ID: 73782	PC1-4663	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to differentiate between avian and ruminant E.coli and adjust targets and control measures.
Denize, Mathew John Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-7617	Oppose the provision	DELETE flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10536	Support the provision with amendments	<p>REINSTATE the withdrawn parts of Table 3.11-1.</p> <p>AND establish attributes, limits or targets for all contaminants specified in the Vision and Strategy (nutrients, faecal and sediment) for the whole of the Waipā catchment and all tributaries of the Waikato, lakes, wetlands and the coastal environment (refer submitter's Appendices A and B, and D to I).</p> <p>AND AMEND the headings of Table 3.11-1 to provide dates for when targets are to be met.</p> <p>AND AMEND to include interim 20-year targets to sit alongside the 10-year targets and 80-year targets. A 20 per cent improvement in water quality over 20 years is recommended.</p>

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND RAISE water quality targets for lakes, so that lake water quality is enhanced, to safeguard ecosystem health and life supporting capacity of lakes, and to ensure that 'long term restoration and protection of water quality' is achieved.</p> <p>AND INSERT attribute targets for Pungarehu Canal/Stream to Table 3.11-1.</p> <p>AND INSERT targets for suspended sediment and deposited fine sediment in Table 3.11-1.</p> <p>AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to recognise and identify the values of outstanding freshwater bodies (in discussion with the Department of Conservation) and as a minimum, these outstanding freshwater bodies should include the Waikato River including the river mouth and delta, the Whangamarino wetland, the Waitomo Caves/River, the Waikato Peat lakes and Lake Rotokotuku.</p> <p>AND FURTHER INVESTIGATE the water quality effects (such as nitrate toxicity) on ecosystem health, particularly with respect to native fish and macroinvertebrates, and make changes to targets as appropriate. Refer to specific changes requested in the submitter's Appendix B "Changes sought to trophic state and toxicity attributes by Proposed Plan Change 1".</p> <p>AMEND Table 3.11-1 to include attributes for a Whangamarino Wetland Fresh Water Management Unit, as described in the submitter's Appendix E and F.</p>
Downie, Janna Submitter ID: 71903	PC1-10138	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 so that a greater range of freshwater attributes are managed: Te Hauora o te Taiao; natural character; dissolved oxygen (DO); deposited and suspended sediment; Freshwater Macroinvertebrate Health (Macroinvertebrate Community Index); periphyton; cyanobacteria; benthic cyanobacteria; temperature; pH; toxic heavy metals; barriers to fish migrations; and water flows and levels; and Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen (DIN); total nitrogen; and total phosphorous in the tributaries and sub-catchments</p> <p>AND ADD instream limits and associated targets for nitrogen loads, phosphorous loads, sediment loads, E.coli, toxic contaminant loads such as metals or organic compounds, micro-organisms and temperature</p> <p>AND ADD load thresholds in sub-catchments and catchments that are under resource use pressure</p> <p>AND AMEND so that contaminant allocations are based on Land Use Capability rather than on historic practice (grandparenting).</p>
Eel Enhancement Company Limited Submitter ID: 73062	PC1-4259	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to apply the property scale Nitrogen Reference Point system to cover sediment including fine sediment and apply 80 year targets.
Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-457	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
Farm Environment Trust (Waikato) Submitter ID: 73798	PC1-5054	Support the provision	AMEND to CLARIFY how the Farm Environment Plans link to targets that are set in Table 3.11-1. AND AMEND so Farm Environment Plans have a flexible approach that allows the targeting of the critical contaminant in that sub-catchment.
Farmers 4 Positive Change (F4PC) Submitter ID: 73355	PC1-10418	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 after working with farmers to form a long-term plan that achieves the Vision and Strategy AND REVIEW the interpretation of the Vision and Strategy, including numerical interpretation through Table 3.11-1 AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to ensure the numerical parameters are achievable while giving effect to the Vision and Strategy AND AMEND PPC1 to have realistic achievable goals AND AMEND PPC1 to give farmers confidence to invest and encourage young people into the sector.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10860	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to bring it into line with the requirements of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management AND ADD a column containing the current attribute levels for each site to Table 3.11-1.
Findlay, Andrew	PC1-8300	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 water quality monitoring to provide for individual farm gate testing of loss of contaminants.

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72021			
Fletcher Trust Submitter ID: 73848	PC1-6036	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND to ensure that the attributes are consistent with world standards.
Gaudin, Philip and Pauline Submitter ID: 72820	PC1-9080	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to ensure that water quality targets are achievable and realistic.
Gavins Limited Submitter ID: 73846	PC1-5517	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND water quality targets recognising that birdlife contributes to E.coli levels and that their contribution is currently unknown and difficult to control. AND undertake research and analysis to understand the contributing factors to E.coli levels by birdlife in the greater Waikato catchment.
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-3627	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND preamble to Table 3.11-1 as follows: "Short term and long term <u>desired</u> numerical water quality <u>states</u> targets for the Waikato and Waipā ..." AND AMEND preamble to Table 3.11-1 as follows: "Within the Waikato and Waipā River catchments, these targets <u>desired water quality states</u> are used in decision... pathogens, it is not intended, nor is it in the nature of water quality targets, that they be used..." AND AMEND Explanatory note to Table 3.11-1 as follows: "The tables set out the concentrations ... (clarity attribute) to be <u>maintained or</u> achieved by actions taken in the short term and at over 80 years for rivers ... 2010-2014 monitoring data), the desired water quality states <u>short term and 80-year targets</u> will be the same as... Where water quality needs to improve, the water quality states <u>values</u> to be achieved..." AND AMEND Explanatory note to Table 3.11-1 as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "the current state value... The short term and 80-year targets <u>desired water quality state</u> are set at..." • the current state value for E.coli... The 80-year desired water quality state <u>target</u> is 540... and the 80 year state <u>target</u>." AND AMEND Explanatory note to Table 3.11-1 (second to last paragraph on page 65) as follows: "The achievement of the attribute targets <u>desired water quality states</u> in Table 3.11-1 will be... may mean that the targets <u>desired states</u> are not observed for every..."
Genetic Technologies Ltd Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-3334	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to state the priority attributes that need addressing in each sub-catchment.
Gleeson, Graeme B Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-6443	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that the E.coli limits are more specific - E.coli target of 260/100ml applies from 1 November to 30 April when the water way flow is below the medium level, and the target of 550/100ml applies except when the flow is in the top 20 percent of flows. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that the water quality targets are achievable, provide for ecosystem health and enable prosperous, vibrant communities. AND AMEND water quality targets to provide for ecosystem health and cultural values, but also enable the social and economic well-being of people and communities.
Greenplan Holdings Limited Submitter ID: 73893	PC1-3080	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 after further evidence is collected that shows the table is realistic and achievable

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Guy, Denise and John Submitter ID: 73945	PC1-3823	Oppose the provision	AMEND the contaminant loss from farm provisions in table 3.11-1 to account for the contribution that Koi Carp make and include rules to remove Koi Carp from waterways.
Hamilton, Malibu Submitter ID: 74083	PC1-9907	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure that the policies and rules give effect to the NPSFM AND AMEND to ensure that water quality is as a minimum maintained as required by RMA Section 30 (1) (c) (ii) and (iia).
Hamilton City Council Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-11044	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 in order to ensure that short term and 80 year targets for Annual Median Ammonia and Annual Maximum Ammonia are meaningful and within the detection limits for current standard analytical methods.
Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5801	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to ensure attribute targets fairly allocate water quality expectations across all catchments based on natural characteristics, rather than rewarding land users in heavily degraded catchments with less ambitious targets.
Hathaway, Bruce Submitter ID: 73868	PC1-3760	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11-1 by adding in the current water quality (2010-2014) values.
Hathaway, John Submitter ID: 71390	PC1-1054	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 by adding in current water quality [2010-2014] values.
Hawkes, Irwin Lawrence and Yvonne Jean Submitter ID: 73890	PC1-4931	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 and REPLACE with objectives which numerical water quality limits/targets which consider the reality of the Waikato, which are achievable, provide for the protection of its life supporting capacity, while also ensuring that the health and well-being, including social and economic values, of people and communities are safeguarded.
Hill Country Farmers Group Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-7479	Support the provision	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to reflect in stream nitrogen concentrations consistent with the 95th percentile target in the National Objectives Framework. AND REMOVE Table 3.11-1 the E.coli numerical parameters flood condition and high flow conditions/events.
Homestead Oaks Ltd Submitter ID: 73718	PC1-7274	Not stated	REMOVE the flood/high flow conditions from Table 3.11-1.
Horsley, Cam, Bridget, Rob and Tennille Submitter ID: 73412	PC1-6050	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp including any consequential amendments.
Hurley, Peter James Submitter ID: 71391	PC1-1086	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 AND REPLACE with objectives, limits and targets that are achievable in terms of providing for the rivers life supporting capacity while ensuring the social and economic values of people and communities are safeguarded. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Jivan Produce Ltd Submitter ID: 71429	PC1-1334	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to include attribute targets for sub-catchments.
Jolly, Andrew Submitter ID: 71349	PC1-1261	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND table 3.11-1 so that numerical targets account for events such as floods.
Kent and Gilbert, Elliot and Heather Submitter ID: 72891	PC1-5952	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that the water quality targets are achievable. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that the numerical targets do not apply during flood events or when the parameter does not influence the value (i.e. apply when people swim or primary contact for cultural reasons).
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1752	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND to revise the table and the science/assumptions underpinning it. AND AMEND the nitrogen reduction to be more realistic.
Lacewood Holdings Ltd	PC1-8962	Oppose the provision	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so the quality of the water is achievable.

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72589			
Lakes and Waterways Action Group Trust (LWAG) Submitter ID: 53342	PC1-4070	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to have regard to decisions on the Ministry for the Environment Clean Water package. AND AMEND to define 'swimmability'.
Lawson, John Submitter ID: 52942	PC1-11225	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to ensure that water quality is as a minimum maintained as required by RMA Section 30 (1) (c) (ii) and (iia) AND AMEND PPC1 so that the threshold for water clarity, to measure swimmability is a minimum of 1.6m.
Lea, Helen Submitter ID: 73363	PC1-9235	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to provide for the limits proposed to be redefined to an achievable standard.
Lee, Malcolm and Sally Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-9271	Oppose the provision	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to provide for the adjustment to PPC1 to contain rules for the elimination of Koi Carp and ensure the cost of this is not put on farmers. AND AMEND to provide for water quality improvements required by farmers, be linked to sub-catchments to link the effects caused by farmers either individually or collectively and consider the impact out of farmers control. AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Lees, Brian Submitter ID: 71298	PC1-3216	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato river and its catchments for example point source discharges. AND REMOVE flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data.
Livingston, Adrienne Submitter ID: 74041	PC1-8491	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to include the following freshwater attribute: Te Hauora o te Taiao; natural character; dissolved oxygen (DO); deposited and suspended sediment; Freshwater Macroinvertebrate Health (MCI); periphyton; cyanobacteria; benthic cyanobacteria; Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen (DIN) & total nitrogen in the tributaries/sub-catchments; total phosphorous in the tributaries/sub-catchments; temperature; pH; toxic heavy metals; barriers to fish migrations, and; water flows and levels. AND AMEND to provide for water quality targets that reflect the Ministry of Health's definition of swimmable and safe for food gathering. AND AMEND to include instream limits for loads, sediment loads, E.coli, toxic contaminant loads, micro-organisms and temperature. AND AMEND to provide for load thresholds in sub-catchments and catchments coming under resource use pressure. Load allocation should not reward current or historic poor practice but be equitable, promote efficient resource use, future proofed and promote sustainable management and based on the Land Use Classification and land suitability.
Logan, Andrea Jane Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12299	Oppose the provision	DELETE flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data.
Macdonald, Hamish Stuart Submitter ID: 71433	PC1-2867	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to ensure Farm Environment Plans are used to see what has been done already and to recognise that and to see what is planned in the future. AND AMEND to use more precise tests to work out where the pathogens are originating from, cattle, sheep, birds or humans etc.
Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9115	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment AND CONSIDER undertaking a review of the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.
Marakowhai Ltd Submitter ID: 73776	PC1-8859	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to ensure achievable water quality targets that provide for ecological health and cultural values as well as economic well-being for people and communities AND AMEND table 3.11-1 to ensure that the numerical targets do not apply during flood events or other inhospitable times when people normally don't have primary contact with the water.
Maunder, James Kinglsey Submitter ID: 71036	PC1-37	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to include an average value target for E.coli based on contact recreation unless there is scientific consensus against doing so. AND AMEND so that the target 95th percentile E.coli counts be lowered in line with the Ministry for the Environment recommended 'Surveillance' or 'Alert' levels unless there is scientific consensus against doing so.
Maungatautari Marae Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11725	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment AND CONSIDER undertaking a review of the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.
Mayne, Anna Submitter ID: 72881	PC1-8981	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ensure sheep and beef farms on hill country are planted in native species, following advice from local hapu, and that historical deforestation is replanted AND AMEND to enable farmers to make an income from native plantings through selective logging, selling seeds to local nurseries, and for use in Rongoa.
McGregor, Colin Grant Submitter ID: 73534	PC1-6650	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and replace with objectives and numerical water quality limits/targets that consider the reality of the Waikato, are achievable, provide for the protection of its life supporting capacity, and also ensure that the health and well-being, including social and economic values of people and communities, are safeguarded.
McLaughlin, Kate Submitter ID: 72498	PC1-5979	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and REPLACE with objective and realistic numeric targets that the community can work towards together. AND AMEND the targets in Table 3.11-1 so they are set based on a numeric value of realistic and relevant goals specific to the Waikato and ensure that community social and economic expectations are not exceeded but achievable. AND AMEND the targets be flexible to account for uncontrolled environmental conditions such as flooding.
McLean, Parekawhia Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11874	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND CONSIDER undertaking a review of the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem</p> <p>AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.</p>
MD & CA Camp Submitter ID: 73799	PC1-5426	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and REPLACE it with objectives that include numerical water quality limits/targets that reflect the reality of the Waikato, are achievable and provide for the protection of its life supporting capacity, while also ensuring that the health and well-being, including social and economic values, of people and communities are safeguarded.
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9679	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN text under the heading of Table 3.11-1 regarding the intention of water quality targets not to be used as receiving water compliance limits/standards.</p> <p>AND AMEND to establish in Table 3.11-1 additional water quality target sites (monitoring sites) with corresponding short term and long term numerical targets, i.e. one sub-catchment area applying to each water quality target site.</p> <p>AND AMEND Table 3.11-2 and Map 3.11-2 to redefine sub-catchment areas in order to differentiate tributaries from the main stem of the Waikato River, particularly for the Upper Waikato River FMU.</p> <p>AND AMEND the redefined sub-catchment Map 3.11-2, and Table 3.11-1, to include additional sub-catchment areas: corresponding to each hydro catchment, and any large tributaries entering the Waikato River within the Upper Waikato River FMU. [indicative map titled Additional Sub-Catchment Areas attached to the submission]</p> <p>AND AMEND to identify other tributary catchments as separate sub-catchments based on current land use and land cover.</p>
Miller, Alexander Dane Submitter ID: 71212	PC1-342	Oppose the provision	AMEND Table 3.11-1 for Waikato Regional Council to show people what to do.
Munro, David Malcolm and Lisa Ann Submitter ID: 71419	PC1-2278	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 by aligning the targets with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management and Waikato River Authority's Vision and Strategy.
Murphy, William S Submitter ID: 72105	PC1-6461	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and replace with objectives and numerical water quality limits/targets that consider the reality of the Waikato, are achievable, provide for the protection of its life supporting capacity, and also ensure that the health and well-being, including social and economic values of people and communities, are safeguarded.
Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11571	Support the provision with amendments	<p>DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment</p> <p>AND CONSIDER undertaking a review of the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem</p>

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.
Ngati Haua Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11824	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment AND CONSIDER undertaking a review of the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.
Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9736	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to include the Moakururu sub-catchment.
Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-6703	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Table 3.11-1 in its entirety. OR AMEND by re-notifying the PPC1 when there are clear indications of what land use is required on farms within the future, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND by re-notifying only when there is a better system for measuring contaminant losses from farms, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND to ensure that PPC1 is adjusted to contain rules for the elimination of Koi Carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Parrott, Steven, Sandra, Alexander & Ulrika Submitter ID: 73750	PC1-5336	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide for rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Peters, Michael Joseph Submitter ID: 74197	PC1-6527	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so a more strategic and staged approach is implemented to ensure that Objective 2 can be realised.
Poohara Marae Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-11999	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment AND CONSIDER undertaking a review of the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.
Population Health Submitter ID: 73996	PC1-4863	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND maximum 95th percentile E.coli level in Table 3.11-1 to 260/100 mL.

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Potini Whaanau Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11672	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment AND CONSIDER undertaking a review of the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.
R.P O'Connor and Sons Ltd Submitter ID: 71651	PC1-6937	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so the water quality targets are realistic, achievable, and allow for environmental, natural and seasonal weather changes as well as farming and community survivability. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so the numerical targets do not apply during flood events. AND AMEND PPC1 so that water samples are taken from rivers below Taupo before and after each town and/or city catchment to account for possible pollution from urban areas.
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10578	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment. AND review the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge. AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments. AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only. AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem. AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.
Ravenscroft, Michael and Clare Submitter ID: 71223	PC1-1549	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to include data from testing sites close to all settlements in catchments of 200 or more people where the settlement has buildings less than 1 km from a catchment waterway. Include any consequential amendments. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to include phosphorous levels/targets and to allow for data gathering. Include any consequential amendments.
Ravensdown Limited Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10213	Not stated	CLARIFY in PPC1 how the 10% change in water quality will be determined.
Reese, Kate and Aaron Submitter ID: 72961	PC1-7849	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to include current attribute values for immediate comparison to target values. AND AMEND to include the National Bottom Line values and explain why the target values are higher in PPC1. AND AMEND to include a definition for 'short term' or replace 'short term' with '10 year'. AND AMEND to explain why there are no values for chlorophyll, nitrogen and phosphorus for most Freshwater Management Units, and include the values if they are available. AND AMEND to include the monitoring location for each Freshwater Management Unit. AND AMEND to bring the values in line with National Bottom Line values or just above.

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-9973	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to ensure that social, economic and cultural well-being is achieved.
Roberts, Jessica Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-12322	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments. AND REMOVE flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data. AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of the highest omitting sub-catchments.
Rowe, Susan Helen Submitter ID: 72588	PC1-6732	Oppose the provision	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so the quality of the water is achievable.
Russell, Jill Adrienne Submitter ID: 71348	PC1-7221	Support the provision with amendments	ADD water quality values from 2010-2014 to Table 3.11-1.
Sattrup, Grahame Paul Submitter ID: 73709	PC1-9392	Support the provision	No specific decision was requested for Table 3.11-1.
Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2674	Oppose the provision	AMEND the attribute targets to align with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.
Sherlock, Jon and Fiona Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-5349	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include rules to control koi carp.
Sherlock, Richard Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-10391	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that PPC1 provisions manage all factors which affect water quality.
Simpson, Trevor Andrew Submitter ID: 67472	PC1-10924	Oppose the provision	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to use a medium percentile figure for E.coli and Nitrate targets AND AMEND to use the Waikato River Authority's interpretation of swimmability.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11198	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that the provisions clearly set out how the attributes in Table 3.11-1 will be implemented, particularly in the context of resource consent applications for farming activities. AND grant relief sought regarding land use change, land use flexibility and off-set mitigation, as detailed elsewhere in the submission.
Stark, Steven and Theresa Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5032	Oppose the provision	DELETE Table 3.11-1 and substitute the minimum standards as set out in the Ministry for the Environment's <i>Clean Water</i> document published February 2017 and within the National Objectives Framework in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. AND AMEND by allowing movement of water quality within a band. AND AMEND so standards do not have to be upheld during flood events.
Stobie, Duncan, Loraine, Donald and Craig Submitter ID: 73998	PC1-5149	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to provide for meeting the water body target 80 percent of the time (or thereabouts).
Stokman, Mark and Sharon Submitter ID: 73976	PC1-6692	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and replace with objectives and numerical water quality limits/targets that consider the reality of the Waikato, are achievable, provide for the protection of its life supporting capacity, and also ensure that the health and well-being, including social and economic values of people and communities, are safeguarded.

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Taniwha Estate Ltd Submitter ID: 72146	PC1-6514	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 in accordance with the amendments proposed by DairyNZ and Fonterra. [DairyNZ: AMEND the 4th paragraph of the explanation of table 3.11-1 (page 56) to read: "The achievement of the attribute targets in Table 3.11-1 will be determined through analysis of 5-yearly monitoring data. <u>Table 3.11-1 lists existing water quality monitoring sites. There is a monitoring site within each sub-catchment. However, the site does not necessarily represent all the surface water flowing from that sub-catchment, as monitoring sites are not all at the downstream confluence of the tributary and the main stem of the Waikato or Waipā River. The variability in water... in the short term. Therefore, Waikato Regional Council will rely on collating and reporting actions put in place, as set out in Policy 1(d), and Methods 3.11.4.10 and 11.</u> "] [Fonterra do not have a specific decision sought for Table 3.11-1.]
Tapp, Warren Submitter ID: 73013	PC1-2136	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the intent of Healthy Rivers but ensure the water quality targets are achievable and work towards a total ban on applying nitrogen to pasture.
Taupo District Council Submitter ID: 74207	PC1-8170	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to accurately label the table number reference on each page AND AMEND to include a map illustrating the location of the monitoring sites.
Taylor and Mellow, Mary Jane and Carwyn David Submitter ID: 71441	PC1-1794	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND by withdrawing PPC1 and replace with objectives and targets that are achievable and consider the involvement of all sectors within the community. These objectives should ensure that the health and well-being of people and communities are safeguarded as outlined under objectives 2 and 4.
Te Arawa River Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11773	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment. AND review the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge. AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments. AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only. AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem. AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.
Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11953	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment. AND review the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge. AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments. AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only. AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem. AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.
Te Kauri Marae Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11621	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment.

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND review the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem.</p> <p>AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.</p>
<p>Te Miro Farms Partnership Submitter ID: 72893</p>	PC1-6884	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that the water quality targets are achievable.</p> <p>AMEND Table 3.11-1 so the water quality targets provide for the ecological health, and cultural values of water bodies, as well as the social and economic well-being of people and communities.</p> <p>AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so the numerical targets do not apply during flood events or when they are unlikely to be used, eg during winter months.</p>
<p>Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust Submitter ID: 73543</p>	PC1-12231	Support the provision with amendments	<p>DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment.</p> <p>AND review the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem.</p> <p>AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.</p>
<p>Te Taniwha o Waikato Submitter ID: 73361</p>	PC1-12049	Support the provision with amendments	<p>DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment.</p> <p>AND review the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem.</p> <p>AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.</p>
<p>Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105</p>	PC1-7491	Support the provision with amendments	<p>DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment.</p>

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND review the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem.</p> <p>AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.</p>
The Worsp Family Trust Submitter ID: 73997	PC1-5090	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Table 3.11-1 to add a rule for the elimination of koi carp</p> <p>AND AMEND to consider contribution of all to contaminant loss including city storm water.</p>
Thomson, Peter Submitter ID: 71208	PC1-6071	Oppose the provision	<p>WITHDRAW PPC1 and replace with objectives including numerical water quality limits/targets that consider the reality of the Waikato, which are achievable, provide for the protecting of its life supporting capacity, while also ensuring that the health and well-being, including social and economic values of people and communities, are safeguarded.</p>
Thorburn, Matthew Charles and Susan Raewyn Submitter ID: 74043	PC1-6666	Oppose the provision	<p>WITHDRAW PPC1 and replace with objectives and numerical water quality limits/targets that consider the reality of the Waikato, are achievable, provide for the protection of its life supporting capacity, and also ensure that the health and well-being, including social and economic values of people and communities, are safeguarded.</p>
Timberlands Limited Submitter ID: 73036	PC1-4063	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND Objective 1 by expressing the 80 year numerical attribute targets for nitrogen as a single set of TN numerical attribute targets measured in the main stem of the Waikato River at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.</p> <p>AND AMEND by revising the 10 year numerical nitrogen attribute targets to show greater consistency between sub-catchment loads, making sure that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge.</p>
Trinity Lands Ltd Submitter ID: 72608	PC1-9754	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Objective 1 Table 3.11-1 water quality attributes to internationally acceptable levels: E coli 95% less than 1000/100ml; nitrate annual median less than 2mg/litre; water clarity at 1 metre at times of annual return low flow; and phosphorus less than 20mg/1000 litres.</p> <p>AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to reflect what is achievable under Scenario 3 Modelling</p>
Trustees of Highfield Deer Park Submitter ID: 73932	PC1-4075	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Objective 3 to acknowledge that the short term goals may not be measurable within the 10 year timeframe.</p> <p>AND AMEND by changing timeframes in Table 3.11-1 to a more realistic date.</p>
Tuaropaki Trust Submitter ID: 73769	PC1-3040	Not stated	<p>ADD or AMEND a policy explicitly stating that the intent is not to achieve better than natural water quality levels.</p>
Tucker, Geoff and Kara Submitter ID: 73928	PC1-2738	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Table 3.11-1 to align water quality targets with the National Policy Statement on water quality.</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments.</p>
Turangawaewae Marae Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12161	Support the provision with amendments	<p>DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment.</p> <p>AND review the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments.</p>

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only. AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem. AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.
Turner, Ross John Submitter ID: 71029	PC1-33	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that the water standards are the same as UN standards.
Tuwaharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10257	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment. AND review the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge. AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments. AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only. AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem. AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.
van der Voorden, Vera and Nora Submitter ID: 74109	PC1-7451	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to ensure that water quality is as a minimum maintained as required by RMA Section 30 (1) (c) (ii) and (iii) AND AMEND PPC1 so that the threshold for water clarity, to measure swimmability is a minimum of 1.6m.
Verry, Reon and Wendy Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-3994	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to provide data that measures contaminants at meaningful locations to narrow down sources. AND AMEND E.coli targets throughout the table to allow for flood events. AND AMEND to ensure consistency with targets and/or better explanations around the targets. AND AMEND to provide complete data table, with relevant explanations. AND AMEND so that all contaminants allow for a cost benefit analysis at the sub-catchment level rather than application of the blanket nitrogen and stock exclusion rules.
Volker, Peter Submitter ID: 73690	PC1-10282	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Table 3.11-1 water quality targets and limits, and provisions of PPC1 to better give effect to the Vision and Strategy, the objectives of the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (such as for natural character and biodiversity), the National Policy Statement for Freshwater, and to ensure water quality is, as a minimum, maintained as required by the RMA. AND AMEND to establish numeric limits, timeframes and targets for suspended sediment and benthic sediment attributes AND AMEND the minimum water clarity in Table 3.11-1 to 1.6 metres AND ADD the following freshwater attributes: natural character (including the riparian margin); dissolved oxygen; deposited and suspended sediment; Te Hauora o te Taiao / the health and mauri of the environment; Freshwater Macroinvertebrate Health (Macroinvertebrate Community Index); periphyton; cyanobacteria; benthic cyanobacteria; temperature; pH; toxic heavy metals; barriers to fish migrations; water flows and levels; estuaries; and dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN), total nitrogen and total phosphorous in the tributaries and sub-catchments.
Waahi Pa Marae Committee Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12109	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment.

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND review the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem.</p> <p>AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.</p>
<p>Waahi Whaanui Trust Submitter ID: 73537</p>	<p>PC1-11924</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment.</p> <p>AND review the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem.</p> <p>AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.</p>
<p>Waikato and Waipa River Iwi Submitter ID: 74035</p>	<p>PC1-3250</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>DELETE from Table 3.11-1 the 80-year numerical targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen that are expressed in each sub-catchment</p> <p>AND review the 10-year numerical attribute targets for nitrate-nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen to fix errors and achieve greater consistency between sub-catchments so that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for E.coli and water clarity for the Waikato River main stem and sub-catchments</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 80-year numerical targets for Chlorophyll <i>a</i> for the Waikato River main stem only</p> <p>AND RETAIN the 10-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets for the Waikato River main stem</p> <p>AND AMEND the 80-year Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorous targets to a single point at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit.</p>
<p>Waikato Environment Centre Submitter ID: 73436</p>	<p>PC1-6231</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>AMEND Table 3.11-1 to provide for a reduction in desired discharge levels and targets in order to achieve the community and Plan Vision.</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide for water quality targets that reflect the Ministry of Health’s definition of swimmable and safe for food gathering.</p> <p>AND AMEND to include the following freshwater attribute: Te Hauora o te Taiao; natural character; dissolved oxygen (DO); deposited and suspended sediment; Freshwater Macroinvertebrate Health (MCI); periphyton; cyanobacteria; benthic cyanobacteria; Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen (DIN) & total nitrogen in the tributaries/sub-catchments; total phosphorous in the tributaries/sub-catchments; temperature; pH; toxic heavy metals; barriers to fish migrations, and; water flows and levels.</p>

Table 3.11-1: Short term and long term numerical water quality targets for the Waikato and Waipa River catchments/Ngā whāinga ā-tau taupoto, tauroa hoki mō te kounga wai i te riu o ngā awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to include instream limits for loads, sediment loads, E.coli, toxic contaminant loads, micro-organisms and temperature. AND AMEND to provide for load thresholds in sub-catchments and catchments coming under resource use pressure.
Waikato Federated Farmers Meat & Fibre Industry Group Submitter ID: 73934	PC1-2698	Oppose the provision	DELETE the E.coli restriction in Table 3.11-1.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3635	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1: Dune, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes freshwater Management Units on page 67, by adding two new columns to provide targets for Annual Median and Annual Maximum Ammonia as per NPSFM - Band C for 80 year target AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 by adding a footnote to read: " <u>that the annual median and annual maximum ammonia have been adjusted for pH.</u> " AND AMEND either the Methods or Table 3.11-1 Explanatory note to Table 3.11-1 to get alignment between the attribute Clarity in Table 3.11-1 and references to sediment in the Methods AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to combine the three tables into one table, OR provide different captions and individual numbering for each table AND AMEND to place the table caption directly above the table AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to read: "Waerenga Stm SH2 Maramarua <u>Taniwha Rd.</u> " AND AMEND to rename Lake Opouri as Lake Ngapouri in Table 3.11-1 Dune, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units (last section, on page 67, list the names of the lakes and their catchments, as is the case for all other entries in the table AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to take into account the Bay of Plenty Regional Council water quality standards for those parts of the Waikato and Waipā River Catchment area that overlap with and drain into the Lake Rotorua Catchment AND AMEND to rename the Volcanic Lake category in a way that is relevant to some aspect of the character of the lakes in the category.
Waikato River Authority Submitter ID: 74033	PC1-11559	Not stated	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that as a minimum, limits are set at current contaminant levels for all sub-catchments along with a clear directive that these contaminant levels shall not increase.
Waipa District Council Submitter ID: 67704	PC1-3238	Support the provision with amendments	ADD the table number (3.11-1) in the title of the table on page 57 AND ADD a definition of 'short term' in Table 3.11-1 as 'by 2026' AND ADD a map identifying the locations of the monitoring sites in Table 3.11-1 AND ADD an explanation of gaps in the data in the table AND CLARIFY how water quality impacts of flooding are addressed in the targets.
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4678	Oppose the provision	REMOVE references to Table 3.11-1.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11391	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to use consistent cross-referencing to the freshwater objectives in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater 2014. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to include a new first column which identifies and links the sub-catchment name with the relevant sub-catchment number as shown in Appendix C of the submission. AND AMEND to substitute the short-term and long-term numerical freshwater objectives for sub-catchments 56, 58, 59, 62, 65, 66B, 72, 73 and 74 with the alternative freshwater objectives in Appendix C of the submission.

Table 3.11-2: List of sub-catchments showing Priority 1, Priority 2, and Priority 3 sub-catchments

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to insert an additional row to provide freshwater objectives for sub-catchment 66A (Tahorakuri) as shown in Appendix C of the submission.
Waitomo District Council Submitter ID: 73688	PC1-10848	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to include its number (3.11-1) in the title of the table AND ADD a definition of 'short term' as 'by 2026' AND ADD a map identifying the locations of the monitoring sites in Table 3.11-1 AND ensure appropriate account has been taken in setting the E.coli limits to make allowance for peak flooding events AND CLARIFY how phosphorus is being measured in the Waipā Freshwater Management Unit.
Wallace, Martin Lindsay Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-8261	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to include a 20% change within the 80 year time frame.
Watercare Services Ltd Submitter ID: 74077	PC1-8435	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1/PPC1 to recognise the seasonality effects of point source discharges as is current practice with many existing discharge consents to the Waikato River AND CLARIFY how historical water quality data has been handled to derive water quality targets for ammonia and the implication for addressing effects of point source discharges AND AMEND in Table 3.11-1 the long term water quality targets for Total Nitrogen, Total Phosphorus and Chlorophyll-a to recognise the gradual deterioration of water quality along the length of the Waikato River and the artificial boundaries between the upper and lower catchment be removed.
Wellington Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 71355	PC1-7180	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that the water quality targets are achievable. AND AMEND by making available the plan beyond PPC1.
Welsh, Mikayla Submitter ID: 74184	PC1-6104	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and replace it with objectives including numerical water quality limits/targets that consider the reality of the Waikato, and are achievable, provide for the protection of its life supporting capacity, while also ensuring that the health and well-being including social and economic values of people and communities are safeguarded.
Williamson, Terry Submitter ID: 71228	PC1-795	Oppose the provision	Table 3.11-1: The submission did not provide further detail.
Woodacre Partnership Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-4163	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to align the attribute targets with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management and Waikato River Authority's Vision and Strategy AND AMEND by removing flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data.
Worsp, Simon Wynn & Rosemary Elizabeth Submitter ID: 71269	PC1-930	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to consider contribution of all to contaminant loss including city storm water.
Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-12300	Oppose the provision	DELETE flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data.

Table 3.11-2: List of sub-catchments showing Priority 1, Priority 2, and Priority 3 sub-catchments

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game	PC1-11005	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-2 to adopt the amendments sought in the table in Appendix 1 of the submission (pages 69 to 74) AND AMEND to ensure clear linkages between Tables 3.11-1 and Table 3.11-2.

Table 3.11-2: List of sub-catchments showing Priority 1, Priority 2, and Priority 3 sub-catchments

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 74085			
Bailey, James Submitter ID: 73926	PC1-9051	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-2 to make stock exclusion consistent with central Government's recommendations being proposed through the advice of the Land and Water Forum AND AMEND to place a strong emphasis on identifying and addressing critical source areas through the farm planning process AND AMEND to use the sub-catchment approach by incentivising the development of catchment groups to work alongside Council to identify and target contaminant hot spots.
Briggs, Graham John Submitter ID: 73938	PC1-3211	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-2 to ensure requirements are assessed on a farm by farm basis, and that water is available for animals in hill country AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
Central Waikato Zone Committee Submitter ID: 74028	PC1-7585	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-2 by changing sub-catchment 32 (Karapiro) to a Priority 1.
Coleman, Mark and Ruth Submitter ID: 71424	PC1-7414	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to ensure that where water reticulation must be installed due to the implementation of PPC1, Waikato [Waitomo] Regional Council covers full installation costs. AND AMEND to ensure that Waikato Regional Council meets the full costs of fencing. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-11067	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-2 so that all wetland and lake sub-catchments are included as Priority 1. AND AMEND Table 3.11-2 to recognise the existing works that have already been undertaken for lakes by way of lake restoration plans and farm environment/management plans, AND ensure that the Table reflects the need for their immediate implementation and enforcement [refer Appendix J of the submission - Existing Lakes Management and Planning]. AND AMEND to insert Pungarehu Canal/Stream to Table 3.11-2 as Priority 1. AND AMEND Table 3.11-2 so that the identified lakes in Appendix H of the submission [see Appendix H of the submission] be actioned within 1-2 years of PPC1 being made operative, with the management of the remainder of the lakes being actioned within 5 years from the date of the PPC1 being made operative. AND review sub-catchments to ensure they are appropriate for the holistic management of individual lakes through individual Freshwater Management Units.
Dixon, Grant Submitter ID: 73980	PC1-7745	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so contaminants can be mitigated in a way that is beneficial to animal behaviour.
Findlay, Andrew Submitter ID: 72021	PC1-8302	Oppose the provision	DELETE Table 3.11-2 AND AMEND stock exclusion provisions to start at the top of the catchment over a certain timeframe when finance is achievable.
Jeffries, Gary and Joy Submitter ID: 71214	PC1-7296	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-2 to follow the Farm Environment Plan of each individual farm. AND AMEND to provide a definition of what water has to be fenced.
Macdonald, Hamish Stuart Submitter ID: 71433	PC1-2848	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-2 to provide for individual plans through Farm Environment Plans for each farm where the combination of fencing waterways or other mitigation measures are taken into consideration, to work out a balance that benefits both the environment and the farmer.
Mangakotukutuku Stream Care Group Incorporated Submitter ID: 72412	PC1-4465	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to protect remaining wetlands and gully seeps and create new incentives to encourage the creation or reinstatement of wetland areas. AND RETAIN PPC1 in its entirety. AND RETAIN Mangakotukutuku Stream Catchment (No. 30) as a Priority 1 catchment.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9680	Support the provision with amendments	ADD to Table 3.11-2 an explanatory to confirm that Lakes Freshwater Management Units are included in sub-catchment areas. AND AMEND and redefine sub-catchment areas listed in Table 3.11-2 and mapped on Map 3.11-2 to differentiate tributaries from the main stem of the Waikato River, particularly for the Upper Waikato River FMU.
Pukeroa Farms Submitter ID: 73789	PC1-7054	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-2 so that rather than rules, the requirement for stock exclusion on steeper land can be looked at in consultation. AND AMEND to a practical variation of the waterway requirements for hill country.
Rotor Work Limited Submitter ID: 73415	PC1-6026	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3 11-2 to enable assessment of stock exclusion requirements on a farm by farm basis dependent of the factors identified in the submission.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3646	Support the provision	RETAIN Table 3.11-2 AND DELETE from "Table 3.11-2 (p70): Table 3.11-2: List of sub-catchments showing Priority 1, Priority 2, and Priority 3 sub-catchments " AND AMEND Map 3.11-2 and Table 3.11-2 to combine Priority 1 sub-catchment 52 (Waitomo at Tumutumu Road) with Priority 2 sub-catchment 46 (Waitomo at SH31 Otorohanga) AND AMEND add to the list in Table 3.11-2 the combined area as: 'Waitomo catchment', 'Priority 1'.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11395	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Table 3.11-2 the row pertaining to sub-catchment 66 AND ADD two new rows to list sub-catchments 66A (Tahorakuri) and 66B (Ohakuri) as priority 3 sub-catchments.

Map 3.11-2: Map of the Waikato and Waipa River catchments, showing sub-catchments

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Central Waikato Zone Committee Submitter ID: 74028	PC1-7587	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Map 3.11-2 by changing sub-catchment 32, Karapiro, from Priority 3 to 1 (Yellow to Red on the map).
Cheyne, David Submitter ID: 71443	PC1-1506	Oppose the provision	AMEND as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission [Federated Farmers do not have a specific decision sought for Map 3.11-2.]
Cowan, Evan John Submitter ID: 73808	PC1-2810	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Schedule C stock exclusion stock from rivers, drains, Lakes and significant wetlands. AND AMEND to provide for a collaborative approach to developing individual Farm Environment Plans that reduce reliance on stock exclusion through fencing.
Gleeson, Graeme B Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-6446	Not stated	AMEND maps so that Lake Taupo is acknowledged as a headwater sub-catchment, and that water from Lake Taupo is maintained in the best state possible as it flows down the Waikato River. AND AMEND to ensure monitoring of water quality is such that dilution from cleaner Lake Taupo water does not hide the effect of poor quality tributaries.
Mangakotukutuku Stream Care Group Incorporated Submitter ID: 72412	PC1-4467	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to protect remaining wetlands and gully seeps and create new incentives to encourage the creation or reinstatement of wetland areas. AND RETAIN PPC1 in its entirety. AND RETAIN Mangakotukutuku Stream Catchment (No. 30) as a Priority 1 catchment.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9681	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Map 3.11-2 to redefine sub-catchment areas listed in Table 3.11-2 and mapped on Map 3.11-2 to differentiate tributaries from the main stem of the Waikato River, particularly for the Upper Waikato River Freshwater Management Unit AND AMEND Map 3.11-3 to the redefined sub-catchment areas and include the following additional sub-catchment areas: corresponding to each hydro catchment, and any large tributaries entering the Waikato River within the Upper Waikato River FMU [indicative map titled Additional Sub-Catchment Areas attached to the submission] AND AMEND Map 3.11-2 such that sub-catchments within an FMU are numbered consecutively for ease of referencing.
TIM Nominees Submitter ID: 73964	PC1-8440	Support the provision with amendments	CLARIFY whether Map 3.11-2 and associated provisions apply to the urban environment, and if so, clearly explain how.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3651	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Map 3.11-2 by adding a comment to state that sub-catchment plans can include a multiple sub-catchment approach. AND AMEND Map 3.11-2 and Table 3.11-2 to combine Priority 1 sub-catchment 52 (Waitomo at Tumutumu Road) with Priority 2 sub-catchment 46 (Waitomo at SH31 Otorohanga) AND AMEND add to the list in Table 3.11-2 the combined area as: " <u>Waitomo catchment, Priority 1</u> ".
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11396	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Map 3.11-2 to show the subdivision of sub-catchments 66 into sub-catchments 66A (Tahorakuri) and 66B (Ohakuri), as shown in Appendix D of the submission) and coloured appropriately to reflect their priority level.
Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2071	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Map 3.11-2 so that FMU's are based on sub-catchments that are grouped according to their physical attributes.

5.1.5 Conditions for Permitted Activity Rule 5.1.4.11 and Standards and Terms for Controlled Activity Rules/Ngā āhuatanga o te Ture 5.1.4.11 mō ngā Mahi e Whakaaetia ana, me ngā Paerewa me ngā Herenga mō ngā Ture mō ngā Mahi ka āta Whakahaerehia

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Dunlop, Tania Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-1082	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Rule 5.1.4.11 to exclude areas where there might be a mere trickle of water or subject to regular flooding. AND AMEND so that where stock crossing is infrequent, no crossing with culvert is required.
Hamilton, Malibu Submitter ID: 74083	PC1-10520	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 to include freshwater objectives, attributes, limits and targets in such a way as to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Avoid, mitigate, or remedy actions during harvesting operations that accelerate erosion and minimise the discharge of sediment to water bodies • Limit riparian disturbance by felling away from the riparian zone except where unsafe or impractical to do so • Avoid more than minor adverse effects (e.g on aquatic habitat) • Ensure that mechanical land preparation is parallel to the contour where practical

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND to ensure PPC1 has clear, enforceable permitted activity standards that will effectively control potential environmental effects (including cumulative effects)</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that where sufficiently clear, enforceable permitted activity conditions cannot be devised, a consenting regime is adopted</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that where permitted activity standards are unlikely to be sufficient in over-allocated catchments, a consenting regime is required to ensure that the cumulative effects of forestry on water quality are managed to achieve targets within a defined timeframe</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide setbacks to harvesting, pruning-to-waste, all earthworks and any mechanical operations</p> <p>AND AMEND to apply setbacks to intermittent, as well as perennial streams</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that to protect the likely presence of threatened freshwater fish species and to provide appropriate protection to water quality, and riparian health, ensure a minimum setback of 10 metres on small streams; 20 metres on rivers between 3 and 20 metres; and rivers over 20 metres wide, and any protected by Water Conservation Orders should have a minimum setback of 30 metres</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure no intrusion into setback areas from all forestry operations</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that the setback from wetlands is 30 metres</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that 30 metre setbacks for permitted earthworks within significant ecological areas or the appropriate setback should be determined having regard to the slope, drainage class and soil content.</p>
Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5808	Support the provision	RETAIN Rule 5.1.5, 5.1.4.11.
New Zealand Farm Forestry Association - Waikato Branch Submitter ID: 73698	PC1-10132	Oppose the provision	<p>DELETE permitted activity condition 5.1.5(q)</p> <p>OR AMEND 515(q) to read: "In the Waikato and Waipā Catchment the Waikato Regional Council shall be notified in writing at least 20 working days prior to commencing harvest operations in a forest, <u>where that harvest operation exceeds 4 hectares in area, or where the harvest operation is within 50 metres of a stream, river or water body. The written notice must include a harvest plan unless otherwise agreed with Waikato Regional Council.</u>"</p>
New Zealand Forest Owners Association Inc Submitter ID: 73524	PC1-9964	Support the provision	<p>RETAIN 5.1.5 (amendment to Rule 5.1.4.11)</p> <p>AND any consequential amendments.</p>
NZ Forest Managers Ltd Submitter ID: 73443	PC1-6005	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Part B: 5.1.5 Conditions for Permitted Activity Rule 5.1.4.11 and Standards and Terms for Controlled Activity Rules to reduce the notification period from 'at least 20 working days' to 'at least 10 working days'.</p> <p>AND AMEND Part B: 5.1.5 Conditions for Permitted Activity Rule 5.1.4.11 and Standards and Terms for Controlled Activity Rules so that the harvest plan provision is removed from the notification requirement, or amended to be provided 'on request from Council'.</p>
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8950	Support the provision	RETAIN 5.1.5 Conditions for Permitted Activity Rule without amendments.
Phillips, Neal Submitter ID: 71231	PC1-761	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND 5.5.1 Permitted activity conditions so forestry logging is required to develop silt traps and keep silt traps clear.
Rayonier Matariki Forests Submitter ID: 73159	PC1-9591	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 to remove the requirement for block by block sediment and slash management details to be submitted to Council and replace with the following: "<u>A slash and sediment plan for a harvesting block that adheres to best management practices, shall be available on site for inspection on request at commencement of and during the harvest operation, with variations to the plan and reasons for the variations documented.</u>"</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Sieling Farms Submitter ID: 73514	PC1-5483	Oppose the provision	AMEND Section 5.1.5 to be consistent with the new forest harvesting standards agreed by the forestry industry and government, once they are finalised, and suspend this provision for reconsiderations when the agreed standards are released.
Volker, Peter Submitter ID: 73690	PC1-10296	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 5.1.5 to include freshwater objectives, attributes, limits and targets to: a. avoid, mitigate or remedy actions during harvesting operations that accelerate erosion and minimise the discharge of sediment to water bodies; b. limit riparian disturbance by felling away from the riparian zone except where unsafe or impractical to do so; c. avoid 'more than minor' adverse effects (e.g. on aquatic habitat); and d. ensure that mechanical land preparation is parallel to the contour where practical. AND AMEND 5.1.5 to move to a consenting regime where sufficiently clear and enforceable permitted activity conditions cannot be devised.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3654	Support the provision	RETAIN 5.1.5.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11397	Support the provision	Permitted Activity Rule 5.1.4.11 in section 5.1.5 - RETAIN Consequential amendments to the Waikato Regional Plan as notified or amend by similar wording to like effect.
Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2156	Support the provision	RETAIN Rule 5.1.4.11.
Yeates, Marilyn Submitter ID: 71172	PC1-147	Oppose the provision	Submitter did not provide further comment for 5.1.5.

5.1.5 q)

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-11016	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 5.1.5 (q)(b) to include the following the provisions: <u>"v. Buffering measures undertaken;</u> <u>vi. Harvest and replanting regime."</u> Note also the submitter's Decisions Requested with respect to forest harvesting in General Submission point 11007.
Empson, Alan Jephson Howard Submitter ID: 74152	PC1-9094	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Condition 5.1.5(q) to include a definition of the term forest.
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9683	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 5.1.5 q) to specify the limited circumstances (exceptions) when a harvest plan is not required. AND AMEND 5.1.5 q) Harvest Plan condition (a)(iv) to read: "iv. The location of any riparian vegetation including significant natural areas <u>identified in any relevant District Plan.</u> " AND AMEND 5.1.5 q) Harvest Plan, condition (b) (iv), to clarify the intent and meaning of riparian vegetation to be protected.
Port, Kelvin Robert Submitter ID: 73080	PC1-9643	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 5.1.5(q) to ensure that farm forestry or woodlots less than 3 hectares are exempt.

Harvest Plan

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ellery, Ian Yates Submitter ID: 71173	PC1-493	Support the provision	Harvest Plan: ADD clarification on whether the submitter will be able to harvest pine 3 times within the next 80 years.

Additions to Glossary of Terms/Ngā Āpiti hanga ki te Rārangi Kupu

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
AFFCO New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 74140	PC1-7620	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a definition for regionally significant industry to read as follows: " <u>Regionally significant industry- means industry based on the use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. Regionally significant industry includes:</u> a) <u>dairy manufacturing sites;</u> b) <u>meat processing plants and rendering plants;</u> c) <u>wood processing plants; and</u> c) <u>mineral extraction activities.</u> " AND ADD a definition of best practicable option, by adding a schedule to the Plan, which contains Waikato Regional Council's guidance material as representing the best practicable option in respect of diffuse source discharges. AND ADD the definition of best practicable option described in the Resource Management Act.
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-11376	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to define or explain what is meant by 'low level of contaminant discharge' and 'high levels of contaminant discharge'. AND AMEND PPC1 to provide a definition or explanation of 'low discharges'.
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-13134	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN, DELETE, OR AMEND terms in Part C to ensure that they will, individually and collectively, give effect to substantive amendments sought and enable objectives, as retained or amended by the Fish and Game submission, are to be achieved.
BT Mining Ltd Submitter ID: 72453	PC1-9921	Support the provision with amendments	ADD to the Glossary of terms a definition of Regionally Significant Infrastructure to read: " <u>Regionally significant industry: means an economic activity based on the use of natural or physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional and/or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. Regionally significant industry includes:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Dairy manufacturing.</u> • <u>Meat processing.</u> • <u>Pulp and paper processing.</u> • <u>Mineral extraction.</u>"
Chick, Adam Ross Submitter ID: 73985	PC1-9312	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Glossary of terms definition of a waterway for stock exclusion to align with the definition in the NPS-FM.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Dairy Goat Co-Operative (N.Z) Ltd Submitter ID: 74044	PC1-4140	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 so a common term (stock or livestock) is used and defined throughout the document, at least in relation to stock exclusion from water bodies. AND AMEND to ensure that the definition (stock or livestock) refers to cattle, horses, deer and pigs, as per Schedule C(1) only.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10658	Support the provision with amendments	ADD into the glossary a NEW definition for 'low discharging activities' AND ADD a NEW definition for 'regionally significant industry'.
Empson, Alan Jephson Howard Submitter ID: 74152	PC1-9095	Support the provision with amendments	ADD to the Glossary of Terms a definition for forest.
FarmRight Submitter ID: 73720	PC1-5415	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of 'Freshwater Management Unit' to better represent the smaller catchment groups by identifying farms with similar features.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10858	Not stated	AMEND the Glossary of Terms to ensure that the definitions synchronise with the operative provisions, Schedules and Tables.
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10616	Support the provision	ADD to the Glossary of terms a definition of regionally significant infrastructure to read: <u>"Regionally Significant Infrastructure – means an economic activity based on the use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. Regionally significant industry includes:</u> <u>a. Dairy manufacturing sites;</u> <u>b. Meat processing plants;</u> <u>c. Pulp and paper processing plants; and</u> <u>d. Mineral extraction activities."</u> AND ADD a NEW definition of 'effective hectares' to read: <u>"Effective hectares: means the area of a property or enterprise as measured in hectares which is used for the regular grazing of animals or growing of crops or activities ancillary to those uses and which specifically excludes indigenous forest, plantation forest, closed canopy scrubland and protected wetlands."</u> AND ADD a NEW definition of 'protected wetland' to read: <u>"Protected wetland: for the purpose of the definition of 'effective hectares' means a wetland that is fenced to exclude stock or which is legally protected by a rule in a district or regional plan, condition of resource consent or other legally binding instrument such that it cannot be lawfully grazed, drained, cleared or otherwise modified without the consent of a local authority or third party and for which no such consent has been issued. This definition excludes any wetland constructed for the purpose of mitigating the effects of agricultural discharges on water quality."</u>
Fullerton, Angela Margaret Submitter ID: 71297	PC1-6396	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Glossary of terms by ADDING a definition for slope.
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10819	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition of Regionally Significant Industry as follows: <u>"Regionally significant industry - means an economic activity based on the use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. Regionally significant industry includes:</u> <u>a) Dairy manufacturing sites;</u> <u>b) Meat processing plants;</u> <u>c) Pulp and paper processing plants; and</u> <u>d) Mineral extraction activities."</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-2968	Support the provision	ADD to the Glossary of Terms a NEW definition to read: " <u>Regionally significant industry - means an economic activity based on the use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. Regionally significant industry includes:</u> a) Dairy manufacturing sites; b) Meat processing plants; c) Pulp and paper processing plants; and d) Mineral extraction activities."
Grainger, Chris and Andrea Submitter ID: 74153	PC1-8187	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of waterbodies to adopt the National Water Accord as the definition of water bodies, i.e. the 1 metre wide by 300mm deep flowing all year round.
Hahn, Jacqueline Marie Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11474	Support the provision with amendments	AND ADD to the Glossary of terms, a definition of 'Wetlands' to read " <u>Wetland (functioning wetlands in past 5 years) and is 4% of wetlands catchment or portion there of when in intensive land use.</u> "
Hamilton City Council Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-10208	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Additions to Glossary of Terms to include the definition of 'ecosystem services' that is contained in the Waikato regional Policy Statement (2016) definition. AND AMEND to include a definition for 'regionally significant infrastructure' in PPC1, similar to the definition in the Regional Policy Statement (2016).
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10227	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition for Catchment Collective to read: " <u>Definition - Catchment collective</u> <u>Catchment collective: means a group of enterprises or properties in multiple ownership, where the owners of those enterprises or properties undertake farming activities and operate as a collective for the purposes of contaminant management.</u> " AND ADD a NEW definition for Certified Farm Environment Planner (Commercial Vegetable Production) to read: " <u>Certified Farm Environment Planner (Commercial Vegetable Production)</u> <u>Certified Farm Environment Planner (Commercial Vegetable Production): is a person or entity certified by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council and listed on the Waikato Regional Council website as a Certified Farm Environment Planner (Commercial Vegetable Production) and has as a minimum the following qualifications and experience:</u> a. Tertiary qualifications in agronomy or agricultural engineering b. More than 15 years' experience working with commercial vegetable cropping systems c. A certificate of competence approved by the Waikato Regional Council relating to the relevant aspects of <u>environmental farm plan assessment</u> " AND ADD new definition for Sub-catchment Scale Management Plans to read: " <u>Sub-catchment Scale Management Plan (SSMP)</u> <u>Sub-catchment Scale Management Plan (SSMP): means a sub-catchment scale plan for that sets out actions and responsibilities for a Catchment Collective (representing all or part of a sub-catchment) for the purposes of contaminant management that meets or exceeds the expected reduction in discharge to freshwater that would otherwise be achieved through a Farm Environment Plan.</u> "
J Swap Ltd Submitter ID: 71618	PC1-6436	Support the provision	ADD a NEW definition of 'regionally significant infrastructure' as follows - " <u>Regionally significant industry - means an economic activity based on the use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. Regionally significant industry includes:</u> a) Dairy manufacturing sites;

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>b) Meat processing plants;</u> <u>c) Pulp and paper processing plants; and</u> <u>d) Mineral extraction activities."</u>
Kenna, Grant and Catherine Submitter ID: 73771	PC1-5209	Oppose the provision	ADD a NEW definition for winter forage crops.
Lumbercorp NZ Ltd Submitter ID: 71753	PC1-9960	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include the following definition: <u>"Regionally significant industry- means industry based on the use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. Regionally significant industry includes:</u> <u>a) Dairy manufacturing sites;</u> <u>b) Meat processing plants;</u> <u>c) Pulp and paper processing plant and associated timber processing industries; and</u> <u>d) Mineral extraction activities."</u>
Mangakotukutuku Stream Care Group Incorporated Submitter ID: 72412	PC1-4474	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Waikato Regional Policy Statement definition of wetland to: "Wetland included permanently or intermittently wet areas, shallow water, and land water margins that support a natural ecosystem of plants and animals that are adapted to wet conditions and may include bogs, wet gully bottoms, swamps and seeps." AND AMEND the Edge of Field Mitigation/s definition AND/OR ADD a NEW term/definition that specifically relates to the function that these areas have in reducing contaminant losses to offsite surface waters (eg, 'Contaminant Mitigation Zone' - permanently or intermittently wet areas, shallow water, bogs, wet gully bottoms, swamps and seeps which have the potential to reduce losses of contaminants from farm land to surface water).
Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9369	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year.</u> "
Martyn, Anna Katrina Submitter ID: 72928	PC1-4160	Oppose the provision	AMEND to clarify in the Additions to Glossary of Terms how slope is defined and measured.
Maungatautari Marae Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11770	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year.</u> "
McClunie, Joseph and Margaret Submitter ID: 71175	PC1-229	Oppose the provision with amendments	ADD to the Glossary of terms a definition that outlines how to measure 25 degree slopes.
McLaughlin, Kate Submitter ID: 72498	PC1-6012	Oppose the provision	ADD to the Glossary of terms a definition of the 25 degree slope provisions OR DELETE the 25 degree slope provisions AND REPLACE with farming operations having over 18 stock units per hectare.
McLean, Parekawhia Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11920	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year.</u> "
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9687	Support the provision	ADD a NEW definition of regionally significant industry to the Glossary of Terms to read: <u>"Regionally significant industry - For the purpose of Chapter 3.11, means an economic activity based on the use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale, including their associated point source discharges. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. Regionally significant industry includes the following activities, but does not include primary production activities or Certified Sector Schemes:</u> <u>a. Dairy manufacturing sites</u> <u>b. Meat processing and rendering Plants</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>c. Pulp and paper processing plants; and</u> <u>d. mineral extraction activities."</u>
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8901	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Glossary of Terms to include the definition of 'property'.
Neal, Craig Andrew Lamont and Tracey Anne Submitter ID: 73466	PC1-9016	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Additions to Glossary of Terms.
New Zealand Grain and Seed Trade Association Submitter ID: 71229	PC1-1688	Oppose the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition of winter, and clarify who determines this period how it applies to winter forage crops. AND AMEND to further clarify AND OR define how the 15 degree slope restriction will be interpreted (i.e. part of the paddock and apply to all the paddock, the paddock average, how and who calculated) and what are accepted mitigations above 12 degrees slope.
New Zealand Steel Ltd Submitter ID: 73790	PC1-3711	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Glossary of Terms in PPC1 to include the following definition: " <u>Regionally significant industry - means industry based on the use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. Regionally significant industry includes: a) dairy manufacturing sites; b) Meat processing plants; c) Pulp and paper processing plants; d) Mineral extraction activities; and e) Product manufacturing."</u>
Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11619	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year."</u>
Ngati Haua Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11870	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year."</u>
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-12311	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 by providing a definition of regionally significant industry that includes that Kinleith Industrial Park, for example, as follows: " <u>Regionally significant industry- means industry based on the region's use of natural and physical resources which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. Regionally significant industry includes:</u> <u>a) Wood processing plants;</u> <u>b) Dairy manufacturing sites;</u> <u>c) Meat processing plants;</u> <u>d) Mineral extraction activities; and</u> <u>e) Renewable energy generation."</u>
Okell, Robert Steven Submitter ID: 71079	PC1-178	Not stated	ADD a clear definition to the Glossary of Terms on how slope is to be measured.
Poohara Marae Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-12046	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year."</u>
Potini Whaanau Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11722	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year."</u>
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6331	Support the provision with amendments	ADD to the Glossary of terms a definition of property. AND AMEND to provide for a requirement for sediment management qualifications (such as New Zealand Association of Resource Managers Professional Certification) in the definition of Certified Farm Environment Planner,

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to clarify the definition of Edge of field mitigation/s, AND RETAIN the definition of Enterprise, AND AMEND to clarify which specific version of the OVERSEER Model is to be used in the definition of Nitrogen Reference Point.
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10577	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year.</u> "
Sellars, Michael David and Alison Jean Submitter ID: 72401	PC1-9170	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a definition for 'grazed land': " <u>A property on which grazing takes place not limited to the area of the property which is actually grazed.</u> " AND AMEND to provide clear definition of stocking rate.
South Waikato District Council Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-9724	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a definition for regionally significant infrastructure: " <u>Regionally significant infrastructure means 'municipal wastewater treatment plants, water supply treatment plants and bulk water supply, wastewater conveyance and storage systems, municipal supply dams and ancillary infrastructure.'</u> " AND ADD a definition of regionally significant industry: " <u>Regionally significant industry means 'an economic activity based on use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits or a combination thereof Regional significant industry includes:</u> <u>a) Dairy manufacturing sites;</u> <u>b) Meat processing plants; and</u> <u>c) Pulp and paper processing plants.'</u> "
Taupo District Council Submitter ID: 74207	PC1-8172	Support the provision	AMEND the additions to the Glossary of Terms to include a definition for regionally significant infrastructure, which includes storm water infrastructure AND AMEND to include a definition for the term 'urban' to assist with implementing Schedule A.
Te Arawa River Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11820	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year.</u> "
Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11952	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year.</u> "
Te Kauri Marae Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11670	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year.</u> "
Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12282	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year.</u> "
Te Taniwha o Waikato Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12149	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year.</u> "
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-8123	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year.</u> "
Treweek, Glen Submitter ID: 72747	PC1-5807	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Glossary of Terms the definition for a Nitrogen Reference Point AND ADD a definition for a Reference Land-use Description.
Tuakau Proteins Limited	PC1-3339	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include the following definition:

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73915			"Regionally significant industry - means industry based on the use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. <u>Regionally significant industry includes:</u> <u>a) Dairy manufacturing sites;</u> <u>b) Meat processing plants and rendering plants;</u> <u>c) Pulp and paper processing plants; and</u> <u>d) Mineral extraction activities."</u> AND REPLACE throughout PPC1 'Certified Industry Scheme' with 'Certified Sector Scheme'.
Turangawaewae Marae Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12226	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year."</u>
Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10630	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year."</u>
Waahi Pa Marae Committee Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12189	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year."</u>
Waahi Whaanui Trust Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-12106	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year."</u>
Waikato and Waipa River Iwi Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3675	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to read: " <u>Intermittently flowing river: Intermittently flowing river means a river or stream that, in its natural state during an average year, stops flowing on at least one occasion during the year."</u>
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3666	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition to the Glossary of Terms to read " <u>Current version of OVERSEER® is the version of the Overseer® Model with the most recent release date."</u> AND ADD to the Glossary of Terms a new definition for Nitrogen Reference Period to read: " <u>...is a property's or enterprise's 2014/15 and 2015/16 financial years, except for properties or enterprises where the principle land use is commercial vegetable production, in which case the nitrogen reference period is the period commencing with the property's or enterprise's 2006/7 financial year and ending with its 2015/16 financial year."</u> AND ADD to the Glossary of Terms a new definition for Nitrogen Reference Period Data to read: " <u>is the set of verified OVERSEER input parameters for each of the financial years of the nitrogen reference period."</u> AND ADD to the Glossary of Terms a new definition for Nitrogen Reference Point Data to read: " <u>is the verified Overseer input parameters for the single financial year of the nitrogen reference period that when modelled in the version of Overseer current at 1 April 2019 results in the highest nitrogen leaching rate."</u> AND ADD to the Glossary of Terms in Chapter 3.11 a specific definition for urban properties. AND ADD a NEW definition to the Glossary of Terms for Wetland to read: "For the purposes of Chapter 3.11 includes permanently or intermittently wet areas, shallow water, and land water margins that support a natural ecosystem of plants and animals that are adapted to wet conditions."
Waikato River Authority Submitter ID: 74033	PC1-11565	Not stated	ADD a definition of wetland to the additions to the glossary to read "permanently or intermittently wet areas, shallow water, and land water margins that support plants that are adapted to wet conditions" and apply this definition to rules relating to the drainage of wetlands, as contained within the operative Regional Plan.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11400	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW definition for 'adaptive management' to read: " <u>Adaptive management means the approach to avoiding, remedying or mitigating any actual or potential adverse effects on the environment that addresses risk or uncertainty arising from consented sub-catchment-wide land use change and farming activities and associated contaminant discharges carried out by an enterprise as detailed in Schedule 3, namely:</u> <u>a) The need for good baseline information about the receiving environment;</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>b) Whether consent conditions provide for effective monitoring of adverse effects using appropriate indicators; c) That thresholds are set to trigger remedial action before the effects become overly damaging; and d) That any effects that might arise can be remedied before they become irreversible." AND ADD a NEW definition for 'Decision Support Tool' to read: "<u>Decision Support Tool means an information and accounting framework that can be used to assist with analysis and decision making processes within an enterprise (or property) that supports the management of diffuse discharges from properties of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens at a sub-catchment scale.</u>" AND ADD a NEW definition for 'mitigation measures' to read: "<u>Mitigation measures means the measures (as detailed in Schedule 4) to be undertaken by an enterprise to ensure that the actual effects of carrying out sub-catchment-wide land use change and farming activities and associated contaminant discharges are within the scope of the AEE that accompanied the original resource consent application.</u>" AND ADD a NEW definition for 'sub-catchment management plan' to read: "<u>Sub-catchment management plan means a plan for the relevant part of a PPC1 sub-catchment (Map 3.11-2) prepared following a collaborative process involving iwi and other stakeholders, that identifies water quality issues and principles and management actions (including Decision Support Tools) required to manage these issues.</u>" AND AMEND the definition for 'point source discharges' to read: "Point-source discharge: For the purposes of Chapters 3.5 and 3.11, means <u>discharges associated with farming land use, and discharges from a stationary or fixed facility, including the irrigation onto land from consented industrial and municipal wastewater systems.</u>" AND ADD 3 NEW schedules (as set out in Appendix B of the submission) to give effect to the above definitions.</p>
Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2159	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>ADD a definition of 'property' to read as follows: "<u>One contiguous block of land owned by one common owner.</u>" AND ADD a definition of 'common owner' to read as follows: "<u>100% ownership.</u>" AND ADD a definition for the version of the OVERSEER Model that will be used to calculate the Nitrogen Reference Point and future versions. Define past, current and future.</p>
Wallace, Martin Lindsay Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-8403	Support the provision	<p>ADD a NEW definition that reads: "<u>Nitrogen Discharge Limit shall be determined for each property within a sub-catchment such that the nitrogen discharge targets of Objective 3 for the sub-catchment are met, with the highest discharges required to be reduced first.</u>"</p>
Watercare Services Ltd Submitter ID: 74077	PC1-8340	Support the provision with amendments	<p>ADD to the Glossary of terms the definition of regionally significant infrastructure as defined in the Waikato Regional Policy Statement.</p>

Definition - 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
DairyNZ Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-10253	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND the definition of the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value to read: "The 75th percentile value... by march 2019, <u>as determined by the Chief Executive of the Waikato Regional Council and published on the Waikato Regional Council website on or before 30 June 2019.</u>"</p>
FarmRight Submitter ID: 73720	PC1-9634	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND the definition of '75th percentile nitrogen leaching value' to read: "The 75th percentile value... received by the Waikato Regional Council <u>12 calendar months following the provisions of PPC1 becoming operative by 31 March 2019.</u>"</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			For the avoidance of doubt, the 75th percentile value is to be determined on farming enterprise basis. That is, that the <u>highest 25th percentile of each industry (dairy farming, drystock and commercial vegetable production) will be required to reduce.</u> "
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10573	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Glossary of terms definition of the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value to read: <u>"...are received by the Waikato Regional Council by 31 March 2019, as determined by the Chief Executive of the Waikato Regional Council and published on the Waikato Regional Council website on or before 20 June 2019."</u>
Kidd, Peter Arthur and Marilyn May Submitter ID: 72710	PC1-5720	Oppose the provision	AMEND the definition of 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value so that it has a more relevant basis, such as a sub-catchment basis OR AMEND so that a body with discretionary powers should advise on this matter.
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8303	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Definition - 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value to add <u>"as determined by the Chief Executive of the Waikato Regional Council and published on the Waikato Regional Council website on or before 30 June 2019"</u> at the end of the definition.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-12312	Oppose the provision	REMOVE from the PPC1/ Glossary of terms - reference to the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3664	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Glossary definition of 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value to clarify the method for calculating the 75th percentile. AND AMEND to include in the definition that this calculation will be undertaken once at a single point in time. AND AMEND the Glossary definition of 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value to clarify that a 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value will only be established for each of the four riverine Freshwater Management Units, and will apply to any lake catchments within each riverine Freshwater Management Unit.
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4641	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Definition - 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value to read: <u>"...and which are received by the Waikato Regional Council by 31 March 2019 as determined by the Chief Executive of the Waikato Regional Council and published on the Waikato Regional Council website on or before 30 June 2019."</u>
Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2158	Oppose the provision	DELETE Definition - 75th Percentile Nitrogen leaching value.

Definition - Arable cropping

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6253	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of arable cropping to clarify that Rule 3.11.5.7 does not include the rotation of crops and stock grazing on a seasonal basis, which forms part of day to day farming activities.
Pukerimu Farms Limited Submitter ID: 73073	PC1-4813	Not stated	REMOVE the arbitrary delineation between different forms of cropping that in reality have very similar effect. The definitions would become unnecessary if the land use change rule is deleted and replaced with region wide Best Management practice based rules as proposed.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11199	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of arable cropping to clarify that Rule 3.11.5.7 does not include the rotation of crops and stock grazing on a seasonal basis, which forms part of day to day farming activities.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Strang and Strang Limited Submitter ID: 73851	PC1-5579	Not stated	REMOVE from the definition of arable cropping the arbitrary delineation between different forms of cropping that in reality have very similar effects.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8452	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition for Arable Cropping.
Waiawa Farms Submitter ID: 71346	PC1-5852	Oppose the provision with amendments	REMOVE the arbitrary delineation between different forms of cropping that have very similar effects. (Definition - Arable Cropping)

Definition - Best management practice/s

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
AFFCO New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 74140	PC1-7675	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE the definition for best management practice and REPLACE with the definition of Best Practicable Option from the Resource Management Act 1991.
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6255	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND definition to read as follows (or similar wording to address reason for submission): "Best management practice/s: For the purposes of Chapter 3.11, means maximum feasible mitigation to reduce the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens from land use activities given current technology. <u>This includes off-set mitigation techniques implemented across an enterprise.</u> "
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-10659	Oppose the provision	DELETE the definition of Best Management Practice/s OR ADOPT one definition of Good Management Practice in preference to a specific interpretation for Chapter 3.11 alone.
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10225	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition of Best Management Practice/s.
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1946	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition - Best management Practice/s to increase clarity. AND DELETE the word 'maximum'.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8847	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND to Glossary of terms to replace the term Good Management Practices and Best Management Practices with Best Practicable Option as defined by the Resource Management Act. AND AMEND by making commensurate changes to PPC1 as required.
Pinnell, Graham Submitter ID: 74007	PC1-4455	Support the provision with amendments	ADD to the Definition of Best Management Practice the words: " <u>and taking account of cost effectiveness.</u> "
Ravensdown Limited Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10186	Oppose the provision	DELETE the definition for Best Management Practice. OR ADOPT a generic definition for Good Management Practice in preference to a specific interpretation for Chapter 3.11 alone. A suggested definition is: " <u>means the practices described in the document entitled Industry-agreed Good Management Practices relating to water quality dated 18 September 2015.</u> "
Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-10046	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definitions of Best Management Practice and Good Management Practice to combine them into one.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11200	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND definition to read as follows (or similar wording to address reason for submission): "Best management practice/s: For the purposes of Chapter 3.11, means maximum feasible mitigation to reduce the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens from land use activities given current technology. <u>This includes off-set mitigation techniques implemented across an enterprise.</u> "
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8192	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW Schedule XX that sets out the expectations for best management practice, including standards and measures that Council can enforce AND AMEND the definition for best management practice/s to read: " For the purposes of Chapter 3.11, means maximum feasible mitigation to reduce the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens from land use activities given current technology. <u>Best management practice/s means the practices set out in Schedule XX</u> " AND AMEND objectives and policies to ensure that all landowners have to achieve good management practices by 2019 AND AMEND to require the adoption of good management practice by all landowners and, if necessary work towards best management practice.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3665	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Glossary definition of best management practice to make it clear that the term includes mitigation that can also be achieved through changes to management practices.

Definition - Certified Farm Environment Planner

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6261	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include better and further particulars regarding the certification of Certified Farm Environment Planners and expected timing for certification/listing on the Waikato Regional Council website.
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited Submitter ID: 74036	PC1-7113	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Definition of Certified Farm Environmental Planner (b) to read: "completed advanced training or a tertiary qualification in sustainable nutrient management (nitrogen and phosphorus), <u>and shall include a Certificate of Completion in Advanced Sustainable Nutrient Management in New Zealand from Massey University;</u> and" AND MAKE any similar amendments to like effect or any consequential amendments that stem from the relief sought.
DairyNZ Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-10250	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the Definition of Certified Farm Environment Planner to read: "Certified Farm Environment Planner: is a person or entity certified... c. <u>Has either completed training that demonstrates that they are competent to complete the sediment and microbial risk assessments and mitigation identification in Farm Environment Plans, or has experience in soil conservation and sediment management and,</u> <u>d. The Chief Executive Officer may limit the Certified Farm Environment Planner to particular farming systems where they have the necessary skills and training to complete Farm Environment Plans.</u> "
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-10662	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition to read: "Certified Farm Environment Planner: is a person or entity certified by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council... ... b. <u>holds a certificate in the Advanced Sustainable Nutrient Management in New Zealand Agriculture Course, or completed equivalent</u> advanced training or a tertiary qualification in sustainable nutrient management (nitrogen and phosphorous); and..."

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Fogarty, David Submitter ID: 73966	PC1-8420	Oppose the provision	AMEND Definition - Certified Farm Environment Planner to ensure the positions are outside Council.
Hill Country Farmers Group Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-8072	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of a Certified Farm Environment Planner to encompass experience as a qualification and to ensure that enough planners are available to meet demand.
Lichtwark, Quintin Owen Submitter ID: 72535	PC1-1866	Oppose the provision	AMEND the definition of Certified Farm Environment Planners to ensure that they have an appropriate level of experience (e.g. 5 years). AND AMEND the definition of Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor to ensure that they have an appropriate level of experience (e.g. 5 years).
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8288	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Definition - Certified Farm Environment Planner to provide utmost clarity and allow for a sufficient pool of certified persons to be available to the market AND AMEND to reflect that certified persons will be added to the Council website once PPC1 is operative.
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8902	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition for Certified Farm Environment Planner to include a requirement for sediment management qualifications, such as the New Zealand Association of Resource Managers Professional Certification.
New Zealand Association of Resource Management Submitter ID: 71702	PC1-7993	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition for Certified Farm Environment Planner to ensure that Certified Farm Environment Planners provide certified evidence of having undertaken and completed specialist training in land use capability mapping, and also submit at least two land use capability farm maps they have prepared, for peer review by expert Catchment Management staff of Waikato Regional Council. AND AMEND to ensure that suitable training courses are offered to staff and consultants for upskilling on land use capability mapping at farm scale level.
New Zealand Institute of Primary Industry Management - Waikato Branch Submitter ID: 73558	PC1-8445	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Definition – Certified Farm Environment Planner to read: Certified Farm Environment Planner: is a person or entity certified by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council and listed on the Waikato Regional Council website as a Certified Farm Environment Planner and has as a minimum the following qualifications and experience: a. five years <u>relevant</u> experience in <u>agricultural and horticultural</u> the management of pastoral, horticulture or arable farm systems; and b. completed advanced training or a tertiary qualification in sustainable nutrient management (nitrogen and phosphorus); and c. <u>has either completed training that demonstrates that they are competent to complete the sediment and microbial risk assessments and mitigation identification in Farm Environment Plans, or has</u> experience in soil conservation and sediment management.
New Zealand Pork Industry Board Submitter ID: 73780	PC1-4672	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Definition Certified Farm Environment Planner to read: "...(a) five years' experience in the management of pastoral, <u>outdoor pig farming</u> , horticulture or arable farm systems (b) completed advanced training in agriculture... sustainable nutrient management (nitrogen and phosphorus); <u>or holds any other qualification, that has been approved by the Chief Executive of Waikato Regional Council, as being an equivalent standard with respect to the knowledge and competencies required.</u> "
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8854	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE from the Glossary of terms - Definition - Certified Farm Environment Planner if the alternative approach proposed in the submission is adopted IF not deleted AMEND in the definition the term 'advanced training' so that it is a person who holds " <u>a Certification of Completion in Sustainable Nutrient Management in New Zealand Agriculture and a Certificate of Completion in Advanced Sustainable Nutrient Management from Massey University.</u> "
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-13136	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to provide for a requirement for sediment management qualifications (such as New Zealand Association of Resource Managers Professional Certification) in the definition of Certified Farm Environment Planner.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6487	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Glossary of terms definition of Certified Farm Environment Planners to provide for a requirement for sediment management qualifications (such as New Zealand Association of Resource Managers Professional Certification) in the definition of Certified Farm Environment Planner.
Ravensdown Limited Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10187	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition for Certified Farm Environment Planner as follows: "Certified Farm Environment Planner: is a person or entity certified by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council and listed on the Waikato Regional Council website as a Certified Farm Environment Planner and has as a minimum the following qualifications and experience: <u>a. Five years' experience in the management of pastoral, horticulture or arable farm systems; and implements OVERSEER input best practice and uses standard protocols recognised and approved by the Waikato Regional Council; and</u> <u>b. Holds a certificate in the Advanced Sustainable Nutrient Management in New Zealand Agriculture Course or completed equivalent advanced training or a tertiary qualification in sustainable nutrient management (nitrogen and phosphorus); and</u> <u>c. Has at least 5 years' work experience in soil conservation and sediment management a land use/farm advisory role; or</u> <u>d. Is approved in writing by the Chief Executive (or delegate thereof) of the Waikato Regional Council."</u>
Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-10048	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Certified Farm Environment Planner by using an agreed term for Certified Farm Environment Planner and Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor after consultation with existing industry certification schemes. AND AMEND to ensure existing professional organisation certification lists are used.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11201	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include better and further particulars regarding the certification of Certified Farm Environment Planners and expected timing for certification/listing on the Waikato Regional Council website.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8478	Support the provision with amendments	REMOVE the term 'entity' from the definition of Certified Farm Environment Planner AND ADD the following requirements: "a. five years' experience in the management of pastoral, horticultural or arable farm systems; and; is a current member of a professional institute that requires members to subscribe to a Code of Ethics, and has a procedure in place for dealing with complaints made against members; and <u>b. completed advanced training or a tertiary qualification in sustainable nutrient management (nitrogen and phosphorus) demonstrates to Waikato Regional Council proficiency in the auditing of Farm Environment Plans against the matters set out in Part X[c] of Schedule Y[7]."</u>
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4625	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Definition- Certified Farm Environment Planner by providing clarity and allows for a sufficient pool of certified persons to be available to the market.

Definition - Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited Submitter ID: 74036	PC1-7090	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE the Definition Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor and REPLACE with the words: " <u>Certified Nutrient Management Advisor means a Nutrient Management Advisor certified under the Nutrient Manager Advisor Certification Programme Ltd</u> ". AND MAKE any similar amendments to like effect or any consequential amendments that stem from the relief sought.
Carter, Shaun Colin Thomas Submitter ID: 74159	PC1-8575	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Glossary of terms - Definition of Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor to clarify what qualifications a certified farm nutrient advisor has and what their role will be, enforcement, education, application. AND CLARIFY how many Certified Farm Nutrient Advisors will be needed and what it will cost the rate payers. AND AMEND to provide for any consequential or similar amendments, to give effect to the submission.
DairyNZ Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-10251	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor to read: "Certified Farm Nutrient: is a person or entity certified... a. Has completed nutrient management training to at least intermediate <u>advanced</u> level, and..."
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-10663	Oppose the provision	Undertake further consultation between the submitter and Waikato Regional Council to ensure a nationally consistent certification programme which meets Regional Council requirements is adopted for nutrient management advisors. AND AMEND the definition to read: " <u>Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor is a person certified by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council and listed on the Waikato Regional Council website as a certified farm nutrient advisor and has the following qualifications and experience:</u> a. Has completed nutrient management training to at least intermediate level, and b. Has experience in nutrient management planning. " " <u>Certified Nutrient Management Advisor: is a nutrient management advisor certified under the Nutrient Management Advisor Certification Programme Ltd, or approved by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council as equivalent.</u> " OR AMEND to read: " <u>Approved Nutrient Advisors: means Waikato Regional Council approved nutrient advisors listed on a register of approved providers on the Waikato Regional Council website.</u> "
Fogarty, David Submitter ID: 73966	PC1-8421	Oppose the provision	AMEND Definition - Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor to ensure the positions are outside Council.
Genetic Technologies Ltd Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-3290	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to ensure that Certified Farm Nutrient Advisors have completed an advanced level of nutrient management training and have had at least 2 years' experience in nutrient management/farm systems advice.
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10235	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor to read: "Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor: is a person certified by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council and listed on the Waikato Regional Council website as a certified farm nutrient advisor and has the following <u>competencies</u> qualifications and experience: a. Has completed nutrient management training to at least intermediate level, <u>sufficient agronomic knowledge to conduct the assessment of a budget for the farm or enterprise, and</u> b. Has experience in nutrient management planning <u>the appropriate level of experience in the modelling tool utilised to develop the nutrient budget.</u> "
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8292	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Definition - Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor to provide utmost clarity and allow for a sufficient pool of certified persons to be available to the market AND AMEND to reflect that certified persons will be added to the Council website once PPC1 is operative.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
New Zealand Institute of Primary Industry Management - Waikato Branch Submitter ID: 73558	PC1-8446	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Definition – Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor to read: "Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor: is a person certified by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council and listed on the Waikato Regional Council website as a certified farm nutrient advisor and has the following qualifications and experience: a. Has completed nutrient management training to at least intermediate level, and b. Has a minimum of two years' experience in nutrient management planning."
New Zealand Pork Industry Board Submitter ID: 73780	PC1-4673	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Definition of Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor to read: " Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor: is a person certified by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council and listed on the Waikato Regional Council website as a certified farm nutrient advisor that has the following qualifications... "
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8884	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE from the Glossary of terms the definition of a Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor, If the alternative approach not accepted then AMEND the definition of Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor to read: "Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor: is a person certified by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council and listed on the Waikato Regional Council website as a Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor and has the following qualifications and experience: a. Has completed nutrient management training to at least intermediate level, and a person who has both- Holds a Certificate of Completion in Sustainable Nutrient Management in New Zealand Agriculture and a Certificate of Completion in Advanced Sustainable Nutrient Management from Massey University; and b. Has experience in nutrient management planning." AND CONSIDER reference in the definition to other qualifications applicable to other predominant land uses may be appropriate, for example horticulture.
Ravensdown Limited Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10199	Oppose the provision	DELETE the definition of Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor and replace it with the following definition: " Certified Nutrient Management Advisor: is nutrient management adviser certified under the Nutrient Management Adviser Certification Programme Ltd. Or approved by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council as equivalent. (see http://www.nmacertification.org.nz for details)."
Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-10049	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor by using an agreed term for Certified Farm Environment Planner and Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor after consultation with existing industry certification schemes AND AMEND to ensure existing professional organisation certification lists are used.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8494	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor as follows: "is a person certified by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council and listed on the Waikato Regional Council website as a certified farm nutrient advisor has the following qualifications and experience: means a person that holds a Certificate of Completion in Advanced Sustainable Nutrient Management in New Zealand Agriculture from Massey University: a. Has completed nutrient management training to at least an intermediate level, and has been certified by the New Zealand Institute for Primary Industry Management as meeting the criteria for a 'Certified Dairy Farm System Consultant'; or b. Has experience in nutrient management planning holds any other qualification, that has been approved by the Chief Executive of Waikato Regional Council has being an equivalent standard with respect to the knowledge and competencies required."
Waipa District Council Submitter ID: 67704	PC1-3239	Oppose the provision	Rationalise the definitions of 'Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor' and 'Certified Nutrient Management Advisor' in the Regional Plan and PPC1 so they are the same.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4628	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Definition- Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor such that the definition provides clarity and allows for a sufficient pool of certified persons to be available to the market.
Waitomo District Council Submitter ID: 73688	PC1-10851	Oppose the provision	Rationalise the definitions of 'Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor' and 'Certified Nutrient Management Advisor' in the Regional Plan and PPC1 so they are the same.
Williams, Sam Joseph Submitter ID: 74198	PC1-5959	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND clause a. of the Definition of Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor to read "Has completed nutrient management training to at least intermediate <u>advanced</u> level..." AND AMEND to ensure a Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor and a Certified Farm Environment Planner meet the same criteria.

Definition - Certified Industry Scheme/s

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6265	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include better and further particulars regarding the timing, certification and implementation of Certified Industry Schemes.
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-10665	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Definition of Certified Industry Scheme/s to read: "Certified Industry Scheme/s is a scheme <u>adopted in collaboration with industry and that</u> has been certified <u>approved</u> by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council and listed on the Waikato Regional Council website as meeting the assessment criteria and requirements set out in Schedule 2 of Chapter 3.11."
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10583	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Glossary of terms definition of Certified Industry Scheme to read: "Certified Industry Scheme: is a scheme that has been certified by the Chief Executive Officer of Waikato Regional Council and listed on the Waikato Regional Council website as meeting the assessment criteria and requirements <u>standards</u> set out in Schedule 2 of Chapter 3.11."
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8286	Oppose the provision	REMOVE Definition - Certified Industry Scheme and any Objectives, Policies and Rules linked to it, until further assessment and consultation is undertaken to fully understand the intent, scope and application of a Certified Industry Scheme.
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9684	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND in Glossary of terms the definition of Certified Industry Scheme to read: "Certified Industry <u>Sector</u> Scheme/s is a scheme..."
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11202	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include better and further particulars regarding the timing, certification and implementation of Certified Industry Schemes.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8497	Oppose the provision	DELETE the definition for Certified Industry Schemes.
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4623	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the definition of Certified Industry Scheme and any Objectives, Policies and Rules linked to it, until further assessment and consultation is undertaken to really understand the intent, scope and application of a Certified Industry Scheme.

Definition - Commercial vegetable production

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Allen, John Submitter ID: 73734	PC1-4932	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Definition - Commercial Vegetable Production by defining what constitutes a 'commercial' vegetable grower as distinct from a small scale grower who sells excess produce to a retail outlet or at their farm gate. AND AMEND by providing a definition based on the area under cultivation and parallels the small and low intensity farmer (Rule 1): " <u>Definition: Commercial vegetable production >= 1000 square metres of land in production at any one time.</u> "
Gourmet Mokai Ltd Submitter ID: 73795	PC1-7253	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of commercial vegetable production so as not to include those vegetables grown in glass houses or otherwise under cover.
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10231	Support the provision with amendments	REMOVE asparagus from the definition of Commercial vegetable production.
Pukerimu Farms Limited Submitter ID: 73073	PC1-4814	Not stated	Definition - Commercial vegetable production. REMOVE the arbitrary delineation between different forms of cropping that in reality have very similar effect. The definitions would become unnecessary if the land use change rule is deleted and replaced with region wide Best Management practice based rules as proposed.
Rickman, Antony Scott Submitter ID: 74162	PC1-9008	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of a Commercial Vegetable Production by excluding asparagus. AND AMEND PPC1 to consider asparagus as pip fruit and kiwifruit.
Strang and Strang Limited Submitter ID: 73851	PC1-5580	Not stated	REMOVE from the definition of Commercial Vegetable Production the arbitrary delineation between different forms of cropping that in reality have very similar effects.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8498	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition for commercial vegetable production so the listed vegetables are examples, not a definitive list.
Tuaropaki Trust Submitter ID: 73769	PC1-3029	Oppose the provision with amendments	REMOVE glasshouse/covered grown vegetables from the definition for commercial vegetable production.
Waiawa Farms Submitter ID: 71346	PC1-5853	Oppose the provision with amendments	REMOVE the arbitrary delineation between different forms of cropping that have very similar effects. (Definition - Commercial vegetable production)

Definition - Cultivation

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Gavins Limited Submitter ID: 73846	PC1-5506	Support the provision with amendments	ADD to definition of cultivation " <u>...includes minimum tillage and strip tillage.</u> "
Genetic Technologies Ltd Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-3336	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Definition of Cultivation to exclude minimum tillage and strip tillage.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Henderson, Neville James Submitter ID: 72016	PC1-7436	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN in the definition of cultivation the inclusion of direct drilling and re-contouring of land.
Paterson, Chris and Amy Submitter ID: 73368	PC1-2312	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Definition of Cultivation to include strip tillage into the definition of cultivation that is excluded.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8678	Oppose the provision	DELETE (a)-(c) from the definition for cultivation.

Definition - Dairy Farming

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Dairy Goat Co-Operative (N.Z) Ltd Submitter ID: 74044	PC1-4127	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition for Dairy Farming.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8685	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition for dairy farming to ensure that all activities associated with dairy farming and those that occur outside the milking season are included.

Definition - Diffuse discharge/s

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-10666	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Diffuse Discharge/s to read: " For the purposes of Chapter 3.11, means the discharge of contaminants that results from land use activities including cropping and the grazing of livestock and includes non point source discharges. <u>Means losses to the environment which are not from a point source, and have potential to contribute to a cumulative impact on the receiving environment.</u> " If deemed necessary to have a unique definition for Chapter 3.11, then AMEND the Definition to read: "For the purposes of Chapter 3.11, means the discharge of contaminants <u>losses</u> that results from land use activities, including cropping, forestry and the grazing of livestock, and includes which are not from non point source discharges and have potential to contribute to a cumulative impact on the receiving environment. "
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8694	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition for diffuse discharge/s

Definition - Drain

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-10668	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition for Drain to read: "For the purposes of Chapter 3.11, means an artificially created <u>open</u> channel designed to lower the water table and/or reduce surface flood risk but does not include any modified (e.g. straightened) natural watercourse."
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8696	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition for drain

Definition - Drystock Farming

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8697	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition for drystock farming.

Definition - Edge of field mitigation/s

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Mangakotukutuku Stream Care Group Incorporated Submitter ID: 72412	PC1-4477	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Edge of Field Mitigation/s definition AND/OR ADD a NEW term/definition that specifically relates to the function that these areas have in reducing contaminant losses to offsite surface waters (eg, 'Contaminant Mitigation Zone' - permanently or intermittently wet areas, shallow water, bogs, wet gully bottoms, swamps and seeps which have the potential to reduce losses of contaminants from farm land to surface water).
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8903	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to clarify the definition of edge of mitigation/s.
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-13137	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to clarify the definition of Edge of field mitigation/s.
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6488	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Glossary of terms to clarify the definition of Edge of field mitigation/s.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8698	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition for edge of field mitigation/s
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3667	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Glossary definition of Edge of field mitigation/s to clarify which actions or technologies will be considered for funding in Method 3.11.4.5(g).

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to clarify the definition of edge of field in the definition section.

Definition - Enterprise/s

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6266	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND to provide confirmation that the term 'enterprise' is not restricted to a single dairy unit and may include more than one dairy unit in circumstances where the land is held in single ownership to support the principal land use. AND AMEND the definition of 'Enterprise/s' to read as follows (or similar to address reasons for submission): "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels of land held in single or multiple ownership <u>under the ultimate common control of one owner</u> to support the principal land use, <u>which may include more than one dairy unit</u> , or land which the principal land use is reliant upon, and constitutes a single operative unit for the purposes of management. An enterprise is considered to be within a sub-catchment if more than 50% of that enterprise is within the sub-catchment, <u>except that where the enterprise falls within more than one sub-catchment it may nevertheless be treated as a single enterprise.</u> "
Genetic Technologies Ltd Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-3338	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Definition of enterprise/s to: " <u>one or more parcels of land within the same sub-catchment</u> ".
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10232	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise/s to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels of land (<u>or parts of parcels of land</u>) held in single or multiple ownership <u>to support the primary production activities undertaken principle land use or land which the principle land use is reliant upon</u> , and constitutes a single operating unit for the purposes of management. An enterprise is considered to be within a sub-catchment if more than 50% of that enterprise is within the sub-catchment."
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1950	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Definition - Enterprise/s to ensure that only properties that are under the same ownership and are operationally dependent on each other are defined as an enterprise.
Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9368	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."
Maungatautari Marae Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11769	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8293	Oppose the provision	AMEND Definition - Enterprise to apply only to properties in the same ownership and that have an operational dependency on each other.
McLean, Parekawhia Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11919	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8904	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition of enterprise.
Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11618	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."
Ngati Hau Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11869	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Pamu Farms of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74000	PC1-5752	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND in the Glossary of terms the Definition of Enterprise/s to clarify and allow for further analysis of the refined term, its application and implications.
Poohara Marae Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-12045	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-13138	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition of Enterprise.
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6490	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN in the Glossary of terms the definition of Enterprise.
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10585	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11203	Oppose the provision with amendments	Provide confirmation that the term 'enterprise' is not restricted to a single dairy unit and may include more than one dairy unit in circumstances where the land is held in single ownership to support the principal land use. AND AMEND the definition of 'Enterprise/s' to read as follows (or similar to address reasons for submission): "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels of land held in single or multiple ownership <u>under the ultimate common control of one owner or entity</u> to support the principal land use, <u>which may include more than one dairy unit</u> , or land which the principal land use is reliant upon, and constitutes a single operative unit for the purposes of management. An enterprise is considered to be within a sub-catchment if more than 50% of that enterprise is within the sub-catchment, <u>except that where the enterprise falls within more than one sub-catchment it may nevertheless be treated as a single enterprise if the land parcels are contiguous.</u> "
Taupo Lake Care Incorporated Submitter ID: 61093	PC1-9053	Not stated	AMEND to provide an acceptable method catering for enterprises that cross catchment borders and work with Taupō Lake Care Inc to develop this AND advocate for a national solution to this problem.
Te Arawa River Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11819	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."
Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11951	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."
Te Kauri Marae Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11669	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."
Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12281	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."
Te Taniwha o Waikato Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12148	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-8173	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8699	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition for enterprise/s.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Turangawaewae Marae Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12225	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."
Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10629	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."
Waahi Pa Marae Committee Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12188	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."
Waahi Whaanui Trust Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-12105	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."
Waikato and Waipa River Iwi Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3674	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: "Enterprise/s: means one or more parcels...land use is reliant upon, <u>including associated land uses</u> , and constitutes a single..."
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3671	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Glossary definition of Enterprise to clarify the scope and nature of an enterprise. AND AMEND the definition of Enterprise to read: " <u>for the purposes of Chapter 3.11</u> , means one or more parcels..." AND DELETE the words " <u>principle</u> " and replace with " <u>principal</u> ".
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4636	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Definition- Enterprise/s to only apply to properties in the same ownership and have an operational dependency on each other.

Definition - Escherichia coli (E. coli)

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8700	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition for Escherichia coli.

Definition - Farm Environment Plan/s

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8701	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition for Farm Environment Plan/s.

Definition - Farming activities

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Black Jack Farms Submitter ID: 72028	PC1-8061	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition for farming activities so that it is confined to commercial activities.
Taupo District Council Submitter ID: 74207	PC1-8171	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the definition for farming activities, AND AMEND to clarify whether the harvesting of grass (cut and carry) is considered growing of crops, AND AMEND to exclude the use of stock to reduce grass cover for fire reduction purposes from farming activities.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8702	Support the provision with amendments	REMOVE the growing of crops on land irrigated by municipal wastewater discharge from the definition of farming activities.
Waipa District Council Submitter ID: 67704	PC1-3241	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Glossary of terms to rationalise the definitions of 'Farming Activities' in PPC1 and the Waikato Regional Plan so that they are the same.
Waitomo District Council Submitter ID: 73688	PC1-10853	Oppose the provision	AMEND the glossary of terms to rationalise the definitions of 'Farming Activities' in PPC1 and the Waikato Regional Plan so they are the same.

Definition - Five-year rolling average

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10576	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Glossary of terms definition of five-year rolling average to read: " Five <u>Three</u> -year rolling average: means the average of modelled nitrogen leaching losses predicted by OVERSEER from the most recent 5 <u>3</u> years <u>using the most recent version of OVERSEER to model each of the three years and the same input data for each of the three years as was used to first calculate the nitrogen leaching losses for that year.</u> " AMEND Rules 3.11.5.2 to 3.11.5.6 as necessary to ensure data required for the calculation of the three year rolling average is collected from the date of decisions on PPC1 are issued.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8934	Oppose the provision	DELETE from the Glossary of terms the definition of the five year rolling average and the use of the term from PPC1.
Ravensdown Limited Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10200	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND definition of five-year rolling average to read: "means the average of modelled nitrogen leaching losses predicted <u>estimated</u> by OVERSEER from the most recent 5 years."
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8703	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition of five-year rolling average.

Definition - Forage crop

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Genetic Technologies Ltd Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-3341	Support the provision with amendments	ADD to the Definition for forage crops the words: " <u>Excluding annual and/or permanent ryegrass.</u> "
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1953	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Definition - Forage Crop to exclude the growing of grass for the purposes of hay or silage.
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8295	Oppose the provision	AMEND Definition - Forage Crop to explicitly exclude the growing of grass for the purposes of hay or silage.
New Zealand Grain and Seed Trade Association Submitter ID: 71229	PC1-1680	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of forage crop for clarification and interpretation to understand how the clause in Rule 3.11.5.2 no winter forage crops grazed in situ should work. Clarify what is a forage crop and how is this determined. A forage crop is determined as any feed that is accumulated and fed to animals by the animal foraging and may be conserved as silage or hay. By definition this then includes pasture species as well as other crops that have been grown in the past for winter feed.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8704	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition for forage crop.
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4638	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the Definition - Forage Crop to explicitly exclude the growing of grass for the purposes of hay or silage.

Definition - Good Management Practice/s

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
AFFCO New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 74140	PC1-7678	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE the definition for good management practice and REPLACE with the definition of Best Practicable Option from the Resource Management Act 1991.
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited Submitter ID: 74036	PC1-7095	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Definition of Good Management Practice to read: "For the purposes of Chapter 3.11... contaminants entering a water body <u>and practices described in the document entitled 'Industry-agreed Good Management Practices relating to water quality' - dated September 2015</u> ". AND MAKE any similar amendments to like effect or any consequential amendments that stem from the relief sought.
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-10660	Oppose the provision	ADOPT one definition of Good Management Practice in preference to a specific interpretation for Chapter 3.11 alone.
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10233	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition of Good Management Practice/s.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8937	Oppose the provision	DELETE from the Glossary of terms - Good Management Practice AND REPLACE with Best Practicable Option as defined by the Resource Management Act 1991.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-10047	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definitions for Best Management Practice and Good Management Practice to combine them into one.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8193	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of good management practice/s to read: " For the purposes of Chapter 3.11, means industry agreed and approved practices and actions undertaken on a property or enterprise that reduce or minimise the risk of contaminants entering a water body <u>Means the practices set out in Schedule YY</u> " AND ADD a NEW Schedule YY to set out the expectations for good management practice including enforceable standards and measures AND AMEND to require the adoption of good management practice by all landowners and, if necessary work towards best management practice AND AMEND objectives and policies to ensure that all landowners have to achieve good management practices by 2019.

Definition - Livestock crossing structure

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-11017	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Definition - Livestock crossing structure with detailed specifications of the structure required, which rely on the risk factors for adverse effects arising including the type of stock crossing, the land use, and the frequency of use AND AMEND to ensure it does not include structures which require livestock to pass through the flow of the water, such as submerged in-stream platforms.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8706	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition for livestock crossing structure.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3672	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Glossary definition of Livestock Crossing Structure to read: "means a lawfully established structure installed to allow <u>that enables</u> livestock to cross a water body."

Definition - Mahinga kai

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8708	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition for mahinga kai.

Definition - Microbial pathogen/s

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8709	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition for microbial pathogens.

Definition - Milking platform

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Pamu Farms of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74000	PC1-5938	Oppose the provision	AMEND in the Glossary of terms the Definition of Milking platform to accommodate integral cut and carry operations, variable support areas (and blocks) and how winter milking businesses operate, now and in the future. Council engagement to define this alongside industry.

Definition - Nitrogen Reference Point

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6284	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to provide (Definition - Nitrogen Reference Point) confirmation of which version of the OVERSEER Model applies and/or what 'other model approved by Council' will apply AND AMEND to provide information on how it will ensure consistency for the purposes of Nitrogen Reference Point reporting, implementation, and compliance AND refer to relief sought above regarding data validation and need for auditing system for data set used for calculating a Nitrogen Reference Point.
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-10669	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Definition of Nitrogen Reference Point to reference the OVERSEER Data Input Standards and to Certified Nutrient Management Advisor, if the submission point on the certification scheme are accepted.
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10580	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Glossary of terms definition of Nitrogen Reference Point to read: "Nitrogen Reference Point: The nitrogen loss number (units of kg N/ha/year) that is derived <u>using the methodology specified in Schedule B</u> , from an OVERSEER use protocol compliant OVERSEER file that describes the property or farm enterprise and farm practices in an agreed year or years developed by a Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor, using the current version of the OVERSEER model (or another model approved by the Council) for the property or enterprise at the 'reference' point in time. "
Gordon, Bruce Arrol Submitter ID: 73388	PC1-4443	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND to provide a clearer definition of Nitrogen Reference Point and explain how this will be monitored.
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10234	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the definition of Nitrogen Reference Point that provides for the establishment of an alternative method or model to establish a benchmark nitrogen and phosphorus discharge for commercial vegetable production systems.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Howie and Frael, Jennie and Kelvin Submitter ID: 72897	PC1-8432	Oppose the provision	DELETE Definition - Nitrogen Reference Point in its entirety and require the use of Farm Environment Plans that include best practice for sheep and beef farming.
Martyn, Anna Katrina Submitter ID: 72928	PC1-4152	Oppose the provision	DELETE all provisions relating to Nitrogen Reference Points OR AMEND to use Farm Environment Plans OR ENSURE monitoring of waterways where they enter and exit a property in order to establish current contribution to water quality.
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8905	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to clarify the definition of Nitrogen Reference Point by including the specific version of the OVERSEER Model.
New Zealand Pork Industry Board Submitter ID: 73780	PC1-4674	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Definition of Nitrogen Reference Point to read: "The nitrogen loss number (units of kg N/ha/year)... the OVERSEER model (<u>or the standalone pig module</u>) or another model approved by the..."
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 1) Submitter ID: 74131	PC1-7480	Oppose the provision	REMOVE from PPC1 the use of the OVERSEER Model as the primary model for deriving a nitrogen loss number from a farming activity AND AMEND PPC1 so the 10 year plan relies only on good management practice to reduce contaminants entering waterways AND CONSIDER undertaking research and analysis over the next 10 years on approaches to contaminant management for all activities in the catchment, urban and rural.
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 2) Submitter ID: 74132	PC1-7522	Oppose the provision	REMOVE from PPC1 the use of the OVERSEER Model as the primary model for deriving a nitrogen loss number from a farming activity AND AMEND PPC1 so the 10 year plan relies only on good management practice to reduce contaminants entering waterways AND CONSIDER undertaking research and analysis over the next 10 years on approaches to contaminant management for all activities in the catchment, urban and rural.
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 3) Submitter ID: 74134	PC1-7528	Oppose the provision	REMOVE from PPC1 the use of the OVERSEER Model as the primary model for deriving a nitrogen loss number from a farming activity AND AMEND PPC1 so the 10 year plan relies only on good management practice to reduce contaminants entering waterways AND CONSIDER undertaking research and analysis over the next 10 years on approaches to contaminant management for all activities in the catchment, urban and rural.
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 4) Submitter ID: 74135	PC1-7533	Oppose the provision	REMOVE from PPC1 the use of the OVERSEER Model as the primary model for deriving a nitrogen loss number from a farming activity AND AMEND PPC1 so the 10 year plan relies only on good management practice to reduce contaminants entering waterways AND CONSIDER undertaking research and analysis over the next 10 years on approaches to contaminant management for all activities in the catchment, urban and rural.
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 5) Submitter ID: 74200	PC1-7557	Oppose the provision	REMOVE from PPC1 the use of the OVERSEER Model as the primary model for deriving a nitrogen loss number from a farming activity AND AMEND PPC1 so the 10 year plan relies only on good management practice to reduce contaminants entering waterways AND CONSIDER undertaking research and analysis over the next 10 years on approaches to contaminant management for all activities in the catchment, urban and rural.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 6) Submitter ID: 74201	PC1-7542	Oppose the provision	REMOVE from PPC1 the use of the OVERSEER Model as the primary model for deriving a nitrogen loss number from a farming activity AND AMEND PPC1 so the 10 year plan relies only on good management practice to reduce contaminants entering waterways AND CONSIDER undertaking research and analysis over the next 10 years on approaches to contaminant management for all activities in the catchment, urban and rural.
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 7) Submitter ID: 74202	PC1-7551	Oppose the provision	REMOVE from PPC1 the use of the OVERSEER Model as the primary model for deriving a nitrogen loss number from a farming activity AND AMEND PPC1 so the 10 year plan relies only on good management practice to reduce contaminants entering waterways AND CONSIDER undertaking research and analysis over the next 10 years on approaches to contaminant management for all activities in the catchment, urban and rural.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8941	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE the definition of the Nitrogen Reference Point IF the alternative approach proposed in the submission is not accepted then AMEND the definition of Nitrogen Reference Point to read: <u>"Nitrogen Reference Point means the nitrogen loss number (units of kg N/ ha/year that is based on a nutrient management plan prepared annually in accordance with the Code of Practice for Nutrient Management (NZ Fertiliser Manufacturers Glossary One Plan- 2014 Glossary-11 Research Association 2007) which records (including copies of the OVERSEER input, output and parameter files used to prepare the plan in accordance with Overseer Best Practice Date Input Standards) and takes into account all sources of nutrients for intensive farming and identifies all relevant nutrient management practices and mitigations. It must be prepared by Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor."</u>
Pamu Farms of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74000	PC1-5932	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND in the Glossary of terms the Definition of Nitrogen Reference Point to separate temporal and model choice aspects, whilst retaining input quality protocols for alternative models.
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-13139	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to clarify which specific version of the OVERSEER Model is to be used in the definition of Nitrogen Reference Point.
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6492	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND in the Glossary of terms the definition of Nitrogen Reference Point to clarify which specific version of the OVERSEER Model is to be used.
Ravensdown Limited Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10202	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Nitrogen Reference Point as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Refer to Schedule B that provides the process to determine the Nitrogen Reference Point 2. Reference OVERSEER Data Input Standards 3. Reference the Certified Nutrient Management Adviser Programme 4. Clarify what 'protocol compliant' means
Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2676	Oppose the provision	DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point provisions.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11204	Support the provision with amendments	Provide (Definition - Nitrogen Reference Point) confirmation of which version of the OVERSEER Model applies and/or what 'other model approved by Council' will apply.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND provide information on how it will ensure consistency for the purposes of Nitrogen Reference Point reporting, implementation, and compliance. AND refer to relief sought above regarding data validation and need for auditing system for data set used for calculating a Nitrogen Reference Point.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8711	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition for Nitrogen Reference Point to read as follows: "Nitrogen Reference Point: The nitrogen loss number (units of kg N/ha/year) that is derived from an OVERSEER use protocol compliant OVERSEER file that describes the property or farm enterprise and farm practices in an agreed year or years developed by a Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor, using the current version of the OVERSEER model (or another model approved by the Council) for the property or enterprise at the 'reference' point in time. Nitrogen baseline means: <u>a. the discharge of nitrogen below the root zone, as modelled with OVERSEER (where the required data is inputted into the model in accordance OVERSEER Best Practice Data Input Standards) or an equivalent model approved by the Chief Executive of Waikato Regional Council, averaged over a 24 month consecutive period covering two financial years 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 except for commercial vegetable production in which case the reference period is 1 July 2006 to 30 June 2016, and expressed in kg per hectare per annum; and</u> <u>b/c. if OVERSEER is updated, the most recent version is to be used to recalculate the nitrogen baseline using the same input data for the same period as used in (a) above."</u>
Treweek, Glen Submitter ID: 72747	PC1-13140	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Glossary of Terms the definition for a Nitrogen Reference Point AND ADD a definition for a Reference Land-use Description.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3673	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Glossary definition of Nitrogen Reference Point to read: "The nitrogen loss number (units of kg N/ha/year) that is derived from an OVERSEER use protocol compliant OVERSEER® file that describes the property or farm enterprise and farm practices in an agreed year or years developed by a Certified Farm Nutrient Advisor, using the current version of the OVERSEER® model (or another model approved by the Council) for the property or enterprise at the "reference" point in time. is: <u>1) For commercial vegetable production, the average nitrogen leaching rate (in kilograms of nitrogen per hectare per year) predicted by modelling the nitrogen reference period data in the current version of OVERSEER®.</u> <u>2) For all other land uses, the nitrogen leaching rate (in kilograms of nitrogen per hectare per year) predicted by modelling the Nitrogen Reference Point data in the current version of Overseer®."</u> AND AMEND the Glossary definition of Nitrogen Reference Point, to include changes that result from the incorporation of new land into a property and which are approved by the Council.

Definition - Offset/s

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6286	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN definition for offset/s, subject to relief sought above regarding definition of Best Management Practice.
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game	PC1-11018	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Definition - Offset/s to read: "Offset/s: For the purpose of Chapter 3.11 means for a specific contaminant/s an <u>measurable conservation</u> action that <u>reduces the intensity, extent and/or duration of residual adverse effects of that contaminant on water quality and</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 74085			<u>achieves conservation outcomes above and beyond that which would have been achieved if the offset had not taken place."</u>
Genesis Energy Limited Submitter ID: 74052	PC1-11303	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of 'offset' - "Offset means for a specific contaminant/s an action that reduces <u>some or all of the</u> residual adverse effects of that contaminant on water quality."
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1954	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Definition - Offset/s to acknowledge that compensation measures may result in environmental benefits elsewhere.
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8301	Oppose the provision	AMEND Definition - Offset/s to acknowledge that compensation measures may result in environmental benefits in other areas, and not necessarily for the same contaminant.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8946	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND in Glossary of terms the definition of Offset to read: "For the purposes of Chapter 3.11 means for specific contaminants <u>an alternative</u> actions <u>to achieve a prescribed obligation</u> that reduces residual adverse effects of that contaminant on water quality." AND AMEND PPC1 so that Offsets are available to all resource users.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11205	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN definition for offset/s, subject to relief sought above regarding definition of Best Management Practice.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8719	Oppose the provision	DELETE the definition for offset/s.
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4640	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Definition- Offset/s to acknowledge that compensation measures may result in environmental benefits in other areas (i.e not necessarily for the same contaminant).

Definition - Point source discharge/s

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
AFFCO New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 74140	PC1-7515	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Point source discharge/s to read as follows: "For the purposes of Chapter 3.11, means discharges from a stationary or fixed facility, including the irrigation onto land from consented industrial and municipal wastewater systems." AND ADD a definition for regionally significant industry to read as follows: " <u>Regionally significant industry- means industry based on the use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. Regionally significant industry includes:</u> <u>a) dairy manufacturing sites;</u> <u>b) meat processing plants and rendering plants;</u> <u>c) wood processing plants; and</u> <u>c) mineral extraction activities."</u>
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd	PC1-10593	Oppose the provision	DELETE the definition of Point Source Discharge in PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 74057			" Point Source Discharge – For the purposes of Chapter 3.11, means discharges from a stationary or fixed facility, including the irrigation onto land from consented industrial and municipal wastewater systems " AND AMEND the definition of Point Source Discharge in the Waikato Regional Plan as follows: "Point Source Discharge – means <u>discharges from a stationary or fixed facility a discharge from a specific and identifiable outlet onto or into land, a water body, the air or the sea.</u> " OR AMEND the definition of Point Source Discharge in PPC1 as follows: "Point Source Discharge: for the purposes of Chapter 3.11, means discharges from a stationary or fixed facility, including the irrigation onto land from consented industrial and municipal wastewater systems. <u>A discharge from a specific and identifiable outlet onto or into land, a water body or the sea.</u> "
Hamilton City Council Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-11049	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of 'point source discharge' as follows; "For the purposes of Chapter 3.11, means discharges from a stationary or fixed <u>human-made</u> facility, including <u>a storm water outlet</u> and the irrigation onto land from consented industrial and municipal wastewater systems, <u>but does not include discharges from culverts unless the culvert is also a storm water outlet.</u> "
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8947	Support the provision	RETAIN in the Glossary of terms the definition of Point Source Discharges.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8722	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition for point source discharge/s to read: " For the purposes of Chapter 3.11 means discharge from a stationary or fixed facility, including the irrigation onto land from consented industrial and municipal wastewater systems. <u>Means a discharge from a specific and identifiable outlet onto or into land, a water body or the sea.</u> "
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3680	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Glossary definition of Point Source Discharge/s to exclude infrastructure that provides a conduit for water flow (e.g. flood protection and land drainage infrastructure).
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-13141	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition for 'point source discharges' to read: " Point-source discharge: For the purposes of Chapters <u>3.5 and 3.11</u> , means <u>discharges associated with farming land use, and</u> discharges from a stationary or fixed facility, including the irrigation onto land from consented industrial and municipal wastewater systems."

Definition - Restoration

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8723	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition for restoration.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3679	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Restoration to read: " <u>for the purposes of Chapter 3.11</u> , is the process of..."

Definition - Setback

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
DairyNZ Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-10249	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of setback to read: "Setback: means the distance from the <u>top of the bank bed</u> of a river or lake, or margin of a wetland <u>and the activity specified in Chapter 3.11. (To assist interpretation of what is considered the top of banks of rivers, see Section 4.1 of this Plan).</u> "
Fullerton, Angela Margaret Submitter ID: 71297	PC1-6393	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of setback and add a picture or drawing for clarity.
Gavins Limited Submitter ID: 73846	PC1-5515	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND setback definition to clarify what 'bed' is.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8724	Support the provision	RETAIN the definition for setback.

Definition - Stock unit

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Adams, Matthew Perrin Submitter ID: 72022	PC1-3683	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Definition - Stock Unit.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11509	Oppose the provision	DELETE Definition – Stock unit AND REPLACE with a definition that applies the OVERSEER Model Best Practice Data Input Standards OR ensure that weights and stock units reflect actual weights and appropriate stock units for the region and are consistent between drystock operations and dairy operations.
Clarke, Hamish Submitter ID: 71621	PC1-8472	Oppose the provision	AMEND the definition of Stock unit and Schedule B OVERSEER Model to use actual weights and therefore accurate stock unit measurements instead of defaults.
Hurley, Peter James Submitter ID: 71391	PC1-1134	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Definition - Stock unit to use actual weights and accurate stock unit measurements. AND AMEND to ensure that when the OVERSEER Model is used, best management practices are applied including input standards and protocols, applying actual farm specific information and reducing use of standardised input parameters. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1956	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND to refine the definition of stock unit and include categories for housed animals that are not grazed or accommodated on uncovered pasture 24 hours a day AND AMEND to reflect other management approaches AND CLARIFY the evidential basis for nitrogen outputs by animals other than beef and dairy which has informed the stock units.
Lea, Charles Steven Submitter ID: 73903	PC1-3557	Oppose the provision	AMEND definition of stock unit to use actual live weights.
Lund, Daniel Warren Submitter ID: 73755	PC1-5460	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Definition - Stock Unit.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8297	Oppose the provision	AMEND Definition - Stock units, to include categories for housed animals where they are not grazing pasture for 24 hours a day, such as replacement calves, AND revise to reflect other management approaches.
McGregor, Colin Grant Submitter ID: 73534	PC1-6657	Oppose the provision	AMEND Definition - Stock unit to use actual weights and therefore accurate stock measurements, rather than standardised input parameters.
McLaughlin, Kate Submitter ID: 72498	PC1-6347	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Glossary of terms to REPLACE the Nitrogen Reference Point with provisions to allow nitrogen leaching to be monitored through stock units outlined in Farm Environment Plans.
MD & CA Camp Submitter ID: 73799	PC1-5455	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule B to change the Nitrogen Reference Point calculation from the years 2014/15 and 2015/16 to a 5 year rolling average AND ENSURE the OVERSEER Model is a more dependable reference.
Murphy, William S Submitter ID: 72105	PC1-6503	Oppose the provision	AMEND Definition - Stock unit to use actual weights and therefore accurate stock measurements, rather than standardised input parameters.
New Zealand Pork Industry Board Submitter ID: 73780	PC1-4642	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the Definition of Stock Unit by adding a row in the Table as follows: Stock class "Pig," Number of Stock Units per animal " <u>17 total breeding animals/ha for a dedicated pig farm with no rotation; 21 total breeding animals/ha for a pig unit on a pastoral farm with a rotation every 2 years (minimum of 2 year return period); 24 total breeding animals/ha for a pig unit on a pastoral farm with a rotation every year (minimum of 1 year return period); 32 total breeding animals/ha for a pig unit on an arable farm with a rotation at least every 2 years (minimum of 2 year return period).</u> " Animal performance definition " <u>Not applicable</u> ".
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8948	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE from the Glossary of terms the definition of Stock Unit OR AMEND so that the units and weights are appropriate for PPC1 and are consistent between drystock and dairy operations [submission refers to the proposed alternative approach].
Ravenscroft, Michael and Clare Submitter ID: 71223	PC1-2493	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Definition - Stock Unit.
Sellers, Michael David and Alison Jean Submitter ID: 72401	PC1-9179	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the Definition of 'Stock Units' to use a system of assessing impacts of stock carried.
Stokman, Mark and Sharon Submitter ID: 73976	PC1-6696	Oppose the provision	AMEND Definition - Stock unit to use actual weights and therefore accurate stock measurements, rather than standardised input parameters.
Taylor and Mellow, Mary Jane and Carwyn David Submitter ID: 71441	PC1-1771	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of a stock unit by using actual weights that provide accurate stock unit measurements under 'Definition - Stock Unit'.
Thomson, Peter Submitter ID: 71208	PC1-6085	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Stock Unit definition so that actual weights are used and therefore actual stock unit measurements. AND AMEND the Stock Unit definition so that where OVERSEER is used the Best Management Practices are applied including input standards and protocols, applying actual farm specific information and reducing the use of standardised input parameters.
Thorburn, Matthew Charles and Susan Raewyn Submitter ID: 74043	PC1-6669	Oppose the provision	AMEND Definition - Stock unit to use actual weights and therefore accurate stock measurements, rather than standardised input parameters.
Verry, Reon and Wendy Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-4000	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Definition - Stock unit to use a more standard measurement.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3681	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Stock unit to read: "...energy per year, as illustrated in <u>determined in accordance with the following stocking rate table.</u> " AND AMEND the definition to include an industry agreed stock unit criteria for pigs.
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4639	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the stock units under the definition, and include categories for housed animals where the animals are not grazed or accommodated on uncovered pasture 24 hours a day. This is particular the case for replacement calves that are accommodated in undercover facilities. AND AMEND to reflect other management approaches.
Wiremu Trust Submitter ID: 73969	PC1-8857	Oppose the provision	AMEND Definition - Stock Unit to the Lincoln 2003 stock unit definition of a 450kg dairy cow producing 385 kgMS as 8.4 stock units.

Definition - Sub-catchment

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-8129	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of 'Sub-catchment' to reinstate the number of sub-catchments to 74.
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9685	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND in the Glossary of terms the definition of Sub-catchment to read: "an area of land within the Waikato <u>or Waipā</u> River catchment ... draining to one of 69 locations." AND MAKE any consequential amendment to the total number of sub-catchments referenced in the definition as a result of submissions.

Definition - Tangata whenua ancestral lands

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Tuaropaki Trust Submitter ID: 73769	PC1-3038	Support the provision	RETAIN Definition - Tangata whenua ancestral lands

Consequential amendments to Waikato Regional Plan/Ngā whakatikahanga ka hua ake mō roto i te Mahere ā-Rohe a Waikato

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-11019	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN, DELETE or AMEND provisions in Consequential amendments to Waikato Regional Plan to ensure they will, individually and collectively, give effect to substantive amendments sought by the submission and enable objectives to be achieved. AND RETAIN, DELETE or AMEND provisions to ensure that inconsistencies are resolved and that the more stringent provision prevails.
Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5812	Oppose the provision	DELETE consequential amendments in Part D so that it is clear that the existing rules continue to apply to diffuse discharges OR AMEND to incorporate the relevant existing rules into Chapter 3.11 to form part of the activity standards.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8951	Oppose the provision	DELETE the consequential amendments so that it is clear that the existing rules continue to apply to diffuse discharges. OR AMEND so that the relevant existing rules are incorporated into Chapter 3.11 to form part of the permitted activity standards.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8725	Support the provision	RETAIN with any amendments necessary to be consistent with the relief sought in the submission.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3685	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Consequential amendments to ensure the more stringent parts of 3.3.4.28 should have preference, and a new consequential amendment should be added to 3.3.4.28. AND AMEND Part D: Consequential amendment to rule 3.4.5.6 on page 90 to read: "Subject to compliance with any specified requirements, reporting through a Farm Environment Plan is a valid means of supplying data under this rule to <u>describe how irrigation water balances will be calculated and managed.</u> "
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11402	Support the provision	RETAIN Consequential amendments to the Waikato Regional Plan as notified or amend by similar wording to like effect.

Readers Guide

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Taupo Lake Care Incorporated Submitter ID: 61093	PC1-9315	Not stated	AMEND all references for Nitrogen Reference Point to Total Annual Nitrogen Discharge (TAND) or Nitrogen Discharge Allowance (NDA) per hectare AND AMEND all references to the Farm Environment Plan to Nutrient Management Plan (NMP) AND ADD a regional council initiative to have national terms / acronyms for similar regulatory concepts.

Management of Water Resources

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-11020	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the consequential amendment to Water Management Classes 3.2.4.1(e) to read: "... apply to a water body as well as policies in Section 3.11.3 for waterbodies in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments, when making decisions.... the same issue and are inconsistent particular regard...."
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10885	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.2 Water Management Classes to read: "In Chapter 3.11, Fresh Water Management Units and associated water quality targets <u>objectives</u> have been established for the Waikato and Waipā River catchments. Within the Waikato and Waipā River catchments, these <u>objectives</u> targets are used in decision-making processes guided by the objectives in Chapter 3.11 and for future monitoring ... it is not intended, nor is it in the nature of water quality targets, that they be used directly...".
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-3636	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Management of Water Resources 3.2 Water Management Classes as follows: "In Chapter 3.11, Fresh Water Management Units and associated water quality targets <u>objectives</u> have been established... Waipā River Catchments, these <u>objectives</u> targets are used in decision-making processes guided by the objectives in Chapter 3.11 and for future monitoring... pathogens it is not intended, nor is it in the nature of water quality targets, that they be used..."
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9692	Support the provision	RETAIN Consequential amendments management of water resources the text under the heading 'Freshwater Management Units' regarding the intention of water quality targets not to be used as water compliance limits/standards.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8952	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1/3.2 Management of water resources consequential amendments by clarifying that the targets are goals and have been used only for the purpose of developing the PPC1 objectives and that they are not directly applicable to resource consent applications. AND DELETE the consequential amendments to 3.2.4.1 Management of water resources.

Water Takes

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8953	Oppose the provision	DELETE Consequential amendments to 3.3.3 - Water takes.

Discharges

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10594	Oppose the provision with amendments	RETAIN one definition of Point Source Discharge in the Waikato Regional Plan by AMENDING the existing definition of Point Source Discharge in the Waikato Regional Plan as follows: "Point Source Discharge – means discharges from a stationary or fixed facility <u>a discharge from a specific and identifiable outlet onto or into land, a water body, the air or the sea.</u> "

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-13193	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the text of Background and Explanation as follows: Discharges associate with Farming Land Use in the Waikato and Waipā River Catchments Chapter 3.11 addresses the use of land for farming in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments including associated diffuse <u>discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens</u> . Chapter 3.11 also contains <u>objectives and policies that apply to point source discharges to land and water in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments</u> .
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10824	Not stated	AMEND Part D, Consequential amendments to the Waikato Regional Plan, 3.5 Discharges, Background and Explanation, to read: " Discharges in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments associated with Farming Land Use. Chapter 3.11 addresses the use of land for farming in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments including associated diffuse <u>discharges of nitrogen, phosphorous, sediment and microbial pathogens</u> . Chapter 3.11 also contains <u>objectives and policies that apply to point source discharges to land and water in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments.</u> "
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-2972	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the consequential amendments to chapter 3.5 Discharges Background and Explanation to read: " Discharges in the Waikato and Waipā River Catchments associated with Farming Land Use. Chapter 3.11 addresses the use of land for farming in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments including associated diffuse <u>discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens</u> . Chapter 3.11 also contains <u>objectives and policies that apply to point source discharges to land and water in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments.</u> " AND AMEND 3.5 Discharges Background and Explanation as follows: " <u>Discharges in the Waikato and Waipā River Catchments associated with Farming Land Use</u> " AND AMEND 3.5 Discharges Background and Explanation as follows: "Chapter 3.11 addresses the use...including associated diffuse <u>discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens</u> . Chapter 3.11 also contains <u>objectives and policies that apply to point source discharges to land and water in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments.</u> "
J Swap Ltd Submitter ID: 71618	PC1-6437	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the text of the Background and Explanation section of Section 3.5 as follows - " Discharges in the Waikato and Waipā River Catchments associated with Farming Land Use <u>Chapter 3.11 addresses the use of land for farming in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments including associated diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens. Chapter 3.11 also contains objectives and policies that apply to point source discharges to land and water in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments.</u> "
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9693	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Consequential amendments discharges Chapter 3.5 Background and Explanation to read: "Chapter 3.11. addresses the use of land for farming in the Waikato and Waipā catchments including associated diffuse <u>discharges.</u> "
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8954	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE 3.5 discharges under consequential amendments. AND AMEND to clarify the relationship between Chapter 3.11 and other chapters in the Waikato Regional Plan AND AMEND the Waikato Regional Plan Permitted Activity Rule 3.5.5.2- Discharge of feed pad and stand-off pad effluent onto land as follows: The discharge of feed pad and stand-off pad effluent to land outside the Lake Taupo Catchment and the subsequent discharge of contaminants to air is a permitted activity subject to the following conditions: 1. The pad shall be sealed, so as to restrict seepage of effluent. The permeability of the sealing layer for such treatment or storage facilities shall not exceed 1x10 ⁻⁹ metres per second. 2. There shall be no run-off or discharge of pad effluent into <u>groundwater or surface water</u> . 3. Materials used to absorb pad effluent or the effluent itself when spread on land as a means of disposal shall not exceed the limit specified in Table 3-8 inclusive of any loading made under Rules 3.5.5.2, 3.5.5.3, 3.5.6.2 and 3.5.6.4. The pad shall be located at least: a. 20 metres from surface water; b. 150 metres from a residential building or any other building being part of a place of assembly on another site;

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p><u>c. 50 metres from a property boundary</u></p> <p>4. Any discharge of contaminants into air arising from this activity shall comply with permitted activity conditions in Section 6.1.8 of this Plan.</p> <p>5. The discharger shall provide information to show how the requirements of this rule are being met, if requested by the Waikato Regional Council.</p> <p>6. The discharge shall not occur within 20 metres of a Significant Geothermal Feature*.</p> <p>7. Where fertiliser is applied onto the same land on which farm animal effluent has been disposed of in the preceding 12 months, the application must be in accordance with Rule 3.9.4.11.</p> <p><u>8. Runoff from the surrounding catchment area is prevented from entering the feedlot or feed pad.</u></p> <p>AND ADD a NEW permitted activity rule for discharges from feed pads/feedlot rule [Schedule D of the submission] to read:</p> <p><u>"The use of land for the purpose of operating a feedlot is a permitted activity subject to the following standards:</u></p> <p><u>1. The land used for the feedlot shall be managed in a manner that prevents any seepage of contaminants into groundwater. The feedlot shall be located no less that 20m from any surface water body;</u></p> <p><u>2. The pad shall be located at least:</u></p> <p><u>a. 20 metres from surface water;</u></p> <p><u>b. 150 metres from a residential building or any other building being part of a place of assembly on another site;</u></p> <p><u>c. 50 metres from a property boundary</u></p> <p><u>3. Runoff from the surrounding catchment area is prevented from entering the feedlot or feed pad."</u></p>
<p>Stevenson Resources Limited Submitter ID: 73732</p>	PC1-5749	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND the Consequential amendments 3.5 Discharges - Background and Explanation to read:</p> <p><u>"Discharges in the Waikato and Waipā River Catchments associated with Farming Land Use-</u></p> <p>Chapter 3.11 addresses the use of land for farming in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments including associated diffuse <u>discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens.</u></p> <p><u>Chapter 3.11 also contains objectives and policies that apply to point source discharges to land and water in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments."</u></p>

Non-point Source Discharges

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<p>Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725</p>	PC1-8959	Oppose the provision	<p>DELETE the proposed consequential amendments to Chapter 3.9.</p> <p>AND AMEND to move the policies in Chapter 3.11 that only to only point source discharges to Chapter 3.9 of the Existing Regional Plan to avoid any inconsistency.</p> <p>AND REMOVE all references to point source discharges from Chapter 3.11 except in Policies 10 to 12.</p> <p>AND AMEND Rule 3.9.4.11 Permitted Activity Rule - Fertiliser Application to require record of NPKS kg/ha/yr/date/proof of placement for compliance and Overseer audit as part of Nutrient Management Plan and, to refer to the most recent Code of Practice for Nutrient Management [as set out in Appendix Three- Schedule D of the submission].</p> <p>AND AMEND Rule 3.9.4.11 Permitted Activity Rule - Fertiliser Application as follows: " A maximum nitrogen loading rate of fertilizer must not exceed 150 <u>120</u> kg/hectare/year for land grazed by livestock (applied to effective pastoral</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			hectares) animal effluent irrigated. The maximum nitrogen loading rate should include all sources of applied nitrogen including fertilizer, biosolids and irrigated farm effluent."

River and Lake bed structures

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9694	Oppose the provision	AMEND Consequential amendments to River and Lake bed structures 4.2.10.1 (n) to read: "The structure shall be consistent with the provisions specified in the Water Management Classes in Section 3.2.4 of this Plan. and in the case of the Waikato and Waipā river catchments, the relevant water quality objective in chapter 3.11."

River and Lake Bed Disturbances

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9695	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Consequential amendments to River and Lake bed disturbance 4.3.3 Policy 1(b) to read: "b) does not degrade water quality and aquatic ecosystems in a manner that is inconsistent with policies in Section 3.2.3 and the objectives policies in Section 3.11.2." AND AMEND Consequential amendments to Table 4-1 - Priority Water Bodies for Livestock Exclusion, to remove any named/listed water bodies that are within the Waikato and Waipā River catchments.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8961	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE the consequential amendments - 4.3 River and lake bed disturbances AND AMEND PPC1 to make it clear that the River and lake bed disturbances provisions in Chapter 3.11 will only apply once they have been complied with, not in advance of the transition dates provided AND AMEND where appropriate to introduce the permitted activity standards associated with livestock in Chapter 4.3 of the existing Waikato Regional Plan to Chapter 3.11.

Accelerated Erosion

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9696	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the consequential changes to Chapter 5.1 Accelerated Erosion in same or similar form.

Discharges onto or into land

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9697	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Consequential amendments Discharges onto or into land 5.2.3 Policy 2(c) to read: “(c) any effect on water quality or aquatic ecosystems that is inconsistent with the purpose of the Water Management Classes as identified by the policies in Section 3.2.3.3 or in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments, the water quality objectives policies in section 3.11.2.3.”

Glossary of Terms

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11510	Not stated	<p>ADD a NEW definition for ‘Nutrient user groups’ - a group of properties in multiple ownership, where the owners of those properties undertake farming activities and operate as a collective for the purposes of nutrient management.</p> <p>AND ADD a NEW definition for ‘Critical Source Area’ - a landscape feature like a gully, swale or a depression that accumulates runoff from an adjacent immediate area, and delivers it to surface waterways such as rivers and lakes, artificial waterways and field tiles; and areas which arise through land use activities and management approaches such as cultivation and winter grazing which result in contaminants being discharged from the activity and being delivered to surface waterways.</p> <p>AND ADD a NEW definition for ‘Best Practicable Option - Best Practicable option in relation to a discharge of a contaminant which may enter water, means the best Methods for preventing or minimising the adverse effects on the environment having regard, among other things, to -</p> <p>(a) the nature of the discharge or emission and the sensitivity of the receiving environment to adverse effects; and</p> <p>(b) the financial implications, and the effects on the environment, of that option when compared with other options; and</p> <p>(c) the current state of technical knowledge and the likelihood that the option can be successfully applied.</p> <p>AND ADD a NEW definition for ‘In stream nitrate concentration limits (mg/L)’ - the in-stream water quality concentrations required to achieve the identified water management Objective for the associated sub-catchment or Freshwater Management Unit.</p> <p>AND ADD a NEW definition for ‘Allowable in stream nitrate load (tonnes per year)’ - the allowable volume of nitrate-Nitrogen that can pass down the river at a particular point as determined from the in-stream nitrate-Nitrogen concentration limit.</p> <p>AND ADD a NEW definition for ‘Maximum allowable zone load (MAZL)’ - the amount of Nitrogen that can be lost below the root zone within a defined water management zone as determined by the in-stream nitrate load limit (adjusted for attenuation between the root zone and the river)</p> <p>AND ADD a NEW definition for ‘Measured in-stream nitrate load (tonnes per year) - the amount of nitrate-Nitrogen measured (based on actual monitoring data) as passing down the river at a particular point.</p>
Fullerton, Angela Margaret Submitter ID: 71297	PC1-5691	Support the provision	<p>ADD to Glossary of Terms a definition of 'water body' to clarify if the definition of water body includes man-made dams for drinking and or man-made ponds.</p> <p>AND AMEND definition of setback and add a picture or drawing for clarity.</p> <p>AND AMEND the definition of forage crop to capture crops grazed in winter in situ.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
MD & CA Camp Submitter ID: 73799	PC1-5444	Oppose the provision	AMEND to clarify the definition of waterbodies in Schedule C (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv).
Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8548	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Glossary of Terms Definition - 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value to include all enterprises, including commercial vegetable growers, and point source dischargers.
Stevenson Resources Limited Submitter ID: 73732	PC1-5748	Support the provision	ADD to the Glossary of terms a definition of regionally significant industry that reads: <u>"Regionally significant industry: means an economic activity based on the use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. Regionally significant industry includes:</u> <u>a) Dairy manufacturing sites;</u> <u>b) Meat processing plants;</u> <u>c) Pulp and paper processing plants; and</u> <u>d) Mineral extraction activities."</u>